Sudden Future Singularity models as an alternative to Dark Energy?

Hoda Ghodsi Supervisor: Dr Martin Hendry University of Glasgow

PFNG at HRI - Allahabad, India 18th Dec 2010

Outline

- Concordance Cosmology Overview
- Sudden Future Singularity Theory
- Observational Constraints
- Methods
- Results
- Conclusions and Future Directions

Concordance Cosmology

Cosmological observations of most importantly the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation (CMBR), the Large Scale Structure and SNe Ia have helped establish a standard Concordance Cosmology with the following characteristics:

- Evolution: Accelerating expansion driven by a form of dark energy
- Geometry: Flat
- Contents: 74% Dark Energy, 22% Dark Matter, 4% Baryonic Matter
- Age: 13.7 Gyr old
- Fate: Empty de-sitter type



Courtesy: http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/



Sudden Future Singularity Model

John Barrow (Class. Quantum Grav. 21, L79) first discovered a new type of possible evolution for the universe

$$p = -\frac{c^2}{8\pi G} \left(2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{kc^2}{a^2} \right) \qquad \rho = \frac{3}{8\pi G} \left(\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{kc^2}{a^2} \right) \qquad H = \dot{a}/a$$

- Pressure singularities named Sudden Future Singularities (SFS)
- Assuming no equation of state linking the pressure and density
- Only the dominant energy condition is violated in contrast to phantom violating all energy conditions
- Barrow then constructed an example model

$$a(t) = a_s(\delta + (1 - \delta)y^m - \delta(1 - y)^n) \quad , \quad y = \frac{t}{t_s}$$

Sudden Future Singularity Model

- Occurrence regardless of curvature, homogeneity or isotropy of the universe
- Manifestation as momentarily infinite peak of tidal forces
- Weak singularities evolution of the universe continues beyond them

Note that no explicit Dark Energy component has been assumed to exist. Dabrowski calls the acceleration due to "pressure driven dark energy"!



Pressure behaviour satisfies observation: current acceleration possible

Model Parameters

$$a(t) = a_s(\delta + (1 - \delta)y^m - \delta(1 - y)^n) , \quad y = \frac{t}{t_s}$$

- \Box a_s cancels out in cosmological probes' equations
- A currently accelerating universe: $\delta < 0$
- **I** To comply with early universe requirements: $m = \frac{2}{3}$
- □ Theoretically to obtain an SFS: 1 < n < 2
- **D** For t_s use dimensionless time $y_0 = t_0/t_s$, $0 < y_0 < 1$

Observational Constraints

- SNe la redshift-magnitude relation
- The Location of the CMBR Acoustic Peaks
- Baryon Acoustic Oscillations
- Age of the Universe
- Hubble Constant

SNe la redshift-magnitude relation

Luminosity distance:

$$D_{L} = cH_{0}^{-1}(1+z)\int_{0}^{z} \frac{dz'}{E(z')} \text{ where } E(z') = H(z')/H_{0}$$

$$\mu = m - M = 5\log_{10} D_{L} + 25$$

Distance modulus:

$$\mu = m - M = 5 \log_{10} D_L + 25$$

- Dabrowski et al. (2007): SNe la match SFS and Concordance model
- Test redone with 557 Union2 SNe Ia (Amanullah et al. 2010) \rightarrow same results



Distance modulus vs. log(redshift) for the SFS and Concordance models as compared with SNIa data from Tonry et al. (2003) 'Gold' sample and Astier et al. (2006) SNLS sample. Graph from Dabrowski et al. (2007).

CMBR Acoustic Peaks

Shift parameter, R:

Angular diameter distance to the last scattering surface (LSS) divided by Hubble horizon at the decoupling epoch = The apparent size of the sound horizon at recombination

$$R = \sqrt{\Omega_m} \int_0^{z_{CMB}} \frac{dz'}{E(z')}$$

Acoustic scale, l_a :

Angular diameter distance to the LSS divided by sound horizon at the decoupling epoch

$$l_a = \frac{\pi r(z_{CMB})}{r_s(z_{CMB})}$$



Courtesy: http://map.gsfc.nasa.gov/

The '**observed**' values of these parameters were taken from WMAP7 results from Komatsu et al. (2010)

Effective Equation of State

Evolution of w_{eff} was studied to see how it compared with the observed behaviour.

 $w_{eff} \rightarrow -1 \text{ as } z \rightarrow 0$ $w_{eff} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for large } z$



Baryon Acoustic Oscillations

- Cosmological perturbations excite sound waves in the early universe photon-baryon plasma -> competition between gravity and radiation pressure. These oscillations leave their imprint on matter distribution now
- Natural standard ruler → useful distance indicators now
- Can be used to constrain the quantity known as the distance parameter, A, very well:



Observed value taken from Eisenstein et al. (2005)

Courtesy: http://cmb.as.arizona.edu/

Age of the Universe & H_0

From Friedmann equation:

$$t_0 = H_0^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dz'}{(1+z')E(z')}$$
 where $E(z) = \frac{H(z)}{H_0}$

- Observed age from the globular clusters (Krauss and Chaboyer 2003)
- Observed H_0 from HST Key Project (Riess et al. 2009)

Data Analysis Methodology



Courtesy: http://www.mathworks.in/ matlabcentral/

 χ^2 goodness of fit test to fit model parameters to data

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x_{i} - x_{obs})^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}$$

3-D grid search: Marginalising over one parameter

- 2-D grid search: Keeping one parameter constant
- Monte Carlo Markov Chain methods









no overlap in likelihood contours anywhere in parameter space

Conclusions and Future Directions

The example SFS model (with *m* kept constant) investigated has been shown not to be compatible with current data.

In Dabrowski et al. (in prep.) we allow *m* to vary. Preliminary results indicate that a model fit may be obtained.



Thank you!