Primordial Non-Gaussianity in the CMB



Amit Yadav Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton

PFNG-2010, Harish-Chandra research Institute, Allahabad

Primordial Non-Gaussianity in the CMB



It is well established that the observed structure originated from seed perturbations imprinted in the early universe

Amit Yadav Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton

PFNG-2010, Harish-Chandra research Institute, Allahabad

Primordial Non-Gaussianity in the CMB



It is well established that the observed structure originated from seed perturbations imprinted in the early universe

The physics responsible for generating the seed perturbations is largely unknown.

Amit Yadav Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton

PFNG-2010, Harish-Chandra research Institute, Allahabad

1000





Problem (1) Current data still allows hundreds of inflationary models (2) Alternatives to inflation, cyclic model is also consistent with data



Problem (1) Current data still allows hundreds of inflationary models (2) Alternatives to inflation, cyclic model is also consistent with data

We would like to know the action

- How many fields
- kinetic and potential terms
- interactions
- Energy scale



Problem (1) Current data still allows hundreds of inflationary models (2) Alternatives to inflation, cyclic model is also consistent with data

We would like to know the action

- How many fields
- kinetic and potential terms
- interactions
- Energy scale

Non-Gaussianity in the CMB
Gravitational waves (B-modes of CMB)
Isocurvature modes

Non-Gaussianity= deviation from Gaussianity

For a Gaussian random field $\Phi(x)$

the statistical properties are completely characterized by its two point correlation function (or its power spectrum): $\langle \Phi(\mathbf{k_1})\Phi(\mathbf{k_2})\rangle = \delta(k_1 + k_2)P_{\Phi}(k_1)$

All higher order connected correlation functions are vanishing

3-point/bispectrum $\langle \Phi(\mathbf{k_1})\Phi(\mathbf{k_2})\Phi(\mathbf{k_3})\rangle = 0$ 4-point/trispectrum $\langle \Phi(\mathbf{k_1})\Phi(\mathbf{k_2})\Phi(\mathbf{k_3})\Phi(\mathbf{k_4})\rangle_c = 0$

Minkowski Functionals

Wavelets

Bispectrum

 Bispectrum contains nearly all the information for local-Gaussianity
 eg. Okamoto & Hu (2002), Babich (2005), Kogo & Komatsu (2006), Creminelli et at. (2007)

For two scalar fields, it is possible to have large trispectrum

e.g. Byrnes et al. (2006), Engel et al. (2009)



 $B_{\Phi}(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}, \mathbf{k_3}) = \langle \Phi(\mathbf{k_1}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_2}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_3}) \rangle$

 $= f_{NL}\delta(\mathbf{k_1} + \mathbf{k_2} + \mathbf{k_3})F(k_1, k_2, k_3)$



 $B_{\Phi}(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}, \mathbf{k_3}) = \langle \Phi(\mathbf{k_1}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_2}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_3}) \rangle$

- $= f_{NL}\delta(\mathbf{k_1} + \mathbf{k_2} + \mathbf{k_3})F(k_1, k_2, k_3)$
- Single field <u>slow-roll</u> inflation cannot produce large nonG



Maldacena (2003)

 $B_{\Phi}(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}, \mathbf{k_3}) = \langle \Phi(\mathbf{k_1}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_2}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_3}) \rangle$

- $= f_{NL}\delta(\mathbf{k_1} + \mathbf{k_2} + \mathbf{k_3})F(k_1, k_2, k_3)$
- Single field <u>slow-roll</u> inflation cannot produce large nonG
- \circ Single field inflation cannot produce squeezed nonG $f_{NL}=(1-n_s)$





Maldacena (2003);Creminelli & Zaldarriaga (2004)

 $B_{\Phi}(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}, \mathbf{k_3}) = \langle \Phi(\mathbf{k_1}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_2}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_3}) \rangle$

- $= f_{NL}\delta(\mathbf{k_1} + \mathbf{k_2} + \mathbf{k_3})F(k_1, k_2, k_3)$
- Single field <u>slow-roll</u> inflation cannot produce large nonG
- Single field inflation cannot produce squeezed nong $f_{NL} = (1 n_s)$

squeezed Baumann equilateral 1.00.90.8eloneated ·1805Cele5 K_2/K_1 0.70.60.50.8 0.20.60.00.41.0 K_3/K_1 folded



Maldacena (2003);Creminelli & Zaldarriaga (2004)

Detection of local nonG will rule out all single field inflationary models irrespective of inflaton Lagrangian

 $B_{\Phi}(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}, \mathbf{k_3}) = \langle \Phi(\mathbf{k_1}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_2}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_3}) \rangle$

- $= f_{NL}\delta(\mathbf{k_1} + \mathbf{k_2} + \mathbf{k_3})F(k_1, k_2, k_3)$
- Single field <u>slow-roll</u> inflation cannot produce large nonG
- Single field inflation cannot produce squeezed nong $f_{NL} = (1 n_s)$



Maldacena (2003)

Maldacena (2003);Creminelli & Zaldarriaga (2004)

Detection of local nonG will rule out all single field inflationary models irrespective of inflaton Lagrangian

Large nonG can be generated if any of the following are violated:

- 1. Single field
- 2. Canonical kinetic term
- 3. Slow-roll
- 4. Initial vacuum state

 $B_{\Phi}(\mathbf{k_1}, \mathbf{k_2}, \mathbf{k_3}) = \langle \Phi(\mathbf{k_1}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_2}) \Phi(\mathbf{k_3}) \rangle$

 $= f_{NL}\delta(\mathbf{k_1} + \mathbf{k_2} + \mathbf{k_3})F(k_1, k_2, k_3)$

- Single field <u>slow-roll</u> inflation cannot produce large nonG
- Single field inflation cannot produce squeezed nong $f_{NL} = (1 n_s)$





Maldacena (2003);Creminelli & Zaldarriaga (2004)

Detection of local nonG will rule out all single field inflationary models irrespective of inflaton Lagrangian

al 07)

Large nonG can be generated if any of the following are violated:

- 1. Single field
- 2. Canonical kinetic term
- 3. Slow-roll
- 4. Initial vacuum state

How is Cosmic Microwave Background Linked to the Primordial Non-Gaussianity?

How is Cosmic Microwave Background Linked to the Primordial Non-Gaussianity?



Gauge invariant

Conserve outside the horizon

How is Cosmic Microwave Background Linked to the Primordial Non-Gaussianity?



Gauge invariant
Conserve outside the horizon

Math people this slide Picture people next slide

$$a_{\ell m} = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi(\mathbf{k}) \, g_{\ell}(k) Y_{\ell m}^{\star}(\hat{k})$$



Math people this slide Picture people next slide



 $a_{\ell m} = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi(\mathbf{k}) g_{\ell}(k) Y_{\ell m}^{\star}(\hat{k})$

Math people this slide Picture people next slide



$$a_{\ell m} = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi(\mathbf{k}) g_{\ell}(k) Y_{\ell m}^{\star}(\hat{k})$$

$$\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell_i m_i} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{B_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3}}{C_{\ell_1} C_{\ell_2} C_{\ell_3}} a_{\ell_1 m_1} a_{\ell_2 m_2} a_{\ell_3 m_3}$$

Math people this slide Picture people next slide

$$a_{\ell m} = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi(\mathbf{k}) g_{\ell}(k) Y_{\ell m}^{\star}(\hat{k})$$

$$\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell_i m_i} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{B_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3}}{C_{\ell_1} C_{\ell_2} C_{\ell_3}} a_{\ell_1 m_1} a_{\ell_2 m_2} a_{\ell_3 m_3}$$



$$\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{l_i m_i} \int d^2 \hat{n} Y_{l_1 m_1}(\hat{n}) Y_{l_2 m_2}(\hat{n}) Y_{l_3 m_3}(\hat{n}) \int_0 r^2 dr \ j_{l_1}(k_1 r) j_{l_2}(k_2 r) j_{l_3}(k_3 r) \ C_{l_1}^{-1} C_{l_2}^{-1} C_{l_3}^{-1} \int_0 \frac{2k_1^2 dk_1}{\pi} \frac{2k_2^2 dk_2}{\pi} \frac{2k_3^2 dk_3}{\pi} F(k_1, k_2, k_3) \Delta_{l_1}^T(k_1) \Delta_{l_2}^T(k_2) \Delta_{l_3}^T(k_3) \ a_{l_1 m_1} a_{l_2 m_2} a_{l_3 m_3} ,$$

Math people this slide Picture people next slide

$$a_{\ell m} = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi(\mathbf{k}) g_{\ell}(k) Y_{\ell m}^{\star}(\hat{k})$$

$$\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell_i m_i} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{B_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3}}{C_{\ell_1} C_{\ell_2} C_{\ell_3}} a_{\ell_1 m_1} a_{\ell_2 m_2} a_{\ell_3 m_3}$$



$$\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{l_i m_i} \int d^2 \hat{n} \ Y_{l_1 m_1}(\hat{n}) Y_{l_2 m_2}(\hat{n}) Y_{l_3 m_3}(\hat{n}) \int_0^{\infty} r^2 dr \ j_{l_1}(k_1 r) j_{l_2}(k_2 r) j_{l_3}(k_3 r) \ C_{l_1}^{-1} C_{l_2}^{-1} C_{l_3}^{-1} \\ \int \frac{2k_1^2 dk_1}{\pi} \frac{2k_2^2 dk_2}{\pi} \frac{2k_3^2 dk_3}{\pi} F(k_1, k_2, k_3) \Delta_{l_1}^T(k_1) \Delta_{l_2}^T(k_2) \Delta_{l_3}^T(k_3) \ a_{l_1 m_1} a_{l_2 m_2} a_{l_3 m_3} ,$$

$$Primordial Bispectrum$$

Math people this slide Picture people next slide

$$a_{\ell m} = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi(\mathbf{k}) g_{\ell}(k) Y_{\ell m}^{\star}(\hat{k})$$

$$\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell_i m_i} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{B_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3}}{C_{\ell_1} C_{\ell_2} C_{\ell_3}} a_{\ell_1 m_1} a_{\ell_2 m_2} a_{\ell_3 m_3}$$



$$\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{l_i m_i} \int d^2 \hat{n} \ Y_{l_1 m_1}(\hat{n}) Y_{l_2 m_2}(\hat{n}) Y_{l_3 m_3}(\hat{n}) \int_{0}^{\infty} r^2 dr \ j_{l_1}(k_1 r) j_{l_2}(k_2 r) j_{l_3}(k_3 r) \ C_{l_1}^{-1} C_{l_2}^{-1} C_{l_3}^{-1} \\ \int \frac{2k_1^2 dk_1}{\pi} \frac{2k_2^2 dk_2}{\pi} \frac{2k_3^2 dk_3}{\pi} F(k_1, k_2, k_3) \Delta_{l_1}^T(k_1) \Delta_{l_2}^T(k_2) \Delta_{l_3}^T(k_3) \ a_{l_1 m_1} a_{l_2 m_2} a_{l_3 m_3}, \qquad N_{pix}^{5/2} \\ Primordial \\ Bispectrum$$

Math people this slide Picture people next slide

$$a_{\ell m} = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi(\mathbf{k}) g_{\ell}(k) Y_{\ell m}^{\star}(\hat{k})$$

$$\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell_i m_i} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{B_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3}}{C_{\ell_1} C_{\ell_2} C_{\ell_3}} a_{\ell_1 m_1} a_{\ell_2 m_2} a_{\ell_3 m_3}$$



$$\begin{split} \hat{f}_{NL} &= \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{l_i m_i} \int d^2 \hat{n} \; Y_{l_1 m_1}(\hat{n}) Y_{l_2 m_2}(\hat{n}) Y_{l_3 m_3}(\hat{n}) \int_{0}^{\infty} r^2 dr \; j_{l_1}(k_1 r) j_{l_2}(k_2 r) j_{l_3}(k_3 r) \; C_{l_1}^{-1} C_{l_2}^{-1} C_{l_3}^{-1} \\ &= \int \frac{2k_1^2 dk_1}{\pi} \frac{2k_2^2 dk_2}{\pi} \frac{2k_3^2 dk_3}{\pi} F(k_1, k_2, k_3) \Delta_{l_1}^T(k_1) \Delta_{l_2}^T(k_2) \Delta_{l_3}^T(k_3) \; a_{l_1 m_1} a_{l_2 m_2} a_{l_3 m_3} \;, \qquad N_{pix}^{5/2} \\ &= \int \frac{Primordial}{Bispectrum} F(k_1, k_2, k_3) = f_1(k_1) f_2(k_2) f_3(k_3) \end{split}$$

Math people this slide Picture people next slide

Transfer function CMBFast, CAMB

$$a_{\ell m} = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi(\mathbf{k}) g_{\ell}(k) Y_{\ell m}^{\star}(\hat{k})$$

$$\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell_i m_i} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{B_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3}}{C_{\ell_1} C_{\ell_2} C_{\ell_3}} a_{\ell_1 m_1} a_{\ell_2 m_2} a_{\ell_3 m_3}$$



 $\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \int d^2 \hat{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^2 dr \prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{l_i m_i} \int \frac{2k^2 dk}{\pi} j_{l_i}(kr) f_i(k) \Delta_{l_i}^T(k) C_{l_i}^{-1} a_{l_i m_i} Y_{l_i m_i}(\hat{n})$

Math people this slide Picture people next slide

Transfer function CMBFast, CAMB

$$a_{\ell m} = 4\pi (-i)^{\ell} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi(\mathbf{k}) g_{\ell}(k) Y_{\ell m}^{\star}(\hat{k})$$

$$\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell_i m_i} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \frac{B_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3}}{C_{\ell_1} C_{\ell_2} C_{\ell_3}} a_{\ell_1 m_1} a_{\ell_2 m_2} a_{\ell_3 m_3}$$



$$\begin{split} \hat{f}_{NL} &= \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{l_i m_i} \int d^2 \hat{n} \; Y_{l_1 m_1}(\hat{n}) Y_{l_2 m_2}(\hat{n}) Y_{l_3 m_3}(\hat{n}) \int_{0}^{\infty} r^2 dr \; j_{l_1}(k_1 r) j_{l_2}(k_2 r) j_{l_3}(k_3 r) \; C_{l_1}^{-1} C_{l_2}^{-1} C_{l_3}^{-1} \\ &\int \frac{2k_1^2 dk_1}{\pi} \frac{2k_2^2 dk_2}{\pi} \frac{2k_3^2 dk_3}{\pi} F(k_1, k_2, k_3) \Delta_{l_1}^T(k_1) \Delta_{l_2}^T(k_2) \Delta_{l_3}^T(k_3) \; a_{l_1 m_1} a_{l_2 m_2} a_{l_3 m_3} \;, \qquad N_{pix}^{5/2} \\ &\int \frac{Primordial}{Bispectrum} F(k_1, k_2, k_3) = f_1(k_1) f_2(k_2) f_3(k_3) \end{split}$$

 $\hat{f}_{NL} = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \int d^2 \hat{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^2 dr \prod_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{l_i m_i} \int \frac{2k^2 dk}{\pi} j_{l_i}(kr) f_i(k) \Delta_{l_i}^T(k) C_{l_i}^{-1} a_{l_i m_i} Y_{l_i m_i}(\hat{n})$



































 $\mathbf{k_2}$

 $\mathbf{k_3}$

 $\Phi(x) = \Phi_G(x) + f_{NL} \Phi_G^2(x)$ $F(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \Delta_{\Phi}^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{k_1^3 k_2^3} + \frac{1}{k_1^3 k_3^3} + \frac{1}{k_2^3 k_3^3}\right)$



- Large values for squeezed triangle configuration
- Represents inflationary models where perturb generated outside the horizon
 - Multifield inflation, Curvaton
 - Inhomogeneous reheating...

data	Method	$f_{NL}^{local} \pm 2\sigma$ error	
COBE	Bispectrum non-optimal	$ f_{NL} < 1500$	Komatsu et al. (2002)
WMAP 1-year	Bispectrum non-optimal	39.5 ± 97.5	E. Komatsu et al. (2003)
WMAP 1-year	Bispectrum near-optimal-v1	47 ± 74	Creminelli et al. (2005)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum non-optimal	30 ± 84	Spergel et al. (2006)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum near-optimal-v1	32 ± 68	Creminelli et al. (2006)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum near-optimal	87 ± 62	Yadav and Wandelt (2008)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum near-optimal	69 ± 60	Smith et al. (2009)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum optimal	58 ± 46	Smith et al. (2009)
WMAP 5-year	Bispectrum near-optimal	51 ± 60	Komatsu et al. (2008)
WMAP 5-year	Bispectrum optimal	38 ± 42	Smith et al. (2009)
WMAP 7-year	Bispectrum optimal	32 ± 42	Komatsu et al. (2010)

 $\mathbf{k_1}$

 $f_{NL}^{\text{equil}} = 26 \pm 140 \ (68\% \text{ CL}).$

 $f_{NL}^{\text{orthog}} = -202 \pm 104 \ (68\% \text{ CL}).$

Temperature ($f_{NL} = 10^4$)



Temperature + E-Polarization

1. Cross Check: independent analysis for E and T

2. Combined T+E analysis

Concerns:

secondary anisotropies ISW-lensing f_{NL} 10 (Smith & Zaldarriaga 06) Recombination f_{NL} few (Pitrou 2010)

Instrumental systematics



Babich & Zaldarriaga (2004); Yadav, Komatsu, Wandelt (2007) Yadav & Wandelt (2005)

Beyond f_{NL} : the case for running non-Gaussianity

The four system of the second of sound, such as DBI inflation.

Non-Gaussianity can be larger (or smaller) at different scales and for different observables





LoVerde, Miller, Shandera, Verde (2008) Sefusatti, Liguori, Yadav, Jackson, Pajer (2009)

n_{NG}=0

n_{NG}=0.2

n_{NG}=0.6

Figure stolen from, Emiliano Sefusatti's talk

Beyond f_{NL} : the case for running non-Gaussianity

- o f_{NL} has been shown to be scale-dependent in several models of with a variable speed of sound, such as DBI inflation.
- © Current observations only constrain the magnitude of f_{NL} . However if f_{NL} is large enough, it may be also possible in the near future to constrain its possible dependence on scale.



 $f_{\rm NL}$

Sefusatti, Liguori, Yadav, Jackson, and Pajer (2009)

Beyond f_{NL} : the case for running non-Gaussianity

An example: DBI Inflation



Sefusatti, Liguori, Yadav, Jackson, and Pajer (2009)

Instrumental effects on CMB Bispectrum

Su, Yadav et al. (2010)

Detector gain:
$$\delta T^{out}(n) = (1 + a(n))\delta T^{in}(n)$$

 $\delta B_{(\mathbf{l}_1,\mathbf{l}_2,\mathbf{l}_3)}^{TTT} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{l}'}{(2\pi)^2} C_{\mathbf{l}'}^{aa} \left\{ B_{(\mathbf{l}_1,\mathbf{l}_2-\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_3+\mathbf{l}')}^{TTT} + B_{(\mathbf{l}_1-\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_2+\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_3)}^{TTT} + B_{(\mathbf{l}_1+\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_2,\mathbf{l}_3-\mathbf{l}')}^{TTT} \right\}$

(1) Linear systematics can only distorts primordial bispectrum







Instrumental effects on CMB Bispectrum

Su, Yadav et al. (2010)

Detector gain:
$$\delta T^{out}(n) = (1 + a(n))\delta T^{in}(n) + b(\delta T^{in})^2$$

 $\delta B_{(\mathbf{l}_1,\mathbf{l}_2,\mathbf{l}_3)}^{TTT} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{l}'}{(2\pi)^2} C_{\mathbf{l}'}^{aa} \left\{ B_{(\mathbf{l}_1,\mathbf{l}_2-\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_3+\mathbf{l}')}^{TTT} + B_{(\mathbf{l}_1-\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_2+\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_3)}^{TTT} + B_{(\mathbf{l}_1+\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_2,\mathbf{l}_3-\mathbf{l}')}^{TTT} \right\}$

(1) Linear systematics can only distorts primordial bispectrum







Instrumental effects on CMB Bispectrum

Su, Yadav et al. (2010)

Detector gain:
$$\delta T^{out}(n) = (1 + a(n))\delta T^{in}(n) + b(\delta T^{in})^2$$

$$\delta B_{(\mathbf{l}_{1},\mathbf{l}_{2},\mathbf{l}_{3})}^{TTT} = \int \frac{d^{2}\mathbf{l}'}{(2\pi)^{2}} C_{\mathbf{l}'}^{aa} \left\{ B_{(\mathbf{l}_{1},\mathbf{l}_{2}-\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_{3}+\mathbf{l}')}^{TTT} + B_{(\mathbf{l}_{1}-\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_{2}+\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_{3})}^{TTT} + B_{(\mathbf{l}_{1}+\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}_{2},\mathbf{l}_{3}-\mathbf{l}')}^{TTT} \right\}$$

- (1) Linear systematics can only distorts primordial bispectrum
- (2) Instrumental nonlinearities can generate spurious bispectrum and if these non-linearities are not controlled by dedicated calibration, they can produce $f_{NL} \sim O(10)$ before their effect is visible in CMB dipole.





$$C_{\ell}^{aa} \propto \exp(-\ell(\ell+1)\sigma_S^2)$$





Instrumental effects on CMB polarization Bispectrum

Su, Yadav et al. (2010)

Differential Gain $\delta[Q+iU](n) = [\gamma_1 + i\gamma_2](n)T(n)$

Differential Pointing $\delta[Q+iU](n) = \sigma[d_1+id_2](n)[\partial_1+\partial_2]T(n)$

Differential Ellipticity $\delta[Q+iU](n) = \sigma^2 \overline{q(n)} [\partial_1 + \partial_2]^2 T(n)$

$B^{EEE,\tilde{S},bias}_{(\mathbf{l}_1,\mathbf{l}_2,\mathbf{l}_3)}$	=	$\tilde{S}(0)C_{\ell_1}^{TE}C_{\ell_2}^{TE}W_E^{\tilde{S}}(\mathbf{l}_3,-\mathbf{l}_1,-\mathbf{l}_2) + \text{perm.}$
$B_{(\mathbf{l}_1,\mathbf{l}_2,\mathbf{l}_3)}^{TTE,\tilde{S},bias}$	=	$\tilde{S}(0)C_{\ell_1}^{TT}C_{\ell_2}^{TT}W_E^{\tilde{S}}(\mathbf{l}_3,-\mathbf{l}_1,-\mathbf{l}_2) + \text{perm.}$
$B_{(\mathbf{l}_1,\mathbf{l}_2,\mathbf{l}_3)}^{TEE,\tilde{S},bias}$	=	$\tilde{S}(0)C_{\ell_1}^{TT}C_{\ell_2}^{TE}W_E^{\tilde{S}}(\mathbf{l}_3,-\mathbf{l}_1,-\mathbf{l}_2)+\tilde{S}(0)C_{\ell_1}^{TT}C_{\ell_3}^{TE}W_E^{\tilde{S}}(\mathbf{l}_2,-\mathbf{l}_1,-\mathbf{l}_3)+\text{perm.}$

Non-linear Systematics \tilde{S}	$W_E^{\tilde{S}}(\mathbf{l},\mathbf{l}',\mathbf{l}'')$
Monopole leakage $\tilde{\gamma}_a$	$2\cos[2(\varphi_{\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}'-\mathbf{l}''}-\varphi_{\mathbf{l}})]$
Monopole leakage $\tilde{\gamma}_b$	$-2\sin[2(\varphi_{\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}'-\mathbf{l}''}-\varphi_{\mathbf{l}})]$
Dipole leakage \tilde{d}_a	$\sigma[\mathbf{l}'\sin(\varphi_{\mathbf{l}'}+\varphi_{\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}'-\mathbf{l}''}-2\varphi_{\mathbf{l}})+\mathbf{l}''\sin(\varphi_{\mathbf{l}''}+\varphi_{\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}'-\mathbf{l}''}-2\varphi_{\mathbf{l}})]$
Dipole leakage $ ilde{d}_b$	$\sigma[\mathbf{l}'\cos(\varphi_{\mathbf{l}'}+\varphi_{\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}'-\mathbf{l}''}-2\varphi_{\mathbf{l}})+\mathbf{l}''\cos(\varphi_{\mathbf{l}''}+\varphi_{\mathbf{l}-\mathbf{l}'-\mathbf{l}''}-2\varphi_{\mathbf{l}})]$
Quadrupole leakage \tilde{q}	$-2\sigma^2 \{\mathbf{l}^{\prime 2} \cos[2(\varphi_{\mathbf{l}^{\prime}} - \varphi_{\mathbf{l}})] + \mathbf{l}^{\prime\prime 2} \cos[2(\varphi_{\mathbf{l}^{\prime\prime}} - \varphi_{\mathbf{l}})]\}$



Executive Summary

- Characterization of non-Gaussianity is a powerful probe of the the early universe. Non-Gaussianity measures the strength of interaction and field content during inflation.
- \odot Current status with CMB: $\Delta f_{NL} \sim 20$, $f_{NL} \sim 40$.
- \odot Using combined T+E data of Planck: $\Delta f_{NL} \sim 4$ (in few years)
- If nonG is indeed large, running can also be constrained.
- If instrumental non-linearities are not controlled by dedicated calibration, it can generate f_{NL} ~O(10) before showing up in CMB dipole.

Constraints on local $f_{\rm NL}$



data	Method	$f_{NL}^{local} \pm 2\sigma$ error	
COBE	Bispectrum non-optimal	$ f_{NL} < 1500$	Komatsu et al. (2002)
WMAP 1-year	Bispectrum non-optimal	39.5 ± 97.5	E. Komatsu et al. (2003)
WMAP 1-year	Bispectrum near-optimal-v1	47 ± 74	Creminelli et al. (2005)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum non-optimal	30 ± 84	Spergel et al. (2006)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum near-optimal-v1	32 ± 68	Creminelli et al. (2006)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum near-optimal	87 ± 62	Yadav and Wandelt (2008)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum near-optimal	69 ± 60	Smith et al. (2009)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum optimal	58 ± 46	Smith et al. (2009)
WMAP 5-year	Bispectrum near-optimal	51 ± 60	Komatsu et al. (2008)
WMAP 5-year	Bispectrum optimal	38 ± 42	Smith et al. (2009)
WMAP 7-year	Bispectrum optimal	32 ± 42	Komatsu et al. (2010)
			-

Constraints on local f_{NL}



data	Method	$\left f_{NL}^{local} \pm f_{NL}^{local} \right $	2σ error	
COBE	Bispectrum non-optimal	$ f_{NL} < 1$	1500	Komatsu et al. (2002)
WMAP 1-year	Bispectrum non-optimal	39.5 ± 97	7.5	E. Komatsu et al. (2003)
WMAP 1-year	Bispectrum near-optimal-v1	47 ± 74		Creminelli et al. (2005)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum non-optimal	30 ± 84		Spergel et al. (2006)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum near-optimal-v1	32 ± 68		Creminelli et al. (2006)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum near-optimal	87 ± 62		Yadav and Wandelt (2008
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum near-optimal	69 ± 60		Smith et al. (2009)
WMAP 3-year	Bispectrum optimal	58 ± 46		Smith et al. (2009)
WMAP 5-year	Bispectrum near-optimal	51 ± 60		Komatsu et al. (2008)
WMAP 5-year	Bispectrum optimal	38 ± 42		Smith et al. (2009)
WMAP 7-year	Bispectrum optimal	32 ± 42		Komatsu et al. (2010)

Hint/Evidence of non-Gaussianity

~2.5σ deviation from Gaussianity, in wmap3 year data Yadav & Wandelt (2008); Smith, Senatore and Zaldarriaga 2009)

Constraints on local f_{NL}

data



Hint/Evidence of non-Gaussianity

 \sim 2.5 σ deviation from Gaussianity, in wmap3 year data Yadav & Wandelt (2008); Smith, Senatore and Zaldarriaga 2009)



5-vear.

500

600

700

800

400