

A LOCALISATION THEOREM FOR LAGUERRE EXPANSIONS

Rathnakumar P.K

Statistics and Mathematics Unit
Indian Statistical Institute,
8th Mile, Mysore Road,
Bangalore - 560 059,
INDIA.

Abstract

Regularity properties of Laguerre means are studied in terms of certain Sobolev spaces defined using Laguerre functions. As an application we prove a localisation theorem for Laguerre expansions.

Key words: Laguerre means, Laguerre series, Sobolev spaces.

1 Introduction

The Laguerre polynomials $L_n^\alpha(x)$, of type $\alpha > -1$ are defined by the generating function identity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} L_n^\alpha(x) t^n = (1-t)^{-\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{x}{1-t}t}, \quad |t| < 1. \quad (1.1)$$

The associated Laguerre functions are defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_n^\alpha(x) = L_n^\alpha(x) e^{-\frac{x}{2}} x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \quad (1.2)$$

and they are the eigenfunctions of the Laguerre differential operator

$$-\frac{d}{dx} \left\{ x \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_n^\alpha(x) \right\} + \left\{ \frac{x}{4} + \frac{\alpha^2}{4x} \right\} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_n^\alpha(x) = \left(n + \frac{\alpha+1}{2} \right) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_n^\alpha(x) \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover the normalised functions $\mathcal{L}_n^\alpha(x) = \left(\frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_n^\alpha(x)$ form an orthonormal basis for $L^2[(0, \infty), dx]$. Therefore for any $f \in L^2(0, \infty)$ we have the eigenfunction expansion

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \mathcal{L}_n^\alpha(x) \quad (1.4)$$

with $a_n = \int_0^\infty f(x) \mathcal{L}_n^\alpha(x) dx$

Three types of Laguerre expansions have been studied in the literature. The first one is concerned with the Laguerre polynomials $L_n^\alpha(x)$, $\alpha > -1$, which form an orthonormal basis for $L^2[(0, \infty), e^{-x} x^\alpha dx]$. The second type is concerned with the Laguerre functions (1.2) which form an orthogonal family in $L^2[(0, \infty), dx]$. Considering the functions

$$l_n^\alpha(x) = \left(\frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} L_n^\alpha(x) e^{-\frac{x}{2}}$$

as an orthonormal family in $L^2[(0, \infty), x^\alpha dx]$, we get a third type of expansion.

Several authors have studied norm convergence and almost everywhere convergence of Riesz means of such expansions. Some references are Askey-Wainger[2], Muckenhoupt[6], Gorlich-Markett[3], Markett[5], Stempak[7], Thangavelu[10]. Various results can also be seen in [12].

Recently by invoking an equiconvergence theorem of Muckenhoupt for Laguerre expansion, Stempak[8] has proved the following almost everywhere convergence result for expansions with respect to $\mathcal{L}_n^\alpha(x)$ as well as $l_n^\alpha(x)$.

- (1) $\sum_0^N (g, \mathcal{L}_k^\alpha)_{L^2(dx)} \mathcal{L}_k^\alpha(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for $\frac{4}{3} < p < 4$ if $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$, and for $p \in \left((1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})^{-1}, 4\right)$ otherwise.
- (2) $\sum_0^N (g, l_k^\alpha)_{L^2(x^\alpha dx)} l_k^\alpha(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for $\frac{4(\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+3} < p < \frac{4(\alpha+1)}{(2\alpha+1)}$ if $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$, and for $1 < p < \infty$ otherwise.

In this paper we study the twisted spherical means associated with the Laguerre expansions which we will call Laguerre means. We consider expansions with respect to the system $\varphi_k^\alpha(x) = L_k^\alpha(x^2) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$. Then the normalised functions

$$\psi_k^\alpha(x) = \left(\frac{2\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)} \right)^{1/2} \varphi_k^\alpha(x) \quad (1.5)$$

form an orthonormal basis for $L^2[(0, \infty), x^{2\alpha+1}dx]$. We have the mapping $T : L^2[x^{2\alpha+1}dx] \rightarrow L^2[x^\alpha dx]$ defined by $Tf(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}f(\sqrt{x})$, which is a unitary mapping which takes $\psi_k^\alpha(x)$ to $l_k^\alpha(x)$. Therefore the expansion in ψ_k^α is equivalent to the expansion in l_k^α .

We prove a localisation theorem for Laguerre expansion with respect to ψ_k^α without appealing to the equiconvergence theorem. Clearly a localisation theorem follows from the almost everywhere convergence result of Stempak given above, but this result only says that if $f \equiv 0$ in a neighbourhood of a point $z \in (0, \infty)$, then $S_N f(w) \rightarrow 0$ for almost every w in this neighbourhood. But using the method of Laguerre means we could identify the set on which $S_N f(w) \rightarrow 0$.

The twisted spherical mean of a locally integrable function f on \mathcal{C}^n is defined to be

$$f \times \mu_r(z) = \int_{|w|=r} f(z-w) e^{\frac{i}{2}Im(z.\bar{w})} d\mu_r(w), \quad (1.6)$$

where $d\mu_r(w)$ is the normalised surface measure on the sphere $\{|w| = r\}$ in \mathcal{C}^n . Such spherical means have been considered by Thangavelu in [11], where its regularity properties are used to prove a localisation theorem for the special Hermite expansion of L^2 functions on \mathcal{C}^n . The special Hermite expansion of a function f is given by

$$f(z) = (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f \times \varphi_k(z), \quad (1.7)$$

where $\varphi_k(z) = L_k^{n-1}(\frac{1}{2}|z|^2) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|z|^2}$. Here $L_k^{n-1}(r)$ stands for the Laguerre polynomial of type $n-1$. Measuring the regularity of $f \times \mu_r(z)$ using a

certain Sobolev space denoted by $W_R^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$, he proved the following localisation theorem:

Theorem 1 (*S.Thangavelu*) *Let f be a compactly supported function vanishing in a neighbourhood of a point $z \in \mathcal{C}^n$. Further assume that $f \times \mu_r(z) \in W_R^{n/2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ as a function of r . Then $S_N f(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.*

By assuming certain regularity of $f \times \mu_r(z)$ as a function of r he could also establish an almost everywhere convergence result for special Hermite expansion. In the study of $f \times \mu_r(z)$ a crucial role is played by the following series expansion:

$$f \times \mu_r(z) = (2\pi)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!(n-1)!}{(k+n-1)!} \varphi_k(r) f \times \varphi_k(z) \quad (1.8)$$

for the twisted spherical means. Here $f \times \varphi_k$ denotes the twisted convolution of f and φ_k , where twisted convolution of two functions f and g on \mathcal{C}^n is defined by

$$f \times g(z) = \int_{\mathcal{C}^n} f(z-w) g(w) e^{\frac{i}{2} \text{Im}(z \cdot \bar{w})} dw. \quad (1.9)$$

For a radial function f we have

$$f \times \varphi_k(z) = (2\pi)^{-n} R_k(f) \varphi_k(z), \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$R_k(f) = \frac{2^{1-n} k!}{(k+n-1)!} \int_0^\infty f(s) L_k^{n-1}(\frac{1}{2} s^2) e^{-\frac{1}{4} s^2} s^{2n-1} ds.$$

Therefore from (1.8) it follows that for a radial function f the special Hermite expansion becomes the Laguerre expansion with respect to the family $L_k^{n-1}(\frac{1}{2}|z|^2) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|z|^2}$. The above observation suggests that we can also study the localisation problem for Laguerre expansion with respect to the orthogonal family $L_k^\alpha(r^2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2}$, $\alpha > -1$. What we need is something similar to twisted spherical means. Using the local co-ordinates on the sphere $|z| = r$ in \mathcal{C}^n it is easy to see that

$$f \times \mu_r(z) = c_n \int_0^\pi f[(r^2 + |z|^2 + 2r|z|\cos\theta)^{1/2}] \frac{J_{n-3/2}(r|z|\sin\theta)}{(r|z|\sin\theta)^{n-3/2}} \sin^{2n-2}\theta d\theta. \quad (1.11)$$

for a suitable constant c_n .

We define the Laguerre means of order α to be

$$\begin{aligned} T_r^\alpha f(z) &= \frac{2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\pi f[(r^2 + z^2 + 2rz \cos \theta)^{1/2}] \frac{J_{\alpha-1/2}(rz \sin \theta)}{(rz \sin \theta)^{\alpha-1/2}} \sin^{2\alpha} \theta d\theta \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

Then T_r^α is a bounded self adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, x^{2\alpha+1} dx)$.

We have the interesting formula, see [12]

$$T_r^\alpha \varphi_k^\alpha(z) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)} \varphi_k^\alpha(r) \varphi_k^\alpha(z), \quad (1.13)$$

for $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}, r \geq 0, z \geq 0$. From the series expansion for $T_r^\alpha f(z)$ in terms of $\varphi_k^\alpha(z)$ and using the above formula it is easy to see that $T_r^\alpha f(z)$ has the series expansion

$$T_r^\alpha f(z) = \sum_0^\infty \left(\frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)} \right)^2 (f, \varphi_k^\alpha)_\alpha \varphi_k^\alpha(z) \varphi_k^\alpha(r), \quad (1.14)$$

$r \geq 0, z \geq 0, \alpha > -1/2$, where $\varphi_k^\alpha(r) = L_k^\alpha(r^2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2}$. Here $(\cdot, \cdot)_\alpha$ denotes the inner product in the Hilbert space $L^2[\mathbb{R}_+, x^{2\alpha+1}]$. Using this notion of Laguerre means we establish a localisation theorem for Laguerre series expansion for $f \in L^2[\mathbb{R}_+, x^{2\alpha+1} dx]$ with respect to the orthogonal family $\varphi_k^\alpha(r)$. Our main result is the following :

Theorem 2 *Let $f \in L^2[\mathbb{R}_+, x^{2\alpha+1} dx], \alpha > -1/2$ be a function vanishing in a neighbourhood B_z of a point $z \in \mathbb{R}_+$. If $w \in B_z$ is such that $T_r^\alpha f(w) \in W_{\alpha^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, as a function of r , then $S_N f(w) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.*

We use the following notation: $L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ stands for the space $L^2[\mathbb{R}_+, x^{2\alpha+1} dx]$, and the norm and the inner product in this space are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_\alpha$ respectively.

2 The Sobolev space $W_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$

The usual Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $s \geq 0$ is defined to be

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : (-\Delta + 1)^s f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

using the operator $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}$. Since we are interested in studying the regularity of the function $r \rightarrow T_r^\alpha f(z)$, motivated by the expansion (1.14) we define the Sobolev space $W_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$ using the operator $L_\alpha = -[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{2\alpha+1}{x} \frac{d}{dx} - x^2]$, which is a positive definite symmetric operator and the φ_k^α 's form the family of eigenfunctions with corresponding eigenvalues $4(k + \frac{\alpha+1}{2})$. Also we have the normalised functions $\psi_k^\alpha(z)$ forming an orthonormal basis for $L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We define for $s \geq 0$

$$W_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}_+) = \left\{ f \in L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}_+) : L_\alpha^s f \in L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where L_α^s is defined using the spectral theorem. In other words

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha \psi_k^\alpha$$

belongs to W_α^s if and only if,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |4^s(k + \frac{\alpha+1}{2})^s (f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha|^2 < \infty.$$

We now prove the following useful proposition which is needed for the proof of the main theorem.

Proposition 3 *Let $\alpha > -1$ and let φ be a smooth function on \mathbb{R}_+ which satisfies the following conditions*

(i) $\varphi \equiv 0$ near the origin in \mathbb{R}_+

(ii) $|(\frac{d}{dr})^j \varphi(r)| = O(\frac{1}{r^{2+j}})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots 2m$.

Then the operator $M_\varphi : W_\alpha^s \rightarrow W_{\alpha+1}^s$ defined by $M_\varphi f = \varphi \cdot f$ is a bounded operator $\forall s$ such that $s \leq m$.

The proof of this proposition needs the following lemmas. Before stating the first lemma we introduce, for each nonnegative integer k , the class C_k , consisting of all smooth functions on \mathbb{R}_+ , vanishing near 0 and which also satisfying the decay condition, $(\frac{d}{dr})^j \varphi = O(\frac{1}{r^{2+k+j}})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The class C_k satisfies the following properties: (i) $C_{k+1} \subset C_k$, (ii) If $\varphi \in C_k$, $\frac{1}{r} \varphi \in C_{k+1}$, $r\varphi \in C_{k-1}$, for $k \geq 1$, (iii) If $\varphi \in C_k$, $\varphi^{(j)} \in C_{k+j}$.

Lemma 4 *Under the above assumptions on m , φ and α we have $L_{\alpha+1}^m \circ M_\varphi \circ L_\alpha^{-m} = \sum_{t+k \leq m} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} (\frac{d}{dr})^k L_\alpha^{t-m}$ with $\varphi_{k,t} \in C_k$.*

Proof: We claim that $L_{\alpha+1}^m \circ M_\varphi$ can be written as a linear combination of the form

$$L_{\alpha+1}^m \circ M_\varphi = \sum_{t+k \leq m} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} (\frac{d}{dr})^k L_\alpha^t \quad \text{with } \varphi_{k,t} \in C_k. \quad (2.2)$$

First we note the following relations

$$L_\alpha M_\varphi = M_\varphi L_\alpha - 2M_{\varphi'} \frac{d}{dr} - M_{\varphi'' + \frac{2\alpha+1}{r}\varphi'} \quad (2.3)$$

$$L_{\alpha+1} = L_\alpha - \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \quad (2.4)$$

Using this relation in the above we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\alpha+1} M_\varphi &= M_\varphi L_\alpha \\ &- 2M_{(\varphi' + \frac{\varphi}{r})} \frac{d}{dr} - M_{(\varphi'' + \frac{2\alpha+1}{r}\varphi')}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

We also use the relation,

$$\begin{aligned} L_\alpha \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^k &= \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^k L_\alpha + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} b_j \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^j \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^{k-j} \\ &+ c_1 r \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^{k-1} + c_2 \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^{k-2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where b_j, c_1, c_2 , are constants. This can be easily proved by induction on k . We prove (2.2) by induction on m . (2.2) is clear for $m = 1$. Assume (2.2) for $m = j$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\alpha+1}^{j+1} \circ M_\varphi &= \left(L_\alpha - \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr}\right) (L_{\alpha+1}^j M_\varphi) \\ &= \left(L_\alpha - \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr}\right) \left(\sum_{t+k \leq j} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^k L_\alpha^t \right) \\ &= \sum_{t+k \leq j} L_\alpha (M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^k L_\alpha^t) - 2 \sum_{t+k \leq j} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^k L_\alpha^t \\ &= \sum_{t+k \leq j} \left[M_{\varphi_{k,t}} L_\alpha - 2M_{\varphi'_{k,t}} \frac{d}{dr} - M_{(\varphi''_{k,t} + \frac{2\alpha+1}{r}\varphi'_{k,t})} \right] \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^k L_\alpha^t \\ &- \frac{2}{r} \sum_{t+k \leq j} \frac{d}{dr} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^k L_\alpha^t. \\ &= \sum_{t+k \leq j} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} L_\alpha \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^k L_\alpha^t - 2 \sum_{t+k \leq j} M_{\varphi'_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^{k+1} L_\alpha^t \\ &- \sum_{t+k \leq j} M_{(\varphi''_{k,t} + \frac{2\alpha+1}{r}\varphi'_{k,t})} \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^k L_\alpha^t - \frac{2}{r} \sum_{t+k \leq j} M_{\varphi'_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^k L_\alpha^t \\ &- \frac{2}{r} \sum_{t+k \leq j} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr}\right)^{k+1} L_\alpha^t \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

In the above computation we have used (2.3). In view of (2.6), the first term of the above is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{t+k \leq j} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^k L_{\alpha}^{t+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_i \left(\frac{1}{r} \right)^i \sum_{t+k \leq j} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^{k-i} L_{\alpha}^t \\
&+ \sum_{t+k \leq j} c_1 r M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^{k-1} L_{\alpha}^t + \sum_{t+k \leq j} c_2 \left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^{k-2} \\
&= \sum_{t+k \leq j+1} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^k L_{\alpha}^t + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_i \sum_{t+k \leq j} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right)^i M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^{k-i} L_{\alpha}^t \\
&+ \sum_{t+k \leq j} c_1 r M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^{k-1} L_{\alpha}^t + \sum_{t+k \leq j} c_2 \left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^{k-2} L_{\alpha}^t \tag{2.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Now by induction hypothesis we have $\varphi_{k,t} \in C_k$. Note that in the second term of the above the coefficient of $\left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^{k-i} L_{\alpha}^t$ is $(1/r)^i \varphi_{k,t}$. We have $(1/r)^i \varphi_{k,t} \in C_{k+i} \subset C_k \subset C_{k-i}$ for $i \geq 0$ and also $r\varphi_{k,t} \in C_{k-1}$. Hence the first term in (2.7) is of the required form. The second term of (2.7) can be written as $-2 \sum_{t+k \leq j+1} M_{\varphi'_{k-1,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^k L_{\alpha}^t$, and $\varphi_{k,t} \in C_k$ by induction hypothesis. Therefore $\varphi'_{k-1,t} \in C_k$ in view of (iii). Hence the second term of (2.7) is also of the required form. In the third term the coefficient of $\left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^k L_{\alpha}^t$ is $M_{\varphi''_{k,t} + \frac{2\alpha+1}{r} \varphi'_{k,t}}$ and $\varphi''_{k,t} + \frac{2\alpha+1}{r} \varphi'_{k,t} \in C_{k+2} \subset C_k$ by induction hypothesis and in view of (i),(ii) and (iii). Similarly $\frac{1}{r} \varphi'_{k,t}$ occurring in the fourth term belongs to $C_{k+2} \subset C_k$. Also $\frac{1}{r} \varphi_{k,t}$ occurring in the fifth term $\in C_{k+1} \subset C_k$. Therefore (2.2) holds for $m = j+1$ also. Thus we have $T^m f = L_{\alpha+1}^m \circ M_{\varphi} \circ L_{\alpha}^{-m} f = \sum_{t+k \leq m} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} \left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^k L_{\alpha}^{t-m} f$. Which proves the first lemma.

Lemma 5 $\left(\frac{d}{dr} \right)^i L_{\alpha}^t : L_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is a bounded operator whenever i is a non negative integer and $i+t \leq 0$

Proof: We prove that $\frac{d}{dr} L_{\alpha}^t$ is a bounded operator on $L_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for $1+t \leq 0$. We first note that

$$\frac{d}{dr} \psi_k^{\alpha} = -r \left[k^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{k-1}^{\alpha+1} + (k+\alpha+1)^{1/2} \psi_k^{\alpha+1} \right] \tag{2.9}$$

This can be seen as follows. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dr} L_k^{\alpha}(r) e^{-\frac{1}{2}r^2} &= \frac{d}{dr} L_k^{\alpha}(r^2) e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} - r L_k^{\alpha}(r^2) e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} \\
&= -2r L_{k-1}^{\alpha+1}(r^2) e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} - r L_k^{\alpha}(r^2) e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-r) \left[L_{k-1}^{\alpha+1}(r^2) + L_{k-1}^{\alpha+1}(r^2) + L_k^\alpha(r^2) \right] e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}} \\
&= (-r) \left[L_{k-1}^{\alpha+1}(r^2) + L_k^{\alpha+1}(r^2) \right] e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the relations

$$(i) \quad \frac{d}{dr} L_k^\alpha(r) = -L_{k-1}^{\alpha+1}$$

and,

$$(ii) \quad L_k^{\alpha+1} - L_{k-1}^{\alpha+1} = L_k^\alpha$$

Now (2.9) follows from the definition of ψ_k^α . Let $f \in L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. By definition

$$\begin{aligned}
L_\alpha^t f &= 4^t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(k + \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^t (f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha \psi_k^\alpha \\
\frac{d}{dr} L_\alpha^t f(r) &= 4^t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(k + \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^t (f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha \frac{d}{dr} \psi_k^\alpha(r),
\end{aligned}$$

and using (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dr} L_\alpha^t f(r) &= 4^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k + \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^t k^{\frac{1}{2}} (f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha (-r) \psi_{k-1}^{\alpha+1}(r) \\
&+ 4^t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(k + \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^t (k + \alpha + 1)^{1/2} (f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha (-r) \psi_k^{\alpha+1}(r) \\
&= -r T f(r) - r S f(r)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$T f(r) = 4^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k + \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^t k^{\frac{1}{2}} (f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha \psi_{k-1}^{\alpha+1}(r) \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$S f(r) = 4^t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(k + \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^t (k + \alpha + 1)^{1/2} (f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha \psi_k^{\alpha+1}. \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{d}{dr} L_\alpha^t f(r) \right\|_\alpha^2 &\leq (\|r T f(r)\|_\alpha + \|r S f(r)\|_\alpha)^2 \\
&\leq 2 \left(\|r T f(r)\|_\alpha^2 + \|r S f(r)\|_\alpha^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Now using the expansion (2.11) we calculate,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|rTf(r)\|_\alpha^2 &= \int_0^\infty r^2 |Tf(r)|^2 r^{2\alpha+1} dr \\
&= \int_0^\infty |Tf(r)|^2 r^{2\alpha+3} dr \\
&= 4^{2t} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(k + \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^{2t} k |(f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty 4^{2t} \left(k + \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^{2t+1} |(f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty |(f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha|^2 \\
&= \|f\|_\alpha^2
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

since $1+t \leq 0$. Similarly one can see that

$$\|rSf(r)\|_\alpha^2 \leq \|f\|_\alpha^2 \tag{2.15}$$

Using (2.14) and (2.15) in (2.13) we see that $\|\frac{d}{dr}L_\alpha^t f\|_\alpha \leq 2\|f\|_\alpha$ for $1+t \leq 0$. Similarly one can show that $\|(\frac{d}{dr})^j L_\alpha^t f\|_\alpha \leq c\|f\|_\alpha$ for some constant c , whenever $j+t \leq 0$, which proves the second lemma.

Proof of proposition 3: We have by definition $W_\alpha^s = L_\alpha^{-s}(L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$. Therefore it is enough to prove that

$$L_{\alpha+1}^s \circ M_\varphi \circ L_\alpha^{-s} : L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L_{\alpha+1}^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \tag{2.16}$$

is a bounded operator. Put

$$T^t f = L_{\alpha+1}^t \circ M_\varphi \circ L_\alpha^{-t} f \tag{2.17}$$

Where $L_{\alpha+1}^t$ and L_α^{-t} are defined using spectral theorem. Then clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^0 f\|_{\alpha+1} &= \|\varphi f\|_{\alpha+1} \\
&\leq c_0 \|f\|_\alpha,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

for some constant c_0 independent of f . We will also prove that, for any positive integer m

$$\|T^m f\|_{\alpha+1} \leq c_1 \|f\|_\alpha, \tag{2.19}$$

for some constant c_1 independent of f .

Assuming (2.19) for a moment choose $f_1 \in L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $g_1 \in L^2_{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to be finite linear combinations of ψ_k^α 's and $\psi_k^{\alpha+1}$'s, respectively. Consider the function h which is holomorphic in the region $0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < m$ and continuous in $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq m$, defined by:

$$h(z) = (T^z f_1, g_1)_{\alpha+1} = (L_{\alpha+1}^z \circ M_\varphi \circ L_\alpha^{-z} f_1, g_1)_{\alpha+1} \quad (2.20)$$

Then by (2.18) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |h(iy)| &= |(L_{\alpha+1}^{iy} \circ M_\varphi \circ L_\alpha^{-iy} f_1, g_1)_{\alpha+1}| \\ &= |(\varphi(r) \tilde{f}_1, \tilde{g}_1)_{\alpha+1}| \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{f}_1 = L_\alpha^{-iy} f_1$, and $\tilde{g}_1 = L_{\alpha+1}^{-iy} g_1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |h(iy)| &\leq \|T^0 \tilde{f}_1\|_{\alpha+1} \|\tilde{g}_1\|_{\alpha+1} \\ &\leq c_0 \|\tilde{f}_1\|_\alpha \|\tilde{g}_1\|_{\alpha+1} \end{aligned}$$

and since both L_α^{-iy} and $L_{\alpha+1}^{-iy}$ are unitary operators, we get

$$|h(iy)| \leq c_0 \|f_1\|_\alpha \|g_1\|_{\alpha+1}$$

Similarly by using (2.19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |h(m+iy)| &= |(L_{\alpha+1}^{m+iy} \circ M_\varphi \circ L_\alpha^{-m-iy} f_1, g_1)_{\alpha+1}| \\ &= |(L_{\alpha+1}^m \circ M_\varphi \circ L_\alpha^{-m} \tilde{f}_1, \tilde{g}_1)_{\alpha+1}| \\ &\leq \|T^m \tilde{f}_1\|_{\alpha+1} \|\tilde{g}_1\|_{\alpha+1} \\ &\leq c_1 \|f_1\|_\alpha \|g_1\|_{\alpha+1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$|h(iy)| \leq c_0 \|f_1\|_\alpha \|g_1\|_{\alpha+1} \quad (2.21)$$

$$|h(m+iy)| \leq c_1 \|f_1\|_\alpha \|g_1\|_{\alpha+1}. \quad (2.22)$$

Since h is a bounded function we have by three lines theorem

$$|h(t+iy)| \leq c_0^{1-t/m} c_1^{t/m} \|f_1\|_\alpha \|g_1\|_{\alpha+1}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq m$. In particular,

$$|h(t)| \leq c_0^{1-t/m} c_1^{t/m} \|f_1\|_\alpha \|g_1\|_{\alpha+1},$$

that is,

$$|(T^t f_1, g_1)| \leq c_0^{1-t/m} c_1^{t/m} \|f_1\|_\alpha \|g_1\|_{\alpha+1}. \quad (2.23)$$

Now taking supremum over all such $g_1 \in L_{\alpha+1}^2$ with $\|g_1\|_{\alpha+1} \leq 1$ we get $\|T^t f_1\|_{\alpha+1} \leq c_0^{1-t/m} c_1^{t/m} \|f_1\|_{\alpha}$. Therefore T^t is a bounded operator on a dense subset of L_{α}^2 . Therefore it has a norm preserving extension to L_{α}^2 . Thus we have

$$\|T^t f\|_{\alpha+1} \leq c_t \|f\|_{\alpha} \quad \forall f \in L_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad \text{for } 0 < t < m \quad (2.24)$$

which proves (2.16).

To prove (2.19) we proceed as follows. By Lemma (4) we have $T^m f = \sum_{t+k \leq m} M_{\varphi_{k,t}} (\frac{d}{dr})^k L_{\alpha}^{t-m}$. And by Lemma (5) $(\frac{d}{dr})^k L_{\alpha}^{t-m}$ is a bounded operator on $L_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, whenever $k + (t - m) \leq 0$. Also note that since $\varphi_{k,t}$ satisfies the conditions(1) and (2) of the proposition 3 for $j = 0$, $M_{\varphi_{k,t}}$ maps $L_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L_{\alpha+1}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ boundedly. Thus we get $\|T^m f\|_{\alpha+1} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{\alpha}$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

3 Regularity of $T_r^{\alpha} f(z)$

In this section we prove that the Laguerre means $T_r^{\alpha} f(z)$ are slightly more regular than f , for $z \neq 0$. To prove this fact we use the series expansion (1.14) for $T_r^{\alpha} f(z)$. Let $f \in W_{\alpha}^s$. Then

$$4^s \sum_0^{\infty} (k + \frac{\alpha+1}{2})^s \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)} (f, \varphi_k^{\alpha})_{\alpha} \varphi_k^{\alpha}(r) \quad (3.1)$$

converges in $L_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We also use the following asymptotic estimates, (see[4])

$$\frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)} \approx k^{-\alpha} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\psi_k^{\alpha}(z) \approx k^{-1/4} |z|^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \cos(2\sqrt{k}z - \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}), \quad z \neq 0 \quad (3.3)$$

$$\psi_k^{\alpha}(0) \approx k^{\alpha/2} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.4)$$

From (1.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} |T_r^{\alpha} f(z)|^2 r^{2\alpha+1} dr &= \Gamma(\alpha+1)^4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+1)} |(f, \psi_k^{\alpha})_{\alpha}|^2 |\psi_k^{\alpha}(z)|^2 \\ &\leq c(z) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+k)^{-\alpha} (1+k)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |(f, \psi_k^{\alpha})_{\alpha}|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for $z \neq 0$, in view of (3.2) and (3.3). Also

$$\int_0^{\infty} |T_r^{\alpha} f(z)|^2 r^{2\alpha+1} dr \approx \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |(f, \psi_k^{\alpha})_{\alpha}|^2 \quad \text{for } z = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

in view of (3.2) and (3.4). Comparing (3.1) and (3.5) we see that $f \in W_\alpha^s \Rightarrow r \rightarrow T_r^\alpha f(z) \in W_\alpha^{s+\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}$. Comparing (3.1) and (3.6) we see that $f \in W_\alpha^s$ if and only if $T_r^\alpha f(z) \in W_\alpha^s$. Thus we have proved the following :

Lemma 6 (i) $f \in W_\alpha^s \Rightarrow r \rightarrow T_r^\alpha f(z) \in W_\alpha^{s+\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}, z \neq 0$.
(ii) $f \in W_\alpha^s$ if and only if $r \rightarrow T_r^\alpha f(0) \in W_\alpha^s$.

Now we prove some properties of Laguerre means $T_r^\alpha f$.

Lemma 7 (i) If f is supported in $z \leq b$, then $T_r^\alpha f(z)$ as a function of r is supported in $r \leq b + z$.
(ii) If f vanishes in a neighbourhood of z then $T_r^\alpha f(z)$ as a function of r vanishes in a neighbourhood of origin in \mathbb{R}_+ .

Proof: (i) If f is supported in $z \leq b$ then the integral (1.12) vanishes unless $(r^2 + z^2 + 2rzc\cos\theta)^{1/2} \leq b$. This implies $(r - z)^2 \leq b^2$. Therefore the integral (1.12) vanishes unless $|r - z| \leq b$ or $r \leq b + z$.
(ii) Again if f vanishes in a neighbourhood $\{|y - z| < a\}, a > 0$ of z , the above integral (1.12) is zero if $|(r^2 + z^2 + 2rzc\cos\theta)^{1/2} - z| \leq a$. Since z is fixed this says that the above inequality holds for r in a neighbourhood of 0. Now consider the continuous function

$$g(r) = |(r^2 + z^2 + 2rzc\cos\theta)^{1/2} - z| - a,$$

defined on \mathbb{R}_+ . We have $g(0) = -a < 0$ Therefore $g < 0$ in a neighbourhood of 0 as well. This means that for r in some neighbourhood of 0 we have $|(r^2 + z^2 + 2rzc\cos\theta)^{1/2} - z| < a$. Thus $T_r^\alpha f(z) \equiv 0$ in that neighbourhood.

4 A localisation Theorem for Laguerre expansions

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem (2) stated in the introduction, From (1.14) using the orthogonality of ψ_k^α we get

$$\int_0^\infty T_r^\alpha f(z) \varphi_k^\alpha(r) r^{2\alpha+1} dr = \Gamma(\alpha + 1)^2 (f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha \psi_k^\alpha(z). \quad (4.1)$$

Again from (1.14) we get,

$$S_N^\alpha f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^N (f, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha \psi_k^\alpha(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^{-2} \int_0^\infty T_r^\alpha f(z) \sum_{k=0}^N \varphi_k^\alpha(r) r^{2\alpha+1} dr \\
&= (\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^{-2} \int_0^\infty T_r^\alpha f(z) \varphi_N^{\alpha+1}(r) r^{2\alpha+1} dr. \quad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the relation $\sum_0^N L_k^\alpha(x) = L_N^{\alpha+1}(x)$. We use the above representation for $S_N^\alpha f(z)$ to prove Theorem (2). The proof uses the following fact: If $g \in L_\alpha^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then the Fourier-Laguerre coefficients $(g, \psi_k^\alpha)_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Recalling the definition of ψ_k^α this means that

$$\int_0^\infty g(r) \varphi_k^\alpha(r) r^{2\alpha+1} dr = o(k^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

Also if $g \in W_\alpha^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then,

$$\int_0^\infty g(r) \varphi_k^\alpha(r) r^{2\alpha+1} dr = o(k^{-s+\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.4)$$

From (4.2) we get

$$S_N^\alpha f(z) = (\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^{-2} \int_0^\infty \frac{T_r^\alpha f(z)}{r^2} \varphi_N^{\alpha+1}(r) r^{2\alpha+3} dr. \quad (4.5)$$

Let \tilde{h} be a smooth function on (\mathbb{R}_+) such that $\tilde{h}(r) \equiv 1$ on the support of $T_r^\alpha f(z)$ and $\tilde{h}(r) \equiv 0$ in a neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}_+ . Put $h(r) = \frac{\tilde{h}(r)}{r^2}$. Thus we get

$$S_N^\alpha f(z) = (\Gamma(\alpha + 1))^{-2} \int_0^\infty h(r) T_r^\alpha f(z) \varphi_N^{\alpha+1}(r) r^{2\alpha+3} dr \quad (4.6)$$

Now if $T_r^\alpha f(z) \in W_\alpha^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}$, we have by Proposition 3 $h(r) T_r^\alpha f(z) \in W_{\alpha+1}^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}$. Therefore by (4.3),

$$S_N^\alpha f(z) = o(N^{(-\frac{\alpha+1}{2} + \frac{\alpha+1}{2})}) = o(1),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $S_N^\alpha f(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, which proves the theorem.

In view of Lemma 6, if $f \in W_\alpha^{1/2}$, then $T_r^\alpha f(z) \in W_\alpha^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}$, for $z \neq 0$. Thus we have the following corollary to the above theorem.

Corollary 8 *If $f \in W_\alpha^{1/2}$ then the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds at points $z \neq 0$.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: I wish to thank Prof.S.Thangavelu for suggesting this problem and also for many useful discussions I had with him. I also wish to thank The National Board for Higher Mathematics (India) for the financial support.

References

- [1] N.I.AKHIEZER, Lectures on integral transforms, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island.*,(1988).
- [2] R.ASKEY and S.WAINGER, Mean convergence of expansions in Laguerre and Hermite series, *Amer. J. Math.*,87 (1965),695-708.
- [3] E.GORLICH and C.MARKETT, Mean cesaro summability and operator norms for Laguerre expansions, *Comment. Math., Prace Mat., tomus specialis II*, (1979), 139-148.
- [4] N.N.LEBEDEV, Special functions and their applications, *Dover Publ. New York*,(1992).
- [5] C.MARKETT, Mean cesaro summability of Laguerre expansions, and norm estimates with shifted parameter, *Analysis math.*,8 (1982), 19-37.
- [6] B.MUCKENHOUT, Mean convergence of Laguerre and Hermite series II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc*, 147(1970),433-460.
- [7] K.STEMPAK, Almost everywhere summability of Laguerre series, *Studia Math.*, 100(2), (1991).
- [8] K.STEMPAK, Transplanting maximal inequality between Laguerre and Hankel multipliers, preprint.
- [9] G.SZEGO, Orthogonal polynomials, *Amer. Math. Soc., Colloq. publ., (Providence,.)(1967)*.
- [10] S.THANGAVELU, Summability of Laguerre expansions, *Analysis Mathematica*, 16, (1990), 303-315.
- [11] S.THANGAVELU, On regularity of twisted spherical means and special Hermite expansions, *Proc. Ind. Acad. of Sci.*,103, 3 (1993), 303-320.
- [12] S.THANGAVELU, Lectures on Hermite and Laguerre expansions, *Mathematical notes, 42, Princeton Univ. press, Princeton.*(1993).