Quantum fidelity for Kitaev model in the thermodynamic limit

Amit Dutta

Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, India

V. Mukherjee and A. Dutta, Phys. Rev. B 83, 214302 (2011)
 V. Mukherjee, A. Dutta and D. Sen, Phys. Rev. B 85, 024301 (2012)

HRI, Allahabad 20th Feb, 2012.

Plan of the Talk

• 1: Quantum Phase transition and Quantum Information theoretic Measures

- 2. Fidelity near a Quantum Critical Point; fidelity susceptibility.
- 3. Fidelity in the thermodynamic limit
- 4. Thermodynamic fidelity for Kitaev Model
- 5. Concluding Remarks <u>References</u>:

A. Dutta, U. Divakaran, D. Sen, B. K. Chakrabarti, T. F. Rosenbaum and G. Aeppli, arxiv: arXiv:1012.0653.

Anatoli Polkovnikov, Krishnendu Sengupta, Alessandro Silva, Mukund Vengalattore, Rev. Mod. Phys.,Rev.Mod.Phys.**83**,863,2011

J. Dziarmaga, Advances in Physics 59, 1063 (2010).

Quantum Phase Transitions

• Zero-temperature transition: driven by quantum fluctuations

Paradigmatic model: Transverse Ising Model

$$H = -J_x \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x - h \sum_i \sigma_i^z$$

Exactly solved in one dimension For $h/J_x > 1$, spins are all aligned in the z $\langle \sigma_i^x \rangle = 0$; Paramagnetic

For $h/J_x < 1$, cooperative term dominates $\langle \sigma_i^x \rangle \neq 0$; Ferromagnetic

• Quantum phase transitions at $\lambda = h/J_x - 1 = 0$.

• Notion of Universality:

Exponents depend on i) Symmetry ii) Dimensionality

- Diverging length Scale: $\xi \sim \lambda^{-\nu}$
- Diverging time Scale: $\xi_{\tau} \sim \xi^{z}$
- Energy gap scales as $\lambda^{\nu z}$
- At the quantum critical point gap scales k^{z} .

Concurrence, negativity, entanglement entropy ...

The Quantum fidelity: The modulus of overlap of the wave function: Connected to....

- Scaling of the geometric phase near a QCP
- Sudden Quench of Small amplitude starting from a QCP
- Quantum Critical Environment and Loschmidt Echo

Quantum Discord

<u>References</u>: • A. Dutta, U. Divakaran, D. Sen, B. K. Chakrabarti, T. F. Rosenbaum and G. Aeppli, arxiv: arXiv:1012.0653.

We consider the Hamiltonian

 $H(\lambda) = H_0 + \lambda H_I; \quad H(\lambda) |\psi_0(\lambda)\rangle = E_0 |\psi_0(\lambda)\rangle$

where $|\psi_0(\lambda)|$ is the ground state wave function.

• λ is the driving term. The QCP is at $\lambda = 0$.

•The quantum fidelity: modulus of the overlap between two grouns state corresponding to parameters λ and $\lambda + \delta$

$$F(\lambda, \delta) = |\langle \psi_0(\lambda) | \psi_0(\lambda + \delta) \rangle|$$

- In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, the fidelity vanishes in the thermodynamic limit. Anderson's Orthogonality Catastrophe
- What happens for finite N? Indicator of Quantum Criticality

Finite N and $\delta \rightarrow 0$ limit: Fidelity Susceptibility

In this limit, one can express fidelity in the form

$$F(\lambda,\delta) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 L^d \chi_F(\lambda)$$

The quantity $\chi_F = -\frac{2}{L^d} \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\ln F}{\delta^2} = -\frac{1}{L^d} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \delta^2}$ is the fidelity susceptibility.

• $\chi_F \sim \lambda^{\nu d-2}$ away from the QCP $|\lambda|^{-\nu} << L$.

• While at the QCP ($\xi >> L$): $\chi_F \sim L^{2/\nu-d}$. Quantum Critical Scaling: $\nu d > 2$ Points to Note:

- The parameter δ is factored out. χ_F depends on λ only.
- The quantum fidelity is close to unity; Can not describe Anderson's Orthogonality Catastrophe

References:

P. Zanardi and N. Paunkovic, Phys. Rev. E **74**, 031123. (2007) Gu, S. J., Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **24**, 4371 (2010). Gritsev, V., and A. Polkovnikov, arXiv:0910.3692.

Scaling of Fidelity Susceptibility close to a QCP

Using the perturbation expansion:

$$\chi_{F}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{L^{d}} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{|\langle \psi_{m}(\lambda) | H_{I} | \psi_{0}(\lambda) |^{2}}{\left[E_{m}(\lambda) - E_{0}(\lambda) \right]^{2}}.$$

 $\chi_{\rm F}$ shows a scaling behavior with exponent given in terms of some of the critical exponents.

Compare $\chi_{\it F}$ with the ground state specific heat density

$$\chi_{E} = -\frac{1}{L^{d}} \frac{\partial^{2} E_{0}}{\partial \lambda^{2}} = -\frac{2}{L^{d}} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{|\langle \psi_{m}(\lambda) | H_{I} | \psi_{0}(\lambda) |^{2}}{E_{m}(\lambda) - E_{0}(\lambda)}$$

We note the difference in the denominator. Stronger divergence is expected.

Close to a QCP: $\chi_E \sim |\lambda|^{-\alpha}$ and the hyperscaling relation $2 - \alpha = \nu(d + z)$.

Verification for a transverse Ising Chain

We consider the transverse Ising Chain Hamiltonian

$$H = -\sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{x} \sigma_{i+1}^{x} - h \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{z}$$

QCP at $h = h_c = 1$, exponents $\nu = z = 1$.



Recall the expansion

$$F(\lambda,\delta) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 L^d \chi_F(\lambda)$$

Close to the QCP:

 $\chi_F(\lambda \simeq 0) \sim L^{2/\nu-d}$ so that $\delta^2 L^d \chi_F(\lambda \simeq 0) \sim \delta^2 L^{2/\nu}$

 $\delta L^{1/\nu} \ll 1$, the fidelity susceptibility approach works!

 $\delta L^{1/\nu} \gg 1$, one can not truncate the series at the order δ^2 Away from QCP, $L^d \delta^2 \lambda^{\nu d-2} \gg 1$, one can not truncate What about the other limit?

Fidelity in the thermodynamic limit

<u>large N and δ small but finite</u>: χ_F approach is not useful. Fidelity per site $\mathcal{F}(\lambda, \delta) = \lim_{N \to \infty} F^{1/N}(\lambda, \delta)$ is finite. Proposed Scaling Relation:

$$\ln F(\lambda,\delta) \simeq -L^d |\delta|^{d\nu} \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{\lambda}{|\delta|}\right)$$

Limiting situations

• At the QCP $\lambda = 0$, $\ln F(\lambda = 0, \delta) \simeq -L^d |\delta|^{d\nu}$. Captures the ground state singularity

•
$$|\delta| << \lambda << 1$$
, In $F(\lambda, \delta) \simeq -L^d \delta^2 \lambda^{d
u-2}$

Crossover to χ_F limit

•
$$|\delta|^{\nu}L << 1; \ \chi_F \sim \delta^2 L^{2/\nu - d}$$

• If $L^d \delta^2 \lambda^{d\nu-2} << 1$, $F \simeq 1 - \delta^2 L^d \chi_F \simeq 1 - L^d \delta^2 \lambda^{d\nu-2}$

References

Rams and Damski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 055701 (2010)

How to arrive at the scaling realtion in the thermodynamic limit ?

Fidelity per site:
$$\mathcal{F}(\lambda + \delta, \lambda - \delta) = -\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{\ln F}{L^d}$$

The Scaling Ansatz

•
$$\mathcal{F}(\lambda + \delta, \lambda - \delta) = b^{-d} f((\lambda + \delta) b^{1/\nu}, (\lambda - \delta) b^{1/\nu}).$$

• $\lambda=c\delta$ and set the scale of renormalization $|\delta|b^{1/
u}=1$:

•
$$\mathcal{F}(\lambda + \delta, \lambda - \delta) = |\delta|^{d\nu} f(c+1, c-1).$$

• $\lambda = 0$ implies $c = 0, \ln F(\lambda = 0, \delta) \simeq -L^d |\delta|^{d\nu}$

• For $\lambda \neq 0$, set $(\lambda + \delta)b^{1/\nu} = 1$, and expand the scaling function.

Isolated Critical Points:

- \bullet For transverse Ising Spin Chain: One observes the crossover to small size limit $\delta L << 1.$
- Away from the QCP $F(h, \delta) \simeq \exp(-L\delta^2/16|\lambda|)$; this reduces to the fidelity susceptibility result in the when L finite and $\delta \rightarrow 0$.
- verified for massless Dirac fermions

Two dimensional Kitaev Model allows us to study

- Anistropic Quantum Critical Point
- Extended Gapless Region

<u>References</u>

Rams and Damski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 055701 (2010) Mukherjee, Dutta and Sen, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 024301 (2012)

Kitaev Model on a honeycomb lattice



$$H_{2d} = \sum_{j+l=\text{even}} (J_1 \sigma_{j,l}^x \sigma_{j+1,l}^x + J_2 \sigma_{j-1,l}^y \sigma_{j,l}^y + J_3 \sigma_{j,l}^z \sigma_{j,l+1}^z),$$

$$H' = \sum_{\vec{k}} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} & b_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} \end{array} \right) H_{\vec{k}} \left(\begin{array}{c} a_{\vec{k}} \\ b_{\vec{k}} \end{array} \right),$$
$$H_{\vec{k}} = \alpha_{\vec{k}} \sigma^{1} + \beta_{\vec{k}} \sigma^{2},$$
$$\alpha_{\vec{k}} = 2[J_{1} \sin(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{M}_{1}) - J_{2} \sin(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{M}_{2})],$$

$$\beta_{\vec{k}} = 2[J_3 + J_1 \cos(k \cdot M_1) + J_2 \cos(k \cdot M_2)].$$

$$E_{\vec{k}}^{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\alpha_{\vec{k}}^2 + \beta_{\vec{k}}^2}.$$

Phase Diagram: Gapless Phase for $|J_1 - J_2| \le J_3 \le (J_1 + J_3)$



Anisotropic Quantum Critical Point

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\vec{k}} &= \sqrt{3}(J_2 - J_1)dk_x + 3(J_1 + J_2)dk_y, \\ \beta_{\vec{k}} &= J_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}dk_x - \frac{3}{2}dk_y\right)^2 + J_2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}dk_x + \frac{3}{2}dk_y\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

 $\alpha_{\vec{k}}$ varies linearly in one particular direction in the plane of (dk_x, dk_y) , while $\beta_{\vec{k}}$ varies quadratically in any direction.

• A: Anisotropic QCP d = 2, m = 1. $\nu_{||} = 1/2$ and $\nu_{\perp} = 1$.

Hickichi, Suzuki and Sengupta, Phys. Rev. B 82, 174305 (2010)

Anisotropic Quantum Critical Point

Phase Diagram: Gapless Phase for $|J_1 - J_2| \le J_3 \le (J_1 + J_3)$



- Case I: One state in the gapped phase and other at the gapless phase
- Case II: Both in the gapless phase
- Case III: Both in the gapped phase.

Ground State:
$$|\Psi\rangle = \prod_{\vec{k}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} - e^{i\theta_{\vec{k}}} b_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger}\right) \left(a_{\vec{k}}^{\prime\dagger} + i b_{\vec{k}}^{\prime\dagger}\right)\right] |\Phi\rangle.$$

Ground State Fidelity:
$$F^2 = \prod_k |\langle \Psi^+ | \Psi^- \rangle|^2 = \prod_k \cos^2 \left(\frac{\theta_{\vec{k}}^+ - \theta_{\vec{k}}^-}{2} \right)$$
,

$$|\Psi^{\pm}\rangle = |\Psi(\lambda \pm \delta) \text{ with } \lambda = J_{3,c} - J_3.$$

 $\cos \theta_{\vec{k}}^{\pm} = \frac{\alpha_{\vec{k}}^{\pm}}{E_{\vec{k}}^{\pm}} \text{ and } \sin \theta_{\vec{k}}^{\pm} = \frac{\beta_{\vec{k}}^{\pm}}{E_{\vec{k}}^{\pm}},$

$$\ln F \simeq \delta^2 L^2 \int_{\pi/L}^{\pi-\pi/L} \int_{\pi/L}^{\pi-\pi/L} dk_x dk_y \ \frac{\alpha_{\vec{k}}^2}{\alpha_{\vec{k}}^2 + \beta_{\vec{k}}^2}.$$

The QCP is characterized by two set of critical exponents: $\nu_{||}$ and $\nu_{\perp}.$

$$\begin{split} S(\lambda + \delta, \lambda - \delta) &= L_{||}^{-m} L_{\perp}^{-(d-m)} \\ f((\lambda + \delta) L_{||}^{1/\nu_{||}}, (\lambda + \delta) L_{\perp}^{1/\nu_{\perp}}, (\lambda - \delta) L_{||}^{1/\nu_{||}}, (\lambda - \delta) L_{\perp}^{1/\nu_{\perp}}), \end{split}$$

Rescaling $L_{||}(L_{\perp})$ to $b_{||}(b_{\perp})$ with $(\lambda + \delta)b_{||}^{1/\nu_{||}} = (\lambda + \delta)b_{\perp}^{1/\nu_{\perp}} = 1$,

$$\mathcal{S}(\lambda+\delta,\lambda-\delta)=(\lambda+\delta)^{
u_{||}m+
u_{\perp}(d-m)}f\left(1,rac{\lambda-\delta}{\lambda+\delta}
ight).$$

Expand in the limit, $\delta/\lambda
ightarrow 0$

Modified Scaling Relation

- At the critical point ln $F(\delta, -\delta) \sim -L_{||}^m L_{\perp}^{d-m} \delta^{\nu_{||}m+(d-m)\nu_{\perp}}$
- For $1 \gg \lambda \gg \delta$, $\ln F(\delta, -\delta) \sim -\delta^2 L_{||}^m L_{\perp}^{d-m} \lambda^{\nu_{||}m+(d-m)\nu_{\perp}-2}$

For the AQCP in the Kitaev model:

- A: Anisotropic QCP d=2, m=1. $\nu_{||}=1/2$ and $\nu_{\perp}=1.$
- For $\lambda = J_3 J_{3c} = 0$, $\ln F \sim \delta^{3/2} L^2$; Thermodynamic limit:
- Small system size limit: In F $\sim -\delta^2 L^2 \chi_F(\lambda=0) \sim -\delta^2 L^{5/2}$
- $\lambda \neq 0$, ln $F(\delta, -\delta) \sim \delta^2 L_{||}^m L_{\perp}^{d-m} \lambda^{-1/2}$

One state in the gapped phase and other in the gapless phase along the vertical line



The scaling behavior for both the limits is dictated by the AQCP.

Crossover: $\delta L^{1/\nu_{\perp}} = \delta L \sim 1$.

Both states in the gapless phase: Surprise Emerges

Consider the limit $\delta L^{1/\nu_{\perp}} = \delta L \gg 1$ and $\lambda \gg L^{-1/\nu_{\perp}}$



•
$$\ln F \sim -\delta^2 L^2 \lambda^{-1/2} \ln \lambda$$

 $\bullet \ \lambda^{-1/2}$ is the signature of the AQCP

 $\bullet \mbox{ In } \lambda$ correction due to the fact that we are in the gapless phase!

Both states in the gapless phase: Surprise Emerges

The other limit $\delta L^{1/\nu_{\perp}} = \delta L \ll 1$ and $\lambda \gg L^{-1/\nu_{\perp}}$



- $\ln F \sim -\delta^2 L^2 \lambda^{-1/2} \ln L \ln \lambda$,
- An additional In L correction term
- When $\lambda \leq L^{-1/\nu_{\perp}} = L^{-1}$, crossover to $\ln F \sim L^{5/2}$.

Apparently yes

- for $\delta L >> 1$, ln $F \sim L^2$ Thermodynamic limit?
- for $\delta L << 1$, ln $F \sim L^2 \ln L$ non-Thermodynamic limit?
- \bullet The crossover is dictated by $\delta {\cal L}^{1/\nu_\perp} \sim 1$
- AQCP is the dominant critical point dictating the scaling with λ in the gapless phase.

But...

- one can not extend the derivation to arrive at the scaling to the gapless phase!!
- Scaling with δ is identical.

At the moment the situation is murky.

$$\lambda < 0$$
 and choose $\lambda \gtrsim L^{-1}$ and $\lambda \gg \delta$

$$\ln F \sim -\delta^2 L^d \lambda^{\nu_{||}m + \nu_{\perp}(d-m) - 2} \sim \delta^2 L^2 \lambda^{-1/2},$$

It is the same as the scaling of the fidelity susceptibility! When $\lambda \leq L^{-1/\nu_{\perp}} = L^{-1}$, crossover to $\ln F \sim L^{5/2}$

- Fidelity: Indicator of Quantum Criticality
- Small size and $\delta \rightarrow 0$ limit: Fidelity Susceptibility χ_F
 - Displays quantum critical scaling with critical exponents
- Thermodynamic limit; Large N, finite δ
 - \bullet Scaling with δ near QCP and AQCP
 - Crosses over to small size limit
- There is possibly a crossover even in the gapless phase!