Diverging scaling with converging multisite entanglement in quantum Heisenberg ladders

Debraj Rakshit, Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad



QIPA - 2015

With Sudipto Singha Roy, Himadri S. Dhar, Aditi Sen(De), Ujjwal Sen arXiv: 1505.06083 (to appear in New. J. Phys.)

Heisenberg model systems

PHASE TRANSITION IN A 2D BOSE GAS

At a certain point, a superfluid Bose-Einstein condensate (left) -in which the atoms are, in effect, spread out freely across the whole lattice -- undergoes a phase transition to a state called a "Mott insulator" (below) in which the atoms are localized at particular lattice sites. This dynamics of this transition, which is critically important to using condensates as models of condensed-matter physics, has not been understood for an inhomogenous system such as

The PFC-supported researchers identified the relationship among the variables that determine the onset of the transition: the fraction of the atoms that are in the Bose-Einstein condensate state; the depth of the energy wells in the optical lattice; and the atomic density. The findings are in excellent agreement with recent theoretical calculations performed by another group.

http://pfc.umd.edu/

Hamiltonian: H = H(t,U)

t = tunneling term

U = On-site interaction term

Suppress tunneling $\rightarrow t \ll U$

Effective Hamiltonian: $H = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \vec{S}_j$ J = J(t, U)

Road from 1D to 2D

 $H = J \sum \vec{S}_i \vec{S}_{i+1}$



The quantum properties of a ladder do not extrapolate trivially from the 1D chain to the 2D isotropic lattice

Road from 1D to 2D: Even - odd dichotomy

$$H = J \sum_{i} \vec{S}_{i} \vec{S}_{i+1}$$

The quantum properties of a ladder **do not extrapolate trivially** from the 1D chain to the 2D isotropic lattice



FIG. 1. Spin gaps as a function of system size L for open $L \times n_c$ coupled chain Heisenberg systems.

S. R. White et. al. PRL, 73, 886



Spin gap

 Odd-legged ladders are gapless (power law decay of classical correlation function)

Even-legged ladders are gapped
 (exponential decay of classical correlation function)

Generalized geometric measure

A measure for genuine multiparty entanglement

Generalized geometric measure

Consider a four party system



Multiparty pure quantum state is <u>genuinely</u> multiparty entangled if it is entangled across all possible bipartitions

Generalized geometric measure

$|\Psi_N\rangle$ - Quantum state of N-party

 $|\Phi\rangle$ - Pure quantum states that are not genuinely entangled

$$\varepsilon = 1 - |\langle \Phi | \Psi_N \rangle|^2$$

Task is to minimize the distance over all pure quantum states which are not genuinely multiparty entangled

A. Sen(De) and U. Sen, PRA, 2010

Multiparty entanglement in ladders





Odd-legged



Multiparty entanglement in ladders





Odd-legged



Even-odd dichotomy of genuine multiparty entanglement in Heisenberg ladders

A four-site plaquette: two legs and two rungs



 $H = J_{x}(\sigma_{A}\sigma_{B} + \sigma_{C}\sigma_{D}) + J_{y}(\sigma_{B}\sigma_{C} + \sigma_{A}\sigma_{D})$

S. Nascimbene, et. al. PRL, 108, 205301 (2012)



Two legs multi rungs

Ground state of Heisenberg lattice, Ψ

State constructed by all possible dimer coverings, Φ

<u>2 legged :</u>



 $F(\Psi, \Phi)$ tend to converge towards 0.9 as M increases

Multi legs multi rungs

Ground state of Heisenberg lattice

State constructed by all possible dimer coverings



Multi legs multi rungs

Ground state of Heisenberg lattice State constructed by all possible dimer coverings



Multi legs multi rungs

Ground state of Heisenberg lattice State constructed by all possible dimer coverings



Difficulty

RVB state
$$\longrightarrow$$
 $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{k} h_k(i_a, i_b)|(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)...(a_N, b_N)\rangle_k$



 \rightarrow N=8; 5 coverings, 80 terms



Extensive growth with increasing system size How to handle?

How to handle?



 $|3\rangle|1\rangle_4$

 $|4\rangle$

How to handle?



For arbitrary *L* - legged with M+2 rungs

 $|\mathcal{M}+2,\mathcal{L}\rangle = |\mathcal{M}+1,\mathcal{L}\rangle|1\rangle_{m+2} + |\mathcal{M},\mathcal{L}\rangle|\bar{2}\rangle_{m+1,m+2}$ \uparrow Recursion relation for the state

Reduced density matrix

Reduced density matrix – Trace out all parties except M+1th and M+2th rungs

Recursion relation

$$\begin{split} \rho_{(m+1,m+2)} &= \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}} |2\rangle \langle 2|_{(m+1,m+2)} + \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}-1} \bar{\rho}_{m+1} \\ &\otimes |1\rangle \langle 1|_{(m+2)} + (|2\rangle_{m+1,m+2} \langle 1|_{m+2} \langle \chi_{\mathcal{M}}|_{m+1} + \text{h.c.}) \end{split}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{M}} = \langle \mathcal{M} | \mathcal{M} \rangle$ and

$$\bar{\rho}_{m+1} = \operatorname{tr}_m(|\bar{2}\rangle\langle\bar{2}|_{m,m+1}), \text{ and} \\ \langle \chi_{\mathcal{M}}|_{m+1} = \langle \bar{2}|_{m,m+1}\langle \mathcal{M} - 1|\mathcal{M}\rangle.$$

Himadri, Aditi, Ujjwal, PRL, 2013

Heisenberg vs. RVB (even legged ladders)



Heisenberg vs. RVB (odd legged ladders)



Asymptotic behavior (Odd vs. even)



Fig. Asymptotic GGM as a function of number of legs.

Asymptotic behavior (Odd vs. even)



Fig. Asymptotic GGM as a function of number of legs.

 The sequences of the values from even and odd-legged ladders reach same asymptotic value when L → inf.

Asymptotic behavior (Odd vs. even)



Fig. Asymptotic GGM as a function of number of legs.

- The sequences of the GGM values from even and odd-legged ladders reach same asymptotic value when L → inf.
- However, there a function of GGM, which still distinguishes even from odd at the asymptotic limit !!!

Scaling



$$\mathcal{G}(|\mathcal{L},\mathcal{M}
angle)pprox \mathcal{G}_c(\mathcal{L})\pm kn^{-x(\mathcal{L})}$$

• The scaling exponent, x(L), for odd and even ladders, converges to different values with increase of L

• Diverging scaling exponent for odd- and even-legged ladders, even though the corresponding multisite entanglement converge, scaling coefficient can still distinguish even-odd dichotomy

Summary

✓ Even-odd dichotomy of genuine multiparty entanglement, GGM, in Heisenberg ladders

✓ Resonating valance bond ansatz encapsulates qualitative features of GGM in Heisenberg ladders

✓ The scaling exponent of GGM can distinguish the even-odd dichotomy, even when corresponding GGM merge at asymptotic limit

SEE POSTERS

1. Order from disorder

Anindiata Bera, Sudipto Singha Roy, Debasis Sadhukhan, Utkarsh Mishra

2. Asking Quantum correlations about their monogamy "scores" Tamoghna Das, Titas Chanda, Asutosh Kumar, Utkasrh Mishra

3. Regional and global quntum correlations: their interrelations and use Shrobona Bagchi, Anindita Bera, Asutosh Kumar, Tamoghna Das, Avijit misra, Debasis Sadhukhan, Sudipto Singha Roy

Thank you