

A necessary condition for local distinguishability
of two-qudit maximally entangled states
completely characterises that of the generalised
Bell states for $d = 4$

Sibasish Ghosh

*Optics & Quantum Information Group
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences
C. I. T. Campus, Taramani
Chennai - 600 113*

[In collaboration with **T. Singal**, **R. Rahaman**, and **G. Kar**]
([arXiv:1506.03667](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.03667))

Distinguishing quantum states

- If a quantum system is prepared in one of a **given** set of pairwise orthogonal states – but the **identity of these being undisclosed** – measurement in the basis consisting of these states only can **reliably** distinguish these states, and thereby, identify them exactly.
- Obviously such a (projective) measurement is possible to implement in lab provided the lab has **full access** to the quantum system.
- What happens if the lab has access to **only** a subsystem of the original system?

Distinguishing quantum states (continued)

- For example, if the original quantum system consists of two subsystems A and B with A being in the possession of an experimenter (Alice, say) located in a lab far apart from the lab of the other experimenter (Bob, say), who is in possession of the subsystem B , will it be possible to identify the states shared *a priori* by A and B ?
- It may be a **temptation** at this point to presume that such an effort of reliable distinguishability – by keeping the such systems far apart – is possible **at least** for pairwise orthogonal product states.
- Unfortunately, such a guess has been proved to be wrong, in general!

Distinguishing quantum states (continued)

- **Non-locality without entanglement:** There are sets of pairwise orthogonal product states – taken from $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$ – which are not reliably distinguishable by performing measurements ‘locally’.
- **Example:** $\mathcal{S} = \{|\Psi_1\rangle_{AB} = |11\rangle_{AB}, |\Psi_2\rangle_{AB} = |0\psi_{01}^+\rangle_{AB}, |\Psi_3\rangle_{AB} = |0\psi_{01}^-\rangle_{AB}, |\Psi_4\rangle_{AB} = |2\psi_{12}^+\rangle_{AB}, |\Psi_5\rangle_{AB} = |2\psi_{12}^-\rangle_{AB}, |\Psi_6\rangle_{AB} = |\psi_{12}^+0\rangle_{AB}, |\Psi_7\rangle_{AB} = |\psi_{12}^-0\rangle_{AB}, |\Psi_8\rangle_{AB} = |\psi_{01}^+2\rangle_{AB}, |\Psi_9\rangle_{AB} = |\psi_{01}^-2\rangle_{AB}\}$, where $|\psi_{ij}^\pm\rangle \equiv (1/\sqrt{2})(|i\rangle \pm |j\rangle)$.
- These states are not reliably distinguishable even if the experimenters are allowed to communicate classically (e.g., via telephone calls) about their respective measurement outcomes, and thereby choose to select their respective future measurements accordingly – the so-called **LOCC** paradigm.

Distinguishing quantum states (continued)

- Thus it is **no wonder** that when Alice and Bob share one state from a given set of pairwise orthogonal joint states of A and B – which are generically entangled – the states can not be reliably distinguished (in general) by using **only** LOCC.
- Nevertheless, there are ensembles of pairwise orthogonal **pure** bi-partite states – shared by Alice and Bob – which can be reliably distinguished by using LOCC only.
- For example, **any given pair** of mutually orthogonal pure states of a bi-partite quantum system are reliably distinguishable by using LOCC only.
- Here we will discuss about reliable local distinguishability of pairwise orthogonal **maximally entangled states** of bi-partite quantum systems.

Outline

- Motivation behind LOCC discrimination
- Brief historical background
- Bound on locally accessible information
- Necessary condition for LOCC discrimination of pairwise orthogonal MES in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$
- Classification of generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$ under local unitaries
- Locally indistinguishable classes of four generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$
- On local distinguishability of classes of four generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$ satisfying the necessary condition
- Discussion

Motivation behind LOCC discrimination

Motivation behind LOCC discrimination

- **Secret sharing:** Some secret messages have been encoded in terms of pairwise orthogonal states $\rho_{AB}^{(1)}, \rho_{AB}^{(2)}, \dots, \rho_{AB}^{(n)}$ of a bi-partite system $A + B$, where two spatially separated parties Alice and Bob are in the possession of the subsystems A and B respectively. The parties can reveal the messages **only if** they come together and perform joint measurement on the total system to distinguish these states.

Motivation behind LOCC discrimination (continued)

- **Entanglement distillation:** If two far apart parties (Alice and Bob, say) share the state $|\psi^{(i)}\rangle_{A_1 B_1} \langle \psi^{(i)}| \otimes \rho_{A_2 B_2}^{(i)}$ with *a priori* probability p_i (for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) – where Alice is in possession of both the subsystems A_1, A_2 while Bob is in possession of B_1, B_2 , and $\rho_{A_2 B_2}^{(i)}$'s are pairwise orthogonal – then, by reliably distinguishing these latter states using LOCC only, Alice and Bob will eventually come up with sharing the pure state $|\psi^{(i)}\rangle_{A_1 B_1}$ in case the i -th state $\rho_{A_2 B_2}^{(i)}$ has been identified during the discrimination process.

Brief historical background

Brief historical background

- Walgate et al. [PRL **85** (2000) pp. 4972] have shown that any **two** orthogonal states $|\psi\rangle_{AB}$ and $|\phi\rangle_{AB}$ of a bi-partite quantum system – described by the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ – can be reliably distinguished by using LOCC. In fact this require only **one-way** LOCC.
- Bandyopadhyay and Walgate [JPA (2007); quant-ph/0612013] discussed about conclusive local discrimination of **any set of three linearly independent multi-partite pure states**.
- The general solution to the problem of reliable discrimination of an **arbitrary** set of linearly independent (or, even pairwise orthogonal) bi-partite or multi-partite states using only LOCC is still not known.

Brief historical background (continued)

- Because of symmetries of maximally entangled states (MES) of any given bi-partite system, it may be comparatively easier to look for conditions of reliable local distinguishability of pairwise orthogonal MES.
- In fact, it is not just a mathematical artifact: If we choose a state $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$ **at random**, it is **most likely** that the state would turn out to be an MES [Lecture given on *Selected topics in Mathematical Physics: Quantum Information Theory* by Thomas Gläbke in Dec., 2013].

Brief historical background (continued)

- Fan [PRL **92** (2004) 177905] showed that when d is a **prime number** and l is a positive integer such that $l(l-1) \leq 2d$, then any l number of mutually orthogonal **generalized Bell states** in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$ are perfectly distinguishable by LOCC.
- **Generalized Bell states:**
 $|\psi_{nm}^{(d)}\rangle \equiv (1/\sqrt{d}) \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} e^{2\pi i j n/d} |j\rangle \otimes |(j+m) \bmod d\rangle$ for $n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1$
- We showed earlier [Ghosh et al., PRA **70** (2004) 022304] that **no** set of k number of generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$ can be perfectly distinguished by LOCC if $k > d$.
- More recently, Yu et al. [PRL **109** (2012) 020506] have shown that **no** set of k number of pairwise orthogonal MES (**not necessarily generalized Bell states**) in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$ can be perfectly distinguished by LOCC if $k > d$.

Brief historical background (continued)

- Moreover, we [PRA **70** (2004) 022304] provided examples of ensembles of d number of generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$ for $d = 4, 5$ such that the states from each such ensemble are not perfectly distinguishable by a **particular type of one-way LOCC**, namely, the standard quantum teleportation scheme of Bennett et al. [PRL **70** (1993) 1895].
- Later, Bandyopadhyay et al. [New J. Phys. **13** (2011) 123013] provided examples of such ensembles of generalized Bell states for $d = 4, 5$ etc, the states from none of which are perfectly distinguishable by **one-way LOCC** only.
- For more such examples in higher dimensional systems (with generalized Bell states), see [Quantum Inf. Process. **13** (2014) 795].

Brief historical background (continued)

- In the context of general MES in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$ – as mentioned above – no k number of pairwise orthogonal MES are perfectly distinguishable by LOCC if $k > d$ [Nathanson, JMP **46** (2005) 062103], where an upper bound on locally accessible information [Badziag et al., PRL **91** (2003) 117901] was used to get the result.
- Moreover, it has been shown in [Nathanson, JMP **46** (2005) 062103] that **any** three pairwise orthogonal MES in $\mathcal{C}^3 \otimes \mathcal{C}^3$ are reliably distinguishable by LOCC.

Brief historical background (continued)

- Yu et al. [PRL **109** (2012) 020506] provided the **first** example of a set of four pairwise orthogonal **ququad-ququad** states (namely, MES of the form $(1/2) \sum_{i,j=0}^1 |ij\rangle_{A_0 B_0} \otimes \sigma_\alpha |i\rangle_{A_1} \otimes \sigma_\beta |j\rangle_{B_1}$ where $\sigma_\alpha, \sigma_\beta \in \{I_{2 \times 2}, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z\}$) which are not perfectly distinguishable by LOCC.
- In fact, they have shown that these four states are distinguishable by **no PPT POVM** - an operation more general than LOCC.
- Imperfect distinguishability of these states was later discussed by Cosentino [PRA **87** (2013) 012321].

Brief historical background (continued)

- Until recently, a general consensus - based on the available examples - was that if pairwise orthogonal states from any given set are at all perfectly distinguishable, one-way LOCC would be enough.
- But in a recent work, Nathanson [PRA **88** (2013) 062316] gave an example of three pairwise orthogonal MES in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$ which are although **not** perfectly distinguishable by one-way LOCC, are so by **two-way** LOCC.
- Is this a generic feature that whenever the states from a set of k ($\leq d$) number of pairwise orthogonal MES in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$ are not perfectly distinguishable by one-way LOCC, they should be distinguishable by two-way LOCC in most of the cases?

Brief historical background (continued)

- Even though the answer **may be in the affirmative in general**, it is not true for the case of four pairwise orthogonal ququad-ququad MES.
- In fact, Tian et al. [PRA **91** (2015) 052314] have recently shown that there is **only one class** - upto local unitary - of four pairwise orthogonal ququad-ququad MES states where the states are **not** distinguishable perfectly by LOCC.
- This is the same example taken by Yu et al. above to show the perfect indistinguishability of the states even with PPT POVMs.

Brief historical background (continued)

- Here we show, in the case of generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$, that such a scenario (that most of the ensembles of pairwise orthogonal MES are perfectly distinguishable by two-way LOCC, whenever they are indistinguishable by one-way LOCC) is **not true**.

Bound on locally accessible information

Bound on locally accessible information

- **Holevo's bound:** When the (classical) information of a random variable X – distributed as $\text{Prob}(X = x) = p_x$ for $x \in \Lambda$ – is encoded in terms of the ensemble $\mathcal{E} \equiv \{p_x, \rho_x\}$ of states of a d dim. quantum system S , by performing most general measurement $\{E_y : y \in \Lambda'\}$ on the system S , the maximum amount of (classical) information about X that can be extracted (called as **accessible information** I^{acc}) is **upper bounded** by the Holevo's quantity:

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) \equiv S(\sum_{x \in \Lambda} p_x \rho_x) - \sum_{x \in \Lambda} p_x S(\rho_x).$$

- The bound $\chi(\mathcal{E})$ on I^{acc} can be shown to be achievable in the asymptotic limit of many copies of the ensemble.

Bound on locally accessible information (continued)

- **Bound on locally accessible information:** When the (classical) information of a random variable X – distributed as $\text{Prob}(X = x) = p_x$ for $x \in \Lambda$ – is encoded in terms of the ensemble $\mathcal{E} \equiv \{p_x, \rho_{AB}^{(x)}\}$ of states of a bi-partite quantum system $A + B$ described by the Hilbert space $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$, by most general LOCC operation, what is the maximum amount of (classical) information about X that can be extracted (called as **locally accessible information** I_{LOCC}^{acc})?
- Can one find out a **tight bound** on I_{LOCC}^{acc} – even in the asymptotic form?

Bound on locally accessible information (continued)

- Badziag et al. [PRL **91** (2003) 117901] provided an upper bound on I_{LOCC}^{acc} : $I_{LOCC}^{acc} \leq S(\sum_{x \in \Lambda} p_x \rho_A^{(x)}) + S(\sum_{x \in \Lambda} p_x \rho_B^{(x)}) - \max\{\sum_{x \in \Lambda} p_x S(\rho_A^{(x)}), \sum_{x \in \Lambda} p_x S(\rho_B^{(x)})\}$.
- Here $\rho_A^{(x)} \equiv \text{Tr}_B \rho_{AB}^{(x)}$ and $\rho_B^{(x)} \equiv \text{Tr}_A \rho_{AB}^{(x)}$ for all x .
- The above bound on I_{LOCC}^{acc} has been made tighter, but the asymptotic attainability is still not known.
- Here we will use Badziag et al.'s bound on I_{LOCC}^{acc} .

Necessary condition for LOCC discrimination of pairwise orthogonal MES in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$

Necessary condition for LOCC discrimination of pairwise orthogonal MES in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$

- For any given set $\{|\psi_i\rangle_{AB} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ of pairwise orthogonal MES in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$ (where $m \leq d$), Badziag et al.'s bound turns out to be:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{LOCC}^{acc} &\leq S\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Tr}_B |\psi_i\rangle_{AB} \langle \psi_i|\right) + \\ &S\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \text{Tr}_A |\psi_i\rangle_{AB} \langle \psi_i|\right) - \max \\ &\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m S(\text{Tr}_A |\psi_i\rangle_{AB} \langle \psi_i|)\right), \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m S(\text{Tr}_B |\psi_i\rangle_{AB} \langle \psi_i|)\right) \right\} \\ &= S\left(\frac{1}{d} I_{d \times d}\right) + S\left(\frac{1}{d} I_{d \times d}\right) - S\left(\frac{1}{d} I_{d \times d}\right) = \log_2 d. \end{aligned}$$

- The constraint $I_{LOCC}^{acc} \leq \log_2 d$ will now be used to find out constraint on the kind of measurements the first party (Alice, say) is supposed to perform to distinguish the states $|\psi_i\rangle$.

Necessary condition for LOCC discrimination

- Assume that $\{K_\alpha^\dagger K_\alpha : \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be a POVM Alice is going to perform on A . Thus here $\sum_{\alpha=1}^n K_\alpha^\dagger K_\alpha = I_{d \times d}$.
- The action of the α -th POVM effect on $|\psi_i\rangle_{AB}$ gives rise to the state
$$|\psi_{i,\alpha}\rangle = (K_\alpha \otimes I_{d \times d})|\psi_i\rangle_{AB} / \sqrt{\langle \psi_i | (K_\alpha^\dagger K_\alpha \otimes I_{d \times d}) | \psi_i \rangle}.$$
- Condition for orthogonality of post-measurement joint states require: $\langle \psi_i | (K_\alpha^\dagger \otimes I)(K_\alpha \otimes I) | \psi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for $i \neq j$.
- A necessary condition for local distinguishability of $m = d$ no. of pairwise orthogonal MES in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$ is that the average reduced density matrix of B – after Alice's measurement – has to be maximally mixed, together with the orthogonality condition for post-measurement states.

Necessary condition for LOCC discrimination

- **Lemma:** *If Alice starts the measurement protocol to distinguish m MES $\{|\psi_i\rangle : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ by LOCC, the post-measurement reduced states (PMRS) on her side are completely indistinguishable.*
- **Proof:** Reduced states of Alice before measurement: $\rho_i^{(A)} = (1/d)I_{d \times d}$, which gets transformed into (after Alice's measurement): $\rho_{i,\alpha}^{(A)}$, proportional to $K_\alpha K_\alpha^\dagger$ for all i when α -th effect 'clicks' in Alice's measurement. Thus, after Alice's measurement, the PMRS on her side are all same, and hence, completely indistinguishable.

Necessary condition for LOCC discrimination

- **Theorem:** *The von Neumann entropy of the average PMRS on Bob's side – after Alice's measurement – has to be at least $\log_2 m$ bits for the states to be perfectly distinguishable by LOCC.*

- **Proof:** The Holevo-like upper bound for the locally accessible information of the set of states $\{|\psi_{i,\alpha}\rangle\}_{i=1}^m$ is given by:

$$I_{acc}^{LOCC} \leq S(\rho_\alpha^{(A)}) + S(\rho_\alpha^{(B)}) \\ - \max \left\{ (1/m) \sum_{i=1}^m S(\rho_{i,\alpha}^{(X)}) : X = A, B \right\}$$

Spectrum of $\rho_{i,\alpha}^{(A)}$ and $\rho_{i,\alpha}^{(B)}$ are same – as the joint post-measurement state (namely, $|\psi_{i,\alpha}\rangle$) is pure.

Also $\rho_{i,\alpha}^{(A)} = \rho_\alpha^{(A)}$ for all i . This implies that $I_{acc}^{LOCC} \leq S(\rho_\alpha^{(B)})$.

Since we need to perfectly distinguish m states, we must have: $S(\rho_\alpha^{(B)}) \geq \log_2 m$.

Necessary condition for LOCC discrimination

- **Forms of $|\psi_i\rangle$:** With respect to the standard ONB $\{|j\rangle_A\}_{j=1}^d$, we have: $|\psi_i\rangle = (1/\sqrt{d}) \sum_{j=1}^d |j\rangle_A \otimes |b_j^{(i)}\rangle_B$ where $\{|b_j^{(i)}\rangle_B\}_{j=1}^d$ is an ONB for Bob's system for all i .
- The i -th PMRS on Bob's side is then given by:
$$\rho_{i,\alpha}^{(B)} = [1/(\text{Tr}(K_\alpha^\dagger K_\alpha))] \sum_{j,k=1}^d \langle j|K_\alpha^\dagger K_\alpha|k\rangle |b_k^{(i)}\rangle \langle b_j^{(i)}| =$$
$$U_i^{(B)} [K_\alpha^T K_\alpha^* / (\text{Tr}(K_\alpha^T K_\alpha^*))] U_i^{(B)\dagger}$$
$$U_i^{(B)}$$
's are $d \times d$ unitaries such that $U_i^{(B)} |j\rangle_B = |b_j^{(i)}\rangle_B$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ with each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.
- Bob's average PMRS:
$$\rho_\alpha^{(B)} = (1/m) \sum_{i=1}^m U_i^{(B)} [K_\alpha^T K_\alpha^* / (\text{Tr}(K_\alpha^T K_\alpha^*))] U_i^{(B)\dagger}$$
which should satisfy the Theorem – giving constraint on K_α .
- Orthogonality preservation is subsumed by this constraint.

Necessary condition for LOCC discrimination

- **Corollary:** *If $m = d$ in the Theorem, then the average PMRS on Bob's side has to be maximally mixed.*
- **Proof:** For $m = d$, $\log_2 d$ bits of information can be extracted by perfectly distinguishing the states.
The maximum value $S(\rho_\alpha^{(B)})$ can take is $\log_2 d$ – which happens only when $\rho_\alpha^{(B)}$ is a maximally mixed state.
- Thus we have here:

$$(1/d) \sum_{i=1}^d U_i^{(B)} [K_\alpha^T K_\alpha^* / (\text{Tr}(K_\alpha^T K_\alpha^*))] U_i^{(B)\dagger} = (1/d) I_{d \times d}.$$

Necessary condition for LOCC discrimination

- **Observation:** After imposing the last condition on the effects $K_\alpha^\dagger K_\alpha$ of Alice's POVM, if the allowed POVM turns out to be trivial – that is, if all the corresponding effects are multiples of $I_{d \times d}$ – the given set of pairwise orthogonal MES $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}_{i=1}^d$ fails to satisfy the necessary condition that the von Neumann entropy of the average PMRS of Bob must be $\log_2 d$.
- If the allowed POVM is non-trivial, the given states may or may not be perfectly distinguishable by LOCC.
- It is this observation that is used in our work to sort out the locally *indistinguishable* classes of d pairwise orthogonal MES in $\mathcal{C}^d \otimes \mathcal{C}^d$.

Classification of generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$ under local unitaries

Classification of generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$ under local unitaries

- There are ${}^{16}C_4 = 1820$ distinct sets of four pairwise orthogonal generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$.
- Under the action of local unitaries, they form 122 **inequivalent** classes – determined through elaborate calculations!
- So, local (in)distinguishability of states for any representative set from such an 'equivalent' class will confirm the same for all other member sets of the class.
- And local (in)distinguishability of states from sets of one class **does not predict** anything about the same for states taken from sets of any other class 'inequivalent' to the former.

Locally indistinguishable classes of four generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$

Locally indistinguishable classes of four generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$

- It can be shown that exactly 39 out of the 122 inequivalent classes violate the necessary condition.
- Hence, states from **none** of the representative sets from any one of these 37 classes are reliably distinguishable.
- For example, the generalized Bell states $|\psi_{00}^{(4)}\rangle$, $|\psi_{11}^{(4)}\rangle$, $|\psi_{31}^{(4)}\rangle$, and $|\psi_{32}^{(4)}\rangle$ are not reliably distinguishable by LOCC.

On local distinguishability of classes of four generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$ satisfying the necessary condition

On local distinguishability of classes of four generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$ satisfying the necessary condition

- What can be said about local distinguishability of rest of the $122 - 39 = 87$ inequivalent classes?
- The states for each set chosen from any of these classes do satisfy the necessary condition.
- Surprisingly, we figured out that states from each set from any one of these 87 classes **can be** reliably distinguishable by LOCC.
- In fact, one-way LOCC with only rank-one projective measurements are enough here.
- For example, the generalized Bell states $|\psi_{00}^{(4)}\rangle$, $|\psi_{02}^{(4)}\rangle$, $|\psi_{20}^{(4)}\rangle$, and $|\psi_{22}^{(4)}\rangle$ are reliably distinguishable by LOCC.

Discussion

Discussion

- Based on an upper bound on I_{acc}^{LOCC} , we formulated a necessary condition for the perfect distinguishability of any set of pairwise orthogonal MES by LOCC.
- This necessary condition genuinely decreases the complexity of the local distinguishability problem: in the case of generalized Bell states for $d = 4$, this condition turns out to be sufficient also.
- We tested our necessary condition for the set of four ququad-ququad states of Yu et al. [PRL **109**, 020506 (2012)] and found to be locally indistinguishable. And the other classes satisfy the necessary condition
- Thus – with the support of Tian et al.’s result [PRA **91**, 052314 (2015)] – our necessary condition turns out to be sufficient also for the ququad-ququad states.

Discussion (continued)

- Note that the classification scheme for local distinguishability of ququad-ququad states is *very specific* to these states only.
- It will be interesting to figure out: for what *other* kind of MES, our necessary condition turns out to be sufficient also.
- It may be interesting to figure out whether any one (or, more than one) of the locally indistinguishable classes of generalized Bell states in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$ remains indistinguishable by PPT POVMs also.
- It may also be interesting to look for common structure of generalized Bell states in general d for their local (in)distinguishability – as our approach for $d > 4$ may turn out to be computationally challenging!

Reference: “Complete Analysis of Perfect Local Distinguishability of Ensemble of Four Generalized Bell States in $\mathcal{C}^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}^4$ ” by Tanmay Singal, Ramij Rahman, S.G., and Guruprasad Kar [arXiv:1506.03667 (quant-ph)]

THANK YOU!