Majorana modes and non-abelian statistics

Sumathi Rao

Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad, India

December 2013

Plan of the talk











IntroductionKitaev modelNon-abelian statisticsHosting and detecting Majorana particlesConclusion and future directions•0000•00000•00000•00000•00000•00000

Introduction

Introduction Kitaev model Non-abelian statistics occords occor

What are Majorana fermions or Majorana modes?

Named after Ettore Majorana



But refer to different kinds of excitations in high energy physics and condensed matter physics

Nomenclature

In particle physics, Majorana fermions are fermionic particles \equiv anti-particles, $\psi = \psi^{\dagger}$, where ψ, ψ^{\dagger} are annihilation and creation operators

In condensed matter physics, hermitian operators $\gamma = \gamma^{\dagger}$ satisfying fermionic algebra

$$\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = 2\delta_{ab} \quad \gamma_a^2 = 1,$$

form zero energy modes of some system. Not true fermions (sometimes called weird fermions!), but instead obey non-abelian statistics under exchange



Pairs of Majorana fermions can be combined into ordinary fermions

$$oldsymbol{c} = rac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + i\gamma_2), oldsymbol{c}^\dagger = rac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 - i\gamma_2),$$

form a single 2 level system



If the Majorana fermions are spatially separated, implies fermion state is delocalised, Protected from local changes that affect only one of the Majorana fermions, hence protected from decoherence Expected to be relevant for topological quantum computation Majorana fermion expected to be equal superposition of particle and hole states S-wave superconductors have Boguliobov quasi-particles which are superpositions of spin up and down fermions

 $b = uc_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} + vc_{\downarrow}$

But Majorana fermions

$$egin{array}{rcl} \gamma_1 &=& oldsymbol{c}_\sigma + oldsymbol{c}_\sigma^\dagger, \ \gamma_2 &=& -i(oldsymbol{c}_\sigma - oldsymbol{c}_\sigma^\dagger) \end{array}$$

made up of superpositions of fermions with equal spin Need effectively spinless or p-wave superconductors

Introduction

•00000

Kitaev model Non-abelian statistics

Conclusion and future directions

Kitaev Model

Kitaev Model

Kitaev's toy model for 1D spinless p wave superconductor:

$$H = -\mu \sum_{x} c_{x}^{\dagger} c_{x} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} (t c_{x}^{\dagger} c_{x+1} + \Delta c_{x} c_{x+1} + h.c.)$$

 $\Delta \ge 0$ = p wave pairing amplitude

Can rewrite in terms of Majorana fermions

$$egin{array}{rcl} c_x &=& rac{1}{2}(\gamma_{x,1}+i\gamma_{x,2}), \ c_x^{\dagger} &=& rac{1}{2}(\gamma_{x,1}-i\gamma_{x,2}) \end{array}$$

$$\mu < 0, t = \Delta = 0$$
 (Topologically trivial phase)

$$H = -\frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{x=1}^{N} (1 + i\gamma_{B,x}\gamma_{A,x})$$



Here, fermion at single site is broken up into 2 Majoranas The ground state is unique and the ends of the chain do not play any major role

The two limits LIM



$\mu=0, t=\Delta eq 0$ (Topological phase)

$$H = -i\frac{t}{2}\sum_{x=1}^{N-1}\gamma_{B,x}\gamma_{A,x+1}$$



Here, bond couples Majorana fermions at different sites

But unpaired *zero energy* Majorana modes $\gamma_1 \equiv \gamma_{A,1}$ and $\gamma_2 \equiv \gamma_{B,N}$ at the two ends of the chain The Hamiltonian has no dependence on these 2 Majorana fermions - $\gamma_{A,1}$ or $\gamma_{B,N}$

We can form an ordinary but *nonlocal* fermion $f = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + i\gamma_2)$ Energy is independent of whether or not this fermion state is occupied - i.e., non unique ground state [$|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle = f^{\dagger} |0\rangle$]

 $\gamma_i^2 = 1$ implies no Pauli principle for Majorana particles and no notion of occupation number

Number operators only for fermions formed from Majorana particles

Depending on occupation or not of zero mode of fermion, odd or even number of fermions in ground state, odd or even parity state

To change parity, electrons have to be added or removed from the superconductor

Introduction

Kitaev model

Non-abelian statistics

Hosting and detecting Majorana particles

Conclusion and future directions

Non-abelian statistics

Non-abelian statistics

Consider 2 dimensional p-wave superconductor

$$H = \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \Big\{ \psi^{\dagger} (-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \mu) \psi + \frac{\Delta}{2} [e^{i\phi} \psi (\partial_x + i\partial_y) \psi + h.c.] \Big\}$$

Assuming $\mu(r)$ is slowly varying, localized chiral Majorana modes at the "edges" of the topological phase ($\mu > 0$)





Majorana modes in p-wave superconductors

When a flux quantum $\Phi = \frac{hc}{2e}$ threads the central region

$$\Delta \longrightarrow \Delta e^{i\delta\theta} \Longleftrightarrow \psi \longrightarrow \psi e^{i\delta\theta/2}$$

 $\delta\theta = 2\pi$ shift is irrelevant for the Cooper pairs, but to account for sign change of unpaired fermions at $\delta\theta = 2\pi$, need to introduce branch cuts



Branch cuts give rise to non-abelian statistics

Suppose we have 2N Majorana zero modes $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_{2N}$ Ground state 2^N fold degenerate

Adiabatic exchange of Majorana particles can take the system from one ground state to another Difficult to see using evolution of many body wave-function

Simplest picture requires 4 Majorana fermions, because with 2 Majorana fermions, cannot change the occupancy of the fermion formed by 2 Majorana particles, since parity (oddness or evenness of the number of fermions) preserved Introduction Kitaev model Non-abelian statistics occore oc

Non-Abelian Statistics(in 2D)

Consider four well separated Majorana zero modes $\gamma_{1,2,3,4}$ with their associated branch cuts



For exchange shown above, one crossing of branch cut, $\gamma_1 \longrightarrow -\gamma_2$ and $\gamma_2 \longrightarrow \gamma_1$ and naturally, $\gamma_{3,4} = \gamma_{3,4}$

Implemented by $U_{j,j+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \gamma_j \gamma_{j+1})$ such that $U_{j,j+1}\gamma_{j/j+1}U_{j,j+1}^{\dagger} = \mp \gamma_{j+1/j}$

Introduction	Kitaev model	Non-abelian statistics	Hosting and detecting Majorana particles	Conclusion and future directions
		000000000000000000000000000000000000000		

With this definition,

$$\begin{array}{l} U_{12} \left| n_{1}, n_{2} \right\rangle = e^{i \frac{\pi}{4} (1 - 2n_{1})} \left| n_{1}, n_{2} \right\rangle \\ U_{23} \left| n_{1}, n_{2} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\left| n_{1}, n_{2} \right\rangle + i (-1)^{n_{1}} \left| 1 - n_{1}, 1 - n_{2} \right\rangle] \\ U_{34} \left| n_{1}, n_{2} \right\rangle = e^{i \frac{\pi}{4} (1 - 2n_{2})} \left| n_{1}, n_{2} \right\rangle \end{array}$$

Introduction Kitaev model Non-abelian statistics occore occore boots occore occ

Exchanging Majorana fermions at the same site gives rise to phase, but exchanging Majoranas from different sites leads to a different state in the degenerate ground state

 $U_{23} \Longrightarrow$ nontrivial rotation in the ground state manifold

For sequential exchanges, final state depends on order of operations \longrightarrow non-Abelian statistics of the Majoranas

Demonstrated by $[U_{j-1,j}, U_{j,j+1}] = \gamma_{j-1}\gamma_{j+1}$

IntroductionKitaev modelNon-abelian statistics
occoco-occocoHosting and detecting Majorana particles
occoco-occocoConclusion and future directions
occ

Non-Abelian Statistics(in 1D)

Hard to implement exchange of two particles in one dimension without having particles go through one another Hence, exchange statistics not well defined in 1d

Need to construct networks of 1D wires to meaningfully exchange modes





Can be shown that non-Abelian statistics persists in one dimension

Kitaev model

Conclusion and future directions $_{\rm OOO}$

An aside: Abelian versus non-abelian anyons

Abelian anyons acquire phase under exchange $\psi(x_1, x_2) = e^{i\alpha}\psi(x_2, x_1)$, obey braid group statistics, but order of exchange unimportant



Ground state is unique, and after exchange, state returns to the ground state

Hard to detect, because statistical phase factors difficult to isolate from other phase factors like the Aharanov-Bohm phase factor, dynamical phase, etc and has not been achieved so far

Signatures expected to be cleaner for particles obeying non-abelian statistics

Upon exchange, non-abelian particles may end up in a different state that does not interfere with the original state Hence, expect suppression of interference Easier to detect than precise phase information needed for abelian statistics

Non-abelian statistics perhaps seen in $\nu=5/2$ FQHE state?

R.L. Willet, Pfeiffer and West, PRB 82, 205301 (2010)

Importance for quantum computing

2 separated Majorana particles = 1 fermion Occupied or unoccupied give rise to 2 degenerate states = 1 qubit

2N separated Majorana particles = N qubits

Quantum information is stored non-locally, hence immune from local decoherence

Braiding performs unitary operations in the degenerate space of *N* qubits

Braiding particles with non-abelian statistics entangles them, because with non-abelian statistics, the probability amplitude depends on the order in which particles are braided

Change of state by braiding particle 2 and 3 as seen earlier Entanglement possible even if none of the particles are near each other, because result only depends on topological properties of the system Hence fault tolerant quantum computer

Majorana fermions (also called Ising anyons), not sufficient for universal quantum computation

Has not stopped the enormous interest in the field of Majorana fermions in condensed matter physics

Has started interest in looking for non-abelian quasi-particles beyond Majorana particles

Introduction

Hosting and detecting Majorana particles

Conclusion and future directions

Hosting and detecting Majorana particles

Introduction

Hosting and detecting Majorana particles

Conclusion and future directions $_{\rm OOO}$

Majorana particles obey non-abelian statistics

Majorana fermions are zero energy states that do not conserve particle number, but conserve parity or particle number modulo2 so need (a) superconductivity (b) p-wave Candidate Sr_2RuO_4

Read and Green, Ivanov, Kitaev, 2001

Can be engineered by standard superconductor in proximity with topological insulator

Fu and Kane, 2008





Can be engineered with s-wave superconductors, strong spin-orbit coupling and a magnetic field Candidates *InAs*, *InSb* (Sau et al, Alicea, Oreg et al, 2010) Introduction Kitaev model Non-abelian statistics Hosting and detecting Majorana particles Co

Conclusion and future directions

Predictions

Several detection scenarios have been proposed



For small values of Δ , superconducting state topological and associated with Majorana edge states, as long as $|B| > \mu$ and B not so large that it aligns all spins in a band and makes superconductivity hard to induce Actual condition $|B| > \sqrt{(\Delta^2 + \mu^2)}$ for topological superconductivity

Theoretical prediction \longrightarrow tunneling spectroscopy into the end of the wire should reveal a state with zero energy



Introduction Kitaev model Non-abelian statistics Hosting a

Hosting and detecting Majorana particles

Conclusion and future directions

Experimental results

In 2012, observation of Majorana modes was first reported by two groups working in Netherlands and in Weizmann



For magnetic field ranging from 100mT to 400mT, zero bias peak clearly observed Almost all other possible explanations eliminated

V. Mourik et al, A.Das et al

 Introduction
 Kitaev model
 Non-abelian statistics
 Hosting and detecting Majorana particles

 00000
 0000000000000
 0000000
 000000

Conclusion and future directions

Conclusion and future directions

 Introduction
 Kitaev model
 Non-abelian statistics
 Hosting and detecting Majorana particles

 00000
 0000000000000
 0000000
 000000

Conclusion and future directions $\circ \bullet \circ$

Other routes to detection of Majorana fermions

Anomalous Josephson effect

Signature of Majorana in interferometry experiments - Fabry-Perot, Mach-Zehnder and Hanbury-Brown-Twiss

No experimental results yet

Conclusion

Have discussed properties of Majorana fermions including their non-abelian statistics Have also discussed where they can be found (and perhaps have been found!) in condensed matter systems

Other properties including the braiding and non-abelian statistics under exchange are yet to be verified

Far from the final aim, which is to control and manipulate Majorana fermions for use in quantum computation

Even more ambitious goal is to look for other non-abelian excitations beyond the Ising anyons (Majorana fermions)