

String compactification:

String theory is a theory of quantum gravity + other forces & particles.

~ defined in $(g+1)$ dimensional space-time.

Goal: Look for $(g+1)$ dimensional space-times of the form:

$$\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times M_6 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{a 6-dim.} \\ \text{compact} \\ \text{manifold} \\ \text{of small size.} \end{array}$$

$\downarrow$
(3+1) dim. Minkowski space
(nearly Minkowski)

① M_6 has to be chosen so that it is a valid soln. to the eqns.

coming from string theory.

(Generalization of Einstein's eq).

② ~~The~~ Ultimate goal: Choose M_6 so that the resulting theory on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ describe what we see in nature.

- Standard model based on $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$
+ gravity at low energy

Thus the subject of string compactifications

→ ~~has~~ direct connection to.

the physical universe.

At the same time it is ~~the~~ ^{the} part of string theory that is ~~the~~ most mathematically complex.

Why?

First of all Classifying four
choices of M_6 satisfying all consistency
conditions involve considerable and
deriving (3+1) dimensional physics
from it involve considerable
mathematical complexity.

no requires us to go beyond
classical ~~a~~ geometry and explore
stringy geometry.

String theory is $(9+1)$ dim.

→ some massless states + ∞ # of massive ~~states~~ states

Massive states have mass $\sim 10^{19}$ GeV.

$\gg$ observable mass today.
($\sim 10^3$ GeV)

Naive guess: We can keep only the massless states in $(9+1)$ dim & study their dynamics on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times M_6$.

→ involves harmonic analysis on M_6

→ complicated but classical mathematics.

However it turns out that this naive approach sometimes fails.

Some apparently massive states in $g+1$ dimension can become massless in $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times M_6$.

no stringy magic.

We cannot always first restrict to massless states in $(g+1)$ dim. and proceed.

Another way of saying this is that in String theory there are no point-like ~~at~~ objects to probe classical geometry.

All objects ~~are~~ ^{have} finite size
no ordinary geometry will have to be replaced by stringy geometry.
no Unusual things can happen there.

conventions: (differential form $\leftrightarrow$ anti-symmetric tensor).

$F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}^{(p)} \rightarrow$ rank p anti-symmetric tensor.

$$F^{(p)} = \frac{1}{p!} F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p}.$$

$$dF = \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \partial_{\mu_0} F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} dx^{\mu_0} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p}.$$

$$= \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \{ \partial_{\mu_0} F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} + (-1)^p \times (\text{cyclic perm}) \} dx^{\mu_0} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p}.$$

$$\epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} = (\sqrt{-\det g})^{-1} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d}$$

$$\epsilon^{\dots (d-1)} = 1$$

+ totally anti-symmetric.

$\epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}$ transforms as a tensor.

$$\begin{aligned} \int F^{(d)} &= \int d^d x \sqrt{-\det g} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d} F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_d}^{(d)} \\ &= \int d^d x F_{01 \dots (d-1)} \end{aligned}$$

Given $F^{(p)}$, $(*F^{(p)})_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{d-p}} = \frac{1}{p!} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p \nu_1 \dots \nu_p} F_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p}^{(p)}$

IIA supergravity

$$\hbar = c = 1$$

Bosonic fields:

$$\text{NS-NS: } G_{\mu\nu}, B_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}, \Phi$$

$$\text{R-R: } C_{\mu}^{(1)}, C_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(3)}$$

$$F^{(k)} \equiv dC^{(k-1)}$$

$$H^{(3)} = dB^{(2)}$$

$$\tilde{F}^{(2)} = F^{(2)}, \quad \tilde{F}^{(4)} = F^{(4)} - C^{(1)} \wedge H^{(3)}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} = \frac{2\pi}{l_s^8} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^7 (\alpha')^4}$$

$$l_s = 2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'}$$

$$\text{string tension } \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}$$

$$g_s = e^{\langle\Phi\rangle}$$

$$S_{\text{NS}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x (-\det G)^{1/2} e^{-2\Phi} (R_G + 4 G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(3)} H^{\mu\nu\rho(3)} G^{\mu\mu'} G^{\nu\nu'} G^{\rho\rho'})$$

$$S_R = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x (-\det G)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} F_{\mu'\nu'}^{(2)} G^{\mu\mu'} G^{\nu\nu'} + \frac{1}{2 \times 4!} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{(4)} \tilde{F}_{\mu'\nu'\rho'\sigma'}^{(4)} G^{\mu\mu'} G^{\nu\nu'} G^{\rho\rho'} G^{\sigma\sigma'} \right)$$

Note: κ_{10} can be scaled by $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \ln \lambda$, $C_{\mu}^{(k)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda} C_{\mu}^{(k)}$
~~Standard~~ Canonical metric: $g_{\mu\nu}$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = e^{\Phi/2} g_{\mu\nu}$$

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x (-\det g)^{1/2} \left(R_g - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi - \frac{1}{12} e^{-\Phi} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} g^{\rho\rho'} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H_{\mu'\nu'\rho'} - \frac{1}{4} e^{-\frac{\Phi}{2}} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} F_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} F_{\mu'\nu'}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2 \times 4!} e^{-\frac{3\Phi}{2}} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} g^{\rho\rho'} g^{\sigma\sigma'} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{(4)} \tilde{F}_{\mu'\nu'\rho'\sigma'}^{(4)} \right)$$

Note: p -form field strength action

$$-\frac{1}{2K_{10}^2} \frac{1}{p!} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-\det g} \tilde{F}^{(p)}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p} \tilde{F}^{(p)}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} e^{\alpha \Phi}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2K_{10}^2} \int e^{\alpha \Phi} F^{(p)} \wedge *_g F^{(p)}$$

Gauge transformation laws:

g.c.t. usual.

$$\delta B = d \Lambda^{(1)} \quad \leftarrow 1 \text{ form.}$$

$$\delta C^{(1)} = d \Lambda^{(0)} \quad \leftarrow 0 \text{ form.}$$

$$\delta C^{(3)} = d \Lambda^{(2)} + \Lambda^{(0)} \wedge H$$

$$\delta \tilde{F}^{(4)} = \delta (dC^{(3)} - C^{(1)} \wedge H)$$

$$= d\Lambda^{(0)} \wedge H - d\Lambda^{(0)} \wedge H = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$ The action is gauge invariant.

Fermions:

$$\psi_{\mu}^{(\lambda)} \quad \lambda=1,2 \Rightarrow$$

$\Rightarrow$ For each μ, λ , $\psi_{\mu}^{(\lambda)}$ is a Majorana-Weyl spinor $\rightarrow$ 16 component real

$\psi_{\mu}^{(1)}, \psi_{\mu}^{(2)}$: opposite chirality

$\chi^{(1)}, \chi^{(2)}$: opposite chirality $\rightarrow$ dilatino

IIA: Could define:

$$C_3' = C_3 + \cancel{A^{(1)}} \wedge B$$

$$\delta C_3' = \delta C_3 + \cancel{dA^{(0)}} \wedge B + C^{(1)} \wedge d\tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)}$$

$$= \cancel{dC_3} + d\Lambda^{(2)} + \Lambda^{(0)} \wedge H + d\Lambda^{(0)} \wedge B$$

$$+ C^{(1)} \wedge d\Lambda^{(1)} \\ = d\Lambda^{(2)} + d(\Lambda^{(0)} \wedge B) - d(C^{(1)} \wedge \tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)}) \\ + dC^{(1)} \wedge \tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)}$$

$$dC_3' = dC_3 + F^{(2)} \wedge B - C^{(1)} \wedge H$$

$$\tilde{F}^{(1)} = dC_3' - F^{(2)} \wedge B + C^{(1)} \wedge H - C^{(1)} \wedge H \\ = dC_3' - F^{(2)} \wedge B$$

$$\delta C_3' = d\Lambda^{(2)} + \tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)} \wedge \tilde{F}^{(2)}$$

Note: Φ has no potential.

$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, $\Phi = C \rightarrow \text{sol. of arbitrary}$
moduli field.

String theory also gives ∞ set of higher derivative corrections which we ignore for now.

IIB NSNS - sector identical.

RR sector: $C^{(0)}$, $C_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$, $C_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}^{(4)}$

$$F^{(1)} = dC^{(0)}, \quad F^{(3)} = dC^{(2)}$$

$$F^{(5)} = dC^{(4)}$$

$$\tilde{F}^{(3)} = F^{(3)} - C^{(0)} \wedge H^{(3)}$$

$$\tilde{F}^{(5)} = F^{(5)} - \frac{1}{2} C^{(2)} \wedge H^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2} B^{(2)} \wedge F^{(3)}$$

$$S_0 = S_{\text{NSNS}} + S_{\text{RR}}$$

↙ identical

$$S_{\text{RR}} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-\det g}$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu}^{(1)} F_{\nu}^{(1)} G^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2 \times 3!} G^{\mu\mu'} G^{\nu\nu'} G^{ee'} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu e}^{(3)} \tilde{F}_{\mu'v'e'}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2 \times 5!} G^{\mu\mu'} G^{\nu\nu'} G^{ee'} G^{\sigma\sigma'} G^{\tau\tau'} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau e}^{(5)} \tilde{F}_{\mu'v'e'\sigma'\tau'}^{(5)} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\kappa_{10}^2} \int C^{(4)} \wedge H^{(3)} \wedge F^{(3)}$$

Constraints: $\tilde{F}^{(5)} = * \tilde{F}^{(5)}$

Gauge Transformation:

$$\delta C^{(4)} = d\Lambda^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{(1)} \wedge H^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)} \wedge F^{(3)}$$

Canonical metric: $G_{\mu\nu} = e^{\frac{\Phi}{2}} g_{\mu\nu}$

$$S_{RR} = -\frac{1}{2K_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-\det g}$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{2} e^{2\Phi} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu C^{(0)} \partial_\nu C^{(0)} \right.$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2 \times 3!} e^\Phi g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} g^{ee'} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu e}^{(3)} \tilde{F}_{\mu'\nu' e'}^{(3)}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2 \times 5!} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} g^{ee'} g^{\sigma\sigma'} g^{\tau\tau'} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu e \sigma \tau}^{(5)} \tilde{F}_{\mu'\nu' e' \sigma' \tau'}^{(5)} \left. \right]$$

$$\tau = C^{(0)} + i e^{-\Phi}$$

$$G^{(3)} \equiv F^{(3)} - \tau H^{(3)}$$

$$S_{\text{II B}} = \frac{1}{2K_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-\det g}$$

$$\left[R_g - \frac{1}{2(\text{Im}\tau)^2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \tau \partial_\nu \bar{\tau} \right.$$

$$- \frac{1}{2(\text{Im}\tau)} \frac{1}{3!} G_{\mu\nu e}^{(3)} \bar{G}_{\mu'\nu' e'}^{(3)} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} g^{ee'} \left. \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{5!} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu e \sigma \tau}^{(5)} \tilde{F}_{\mu'\nu' e' \sigma' \tau'}^{(5)} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} g^{ee'} g^{\sigma\sigma'} g^{\tau\tau'} \left. \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{24K_{10}^2} \int C^{(4)} \wedge H^{(3)} \wedge F^{(3)}$$

$$\frac{1}{2K_{10}^2} = \frac{2\pi}{l_s^8}, \quad l_s = 2\pi \sqrt{\alpha'}$$

$$\frac{1}{2K_{10}^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^7 (\alpha')^4}$$

Duality symmetry:

$$\tau \rightarrow \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}^{(3)} \\ F^{(3)} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}^{(3)} \\ F^{(3)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\widetilde{F}^{(5)} \rightarrow \widetilde{F}^{(5)} \quad g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}$$

Fermions: $\psi_{\mu}^{(i)}$, $i=1, 2$

→ Two Majorana-Weyl gravitinos of same chirality.

$\chi^{(i)}$, $i=1, 2$ → Two Majorana Weyl dilatations of same chirality but opposite to that of the gravitino.

$SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ duality is conjectured to be an exact symmetry. → Strong-weak coupling

$\mathcal{H}^{(3)}$ and $F^{(3)}$ are both quantized

↓
F-string charge → D-string charge.

$$SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$$

$SO(32)$ and $E_8 \times E_8$ Heterotic string theory:

NSNS-sector: identical to IIA & IIB.

N = RR sector.

Instead we have gauge fields A_μ^a

$a = 1, \dots, 496$

of generators of $E_8 \times E_8$ and $SO(32)$
 $248 + 248$ $\frac{32 \times 31}{2}$

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-\det G} e^{-2\Phi}$$

$$[R_\alpha + \frac{1}{4} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2 \times 3!} G^{\mu\mu'} G^{\nu\nu'} G^{\rho\rho'} \tilde{H}_{\mu\nu\rho} \tilde{H}_{\mu'\nu'\rho'}$$

$$= \frac{c_H}{2!} G^{\mu\mu'} G^{\nu\nu'} \text{Tr}_V (F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu'\nu'}^a) ; \quad \text{Tr}_V (T^a T^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$$

$$\tilde{H}^{(3)} = dB^{(2)} - c_H \omega^{(3)}(A)$$

$$\omega^{(3)}(A) = \text{Tr}_V (A^a \wedge dA^a + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A)$$

$$A_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}^a T^a$$

$\text{Tr}_V \rightarrow$ Trace in the vector representation of $\text{SO}(32)$

$$= \frac{1}{30} \text{Tr}_A \quad \text{Trace in the adjoint representation of } \text{SO}(32)$$

For $E_8 \times E_8$ there is no vector representation

$\Rightarrow$ Use adjoint representation

$$\text{Tr}_V \equiv \frac{1}{30} \text{Tr}_A \quad \text{for } E_8 \times E_8$$

$$C_H = \frac{\alpha'}{4}$$

(Follows from string theory / supersymmetry)

Gauge brs:

$$\delta A = d \underbrace{\Lambda_H}_{\Lambda_H^a \pi^a} + [A, \Lambda_H]$$

$$\delta \omega^{(3)}(A) = d \text{Tr}_V (\Lambda_H F)$$

$$\delta B^{(2)} = d \tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)} + C_H \text{Tr}_V (\Lambda_H F)$$

$$\delta \mathcal{H}^{(3)} = C_H d \text{Tr}_V (\Lambda_H F)$$

$$\delta \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)} = \delta \mathcal{H}^{(3)} - C_H \delta \omega^{(3)}(A) = 0$$

Canonical metric $g_{\mu\nu}$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = e^{\frac{\Phi}{2}} g_{\mu\nu}$$

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\det g} \left[R_g - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2 \times 3!} e^{-\Phi} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} g^{\rho\rho'} \tilde{H}_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(3)} \tilde{H}_{\mu'\nu'\rho'}^{(3)}$$

$$- \frac{c_H}{2!} e^{-\Phi/2} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} \text{Tr}_V (F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu'\nu'})$$

Type I superstring theory:

IB/ Ω_p world-sheet parity transformation.

NS-sector: $G_{\mu\nu}$ & Φ survive.

R-sector: $C_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$ survives. $F^{(3)} = dC^{(2)}$

We need to add 32 D-9 branes for tadpole cancellation.

$\Rightarrow$ $SO(32)$ gauge field.

$$S_{NS-NS} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-\det G} e^{-2\Phi} [R_G + 4 G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi]$$

$$S_{RR} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-\det G} \frac{1}{2 \times 3!}$$

$$G^{\mu\mu'} G^{\nu\nu'} G^{\rho\rho'} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(3)} \tilde{F}_{\mu'\nu'\rho'}^{(3)}$$

$$S_{\text{gauge}} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-\det G} e^{-\Phi}$$

$$\frac{C_I}{2!} G^{\mu\mu'} G^{\nu\nu'} \text{Tr}_V (F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu'\nu'})$$

$$\tilde{F}^{(3)} = dC^{(2)} - C_I \omega_3(A)$$

$\Downarrow$

$$\text{Tr}_V (A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A)$$

Canonical metric: $g_{\mu\nu}$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = e^{\frac{\Phi}{2}} g_{\mu\nu}$$

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-\det g} \left[R_g - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi \right.$$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{2 \times 3!} e^{-\Phi} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} g^{\rho\rho'} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{(3)} \tilde{F}_{\rho'\nu'\rho'}^{(3)} \right.$$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \frac{C_I}{2!} \int d^{10}x e^{-\frac{\Phi}{2}} g^{\mu\mu'} g^{\nu\nu'} \text{Tr}_v (F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu'\nu'}) \right]$$

$$C_I = \frac{\alpha'}{4}$$

$$\text{D-string tension: } \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha' g_I}$$

in string scale.

Gauge transformation:

$$g_I = e^{\langle \Phi_I \rangle}$$

$$\delta A_\mu = d\Lambda_I + (A, \Lambda_I)$$

$$\delta c^{(2)} = d\Lambda_I^{(1)} + C_I \text{Tr}(\Lambda_I F)$$

$$\delta \tilde{F}^{(3)} = \delta (dc^{(2)} + C_I \omega_3(A)) = 0$$

$$\text{Since } \delta \omega_3(A) = d \text{Tr}_v (\Lambda_I F)$$

$$(A \wedge A \wedge A \frac{1}{6} + A \wedge A \wedge A)_{\text{Tr}}$$

Compare the canonical actions of heterotic & type I for same K_{10} :
 sets overall scale.

Same if we choose

$$\Phi_H = -\Phi_I$$

$$B_H^{(2)} = \Phi C_I^{(2)}$$

$$A_H = A_I$$



Heterotic

Type I

Conjecture: These two string theories are dual to each other.

Heterotic coupling $e^{\langle \Phi_H \rangle}$

Type I coupling $e^{\langle \Phi_I \rangle}$

Strong-weak

Note: We have shifted Φ to match overall normalization.

In explicit calculation we have to take into account extra factors if this shift has not been done.

Another important classical field theory:

11-dimensional supergravity.

Fields: $g_{\mu\nu}$, $c^{(3)}_{\mu\nu\rho}$, ψ_μ
Bosonic Gravitino.
real
32 components.
(Majorana).

Bosonic action:

$$S_{11} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{11}^2} \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-\det g} \left(R_g - \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{4!} \right. \\ \left. \times g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\gamma\delta} F_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}^{(4)} F_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^{(4)} \right) \\ - \frac{1}{6} \int c^{(3)} \wedge F^{(4)} \wedge F^{(4)}$$

$$F^{(4)} = dc^{(3)}.$$

This does not come from the low energy limit of any string theory but is nevertheless going to be important.

Supersymmetry:

$\delta(\text{boson}) = \text{fermionic combination of fields}$

$\delta(\text{fermion}) = \text{bosonic combination of fields}$

In particular: in heterotic, type I

$$\delta \psi_\mu = D_\mu \epsilon + \dots$$

↓
terms involving other
bosonic background fields.

$\epsilon(x)$: Arbitrary x dependent Majorana-

Weyl spinor.

Type II: $\epsilon(x) \rightarrow \epsilon^{(i)}(x) : i=1, 2$.

Global supersymmetry $\rightarrow$ refers to
a background.

If $\delta(\text{field}) = 0$ for some $\epsilon(x)$ in
a background then that $\epsilon(x)$
describes a global susy.

Heterotic / type I:

$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, All other fields = 0.

$\delta \psi_\mu = D_\mu \epsilon = 0$ if $\epsilon(x) = \text{constant}$.

$\Rightarrow$ 16 independent ϵ : $N=1$ susy.

Type IIA/IIB:

$$\delta \psi_{\mu}^{(\lambda)} = D_{\mu} \epsilon^{(\lambda)} + \dots \quad \lambda = 1, 2.$$

$\epsilon^{(1)}, \epsilon^{(2)}$ have opposite chirality $\in$ IIA
Same $\epsilon^{(1)}, \epsilon^{(2)} \in$ IIB.

$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, all other fields = 0.

$$\delta \psi_{\mu}^{(\lambda)} = \partial_{\mu} \epsilon^{(\lambda)} = 0 \text{ for constant } \epsilon^{(\lambda)}$$

$$\epsilon^{(1)} = \text{const.} \quad \epsilon^{(2)} = 0$$

$$\epsilon^{(2)} = \text{const.} \quad \epsilon^{(1)} = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow$ 32 independent components.

$$N=2 \quad \text{SO} \times \text{USY.}$$

(Note: SUSY is different $\in$ IIA & IIB)

IIA: opposite chirality $\epsilon^{(\lambda)}$.

IIB: same chirality $\epsilon^{(\lambda)}$.

11-d Supergravity

32 independent components $\Rightarrow N=1 \in$ 11-D.

Compactification

Take the $g+1$ dimensional space to be

$$\mathbb{R}^{d,1} \times M_{g-d}$$

$\Downarrow$

$(d+1)$ dimensional

Minkowski space

Some $(g-d)$
dimensional
compact space.

$\Downarrow$

Could also be

ds or AdS.

Physically relevant situation:

$d=3$.

We need ds but its curvature is so low that to first approximation we can look for Minkowski.

Instead of attempting to go directly at $d=3$ we shall first study compactification in a more general context.

Simplest Compactification

$$M_{9-d} = S^1 \quad (d=8).$$

circle.

$$0 \leq y < 2\pi R$$

Convention: $0 \leq y < 2\pi$. ($y \equiv y + 2\pi$)

The physical radius is parametrized by G_{yy} (or g_{yy}).

Simple example: Scalar field ϕ .

~~$$\phi = \phi(x^\mu)$$~~

Convention: $\{x^{\hat{\mu}}\}$: Full set of coordinates

$$\hat{\mu} = 0, \dots, 9.$$

$\{x^\mu\}$: Coordinates of R^{d+1} .

$$\mu = 0, \dots, d$$

y^m : ~~Coordinate~~ coordinates of M_{9-d}
— compact space.

~~Consider~~ In 10-d only massless states are relevant.

Consider a massless scalar ϕ :

$$\hat{\square} \phi = 0$$

$\Downarrow$ in flat background ($\mathbb{R}^{08,1} \times S^1$)

$$(-\partial_0^2 + \vec{\nabla}^2 + \partial_y^2) \phi = 0 \quad g_{yy} = R^2, \quad g^{yy} = \frac{1}{R^2}$$

$$\phi = \sum \phi_n e^{i n y}$$

$$\left(-\partial_0^2 + \vec{\nabla}^2 - \frac{n^2}{R^2}\right) \phi_n = 0.$$

scalar

ϕ_n : a ~~real~~ field of

$$\text{mass}^2 = \frac{n^2}{R^2}$$

We assume that R is small.

$$\sim l_s$$

$\Rightarrow$ Only $n=0$ modes describe massless fields in 9-dim.

— keep only this mode.

Conclusion: Massless scalar in 10-d

⇒ single massless scalar in 9-d.

Massless vector $\hat{A}_\mu$.

$$\hat{A}_y = \sum_n \hat{A}_{yn} e^{iny}$$

$$\hat{A}_\mu = \sum_n A_{\mu n} e^{iny}$$

Keep only $n=0$ mode:

$A_{y0} \rightarrow$ a massless scalar.

$A_{\mu 0} \rightarrow$ a massless vector.

Similarly from the metric:

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} g_{yy} \rightarrow \text{massless scalar} \\ g_{y\mu} \rightarrow \text{massless vector} \\ g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \text{metric} \end{array} \right\} \text{in 9-d.}$$

The action involving these 9 dimensional fields can be evaluated by taking the original action and evaluating it for y-independent fields.

This procedure is good for a quick counting of the fields we have.

e.g. 11-d sugra on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{9,1}$

Original fields $g_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}, C_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}}^{(3)}$

$\downarrow$ on S^1

$g_{\mu\nu}, g_{\mu y}, g_{yy}, C_{\mu\nu y}, C_{y y y}$

$\downarrow$ Metric $\downarrow$ Vector $\downarrow$ Scalar $\downarrow$ 3-form $\downarrow$ 2-form

$\rightarrow$ Same as the spectrum of IIA supergravity.

But one can actually demonstrate that the actions are equal.

$\downarrow$

For this we have to cleverly identify 11-d fields $\leftrightarrow$ fields of IIA.

Strategy: Choose ~~the~~ ~~a~~ appropriate combination of fields whose gauge trs. laws are simple.

$$ds^2 = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \tilde{g}_{mn} (dy^m + A_\mu^m dx^\mu) (dy^n + A_\nu^n dx^\nu)$$

⇒ The ~~old~~ ~~original~~ metric is:

$$\underbrace{\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}}_{g_{\mu\nu}} + A_\mu^m A_\nu^n \tilde{g}_{mn} dx^\mu dx^\nu$$

$$+ \tilde{g}_{mn} A_\mu^m dy^n dx^\mu + \tilde{g}_{mn} A_\nu^n dy^m dx^\nu + \tilde{g}_{mn} dy^m dy^n$$

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{g}_{mn} A_\mu^m A_\nu^n$$

$$g_{mn} = \tilde{g}_{mn}$$

Why this parametrization?

Consider y independent g.c.t.

$$y^m \rightarrow y^m + f^m(x)$$

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu$$

$$ds^2 = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \tilde{g}_{mn} (dy^m + \partial_\mu f^m dx^\mu) (dy^n + \partial_\nu f^n dx^\nu)$$

$$+ \tilde{g}_{mn} (dy^m + \partial_\mu f^m dx^\mu + A_\mu^m \partial_\rho f^m dx^\rho) (dy^n + \partial_\nu f^n dx^\nu + A_\nu^n \partial_\sigma f^n dx^\sigma)$$

~~$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \xi^\nu + \partial_\nu \xi^\mu$$~~

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu \xi^\nu + \partial_\nu \xi^\mu$$

$$A_\mu \rightarrow \partial_\mu \xi^\nu A_\nu + \partial_\mu f^m$$

$$\tilde{g}_{mn} \rightarrow \tilde{g}_{mn}$$

With this parametrization,

$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$: tensor of rank 2 } under
 A_μ : vector } g.c.t.

$\tilde{g}_{mn}$: scalar

$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu f^m$: gauge trs. law
 of A_μ .

→ Standard transformations.

→ Makes it easy to compare.

11-d Super on $(S^1)^{9-d}$:

$$C_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{6} \tilde{C}_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(3)} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6} \times 3 \tilde{C}_{m\nu\rho}^{(3)} (dy^m + A_\mu^m dx^\mu) \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6} \times 3 \tilde{C}_{mnp}^{(3)} (dy^m + A_\mu^m dx^\mu)$$

$$\wedge (dy^n + A_\nu^n dx^\nu) \wedge dx^\rho$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6} \tilde{C}_{mnp}^{(3)} (dy^m + A_\mu^m dx^\mu) \wedge (dy^n + A_\nu^n dx^\nu)$$

$$\wedge (dy^p + A_\rho^p dx^\rho).$$

Under $y^m \rightarrow y^m + f^m(x)$

$dy^m + A_\mu^m dx^\mu$ is invariant.

$\Rightarrow$ With this def.

$\tilde{C}_{\mu\nu\rho}^{(3)}$, $\tilde{C}_{m\nu\rho}^{(3)}$, $\tilde{C}_{mnp}^{(3)}$ & $\tilde{C}_{mnp}^{(3)}$ are gauge

neutral.

3-form 2-form 1-form scalar.

Useful for making field identifications between different formulations.

Gauge trs. parameter $\Lambda^{(2)}$.

$$\Lambda^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Lambda}_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu + \tilde{\Lambda}_{mn}^{(2)} (dy^m + A_\mu^m dx^\mu) \wedge dx^n$$

$$\delta C^{(3)} = d\Lambda^{(2)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} (d\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)})_{\mu\nu\rho} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho$$

$$+ \partial_\rho \tilde{\Lambda}_{mn}^{(2)} dx^\rho \wedge (dy^m + A_\nu^m dx^\nu) \wedge dx^n$$

$$+ \tilde{\Lambda}_{mn}^{(2)} \partial_\rho A_\nu^m dx^\rho \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^n$$

$$+ \partial_\rho \tilde{\Lambda}_{mn}^{(2)} (dy^m + A_\mu^m dx^\mu) \wedge (dy^n + A_\nu^n dx^\nu)$$

$$+ \tilde{\Lambda}_{mn}^{(2)} \wedge \partial_\rho A_\mu^m dx^\rho \wedge dx^\mu \wedge (dy^n + A_\nu^n dx^\nu)$$

$$+ \tilde{\Lambda}_{mn}^{(2)} (dy^m + A_\mu^m dx^\mu) \wedge \partial_\sigma A_\nu^n dx^\sigma \wedge dx^\nu$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta \tilde{C}^{(3)} = d\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)} + \tilde{\Lambda}_m^{(1)} \wedge \tilde{F}^{(2)m}$$

$$\delta \tilde{C}_{mn}^{(2)} = -d\tilde{\Lambda}_m^{(1)} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{mn}^{(0)} \cdot \tilde{F}^{(2)m}$$

$$\delta \tilde{C}_{mn}^{(1)} = d\tilde{\Lambda}_{mn}^{(2)}$$

on S^2 : $\delta \tilde{C}^{(3)} = d\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)} + \tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)} \wedge F^{(2)}$

$$\delta \tilde{C}^{(2)} = -d\tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)}$$

$$\delta \tilde{C}^{(1)} = \tilde{C}^{(1)} \text{ does not exist.}$$

Recall in IIA string theory:

$$\delta B = d\tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{agrees with } \tilde{C}^{(2)}$$

$$\delta C^{(1)} = d\Lambda^{(0)} \rightarrow \text{agrees with } A_\mu$$

$$\delta C^{(3)} = d\Lambda^{(2)} + \Lambda^{(1)} \mathcal{H}.$$

$\rightarrow$ does not agree with $\tilde{C}^{(3)}$ from sugra.

$$C'^{(3)} = C^{(3)} + C^{(1)} \wedge B$$

$$\delta C'^{(3)} = d\Lambda^{(2)} + \tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)} \wedge F^{(2)} \quad \checkmark.$$

$$C'^{(3)} \text{ in IIA} = \tilde{C}^{(3)} \text{ of 11-d sugra.}$$

Ex. check that the two actions are

equal if we choose:

$$ds_{11}^2 = e^{\frac{-2\Phi}{3}} G_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$$

$\hookrightarrow$ IIA string metric.

$$+ e^{\frac{4\Phi}{3}} (dy + A_\mu dx^\mu)^2$$

$$\sqrt{\det g} \, g^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{e^{-10 \times \frac{2\Phi}{3} + \frac{4\Phi}{3}}} e^{\frac{2\Phi}{3}} = e^{-\frac{8\Phi}{3} + \frac{2\Phi}{3} - 2\Phi} = e^{-2\Phi}$$

Note: ~~weak~~ 11-d limit $\Rightarrow G_{\mu\nu}$ large

$\Rightarrow \Phi$ large $\Rightarrow$ IIA strongly coupled.

Conjecture: Strongly coupled IIA $\Rightarrow$ 11-d sugra.

Other duality relations:

$$\text{IIA on } S^1 = \text{IIB on } S^1.$$

$$G_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{IIA}} = 1/G_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{IIB}} \quad \alpha' = 1$$

$$e^{-2\Phi_{\text{IIA}}} \sqrt{G_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{IIA}}} = e^{-2\Phi_{\text{IIB}}} \sqrt{G_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{IIB}}}$$

Note: Small $\Phi_{\text{IIA}} \Rightarrow$ Small Φ_{IIB} .

$\leadsto$ weak coupling duality:

$\rightarrow$ testable in string perturbation theory.

Small $G_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{IIA}} \Rightarrow$ small radius.

$\Downarrow$
Large $G_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{IIB}} \Rightarrow$ Large radius.

Surprising in the full theory!

Expectation: Small radius $\Rightarrow$ effectively $(8+1)$ -dim theory.

Large radius $\Rightarrow$ effectively $(9+1)$ -dim theory.

How can the two be equivalent?

A more precise formulation of the puzzle:

Recall that the n -th Fourier mode of ~~the~~ a ~~massless~~ massless particle in $(8+1)d$.

$\Rightarrow$ a particle of mass $\frac{n}{R}$ is the $(8+1)d$ theory

$\downarrow$
 ∞ as $R \rightarrow \infty$

$\Rightarrow$ Only a finite # of light modes remain.

(One from each field).

In $R \rightarrow \infty$ limit they become massless.

$\Rightarrow \infty$ # of light modes in $(8+1)d$.

How can the two theories be the same?

Stringy magic (T-duality).

String wound along circle n times has

mass $\propto nR$

As $R \rightarrow \infty$ they become light.

Extra modes of the dual theory.

Other duality relations in (8+1) dim.

$E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic on S^1 of radius R

$$= E_8 \times E_8 \quad " \quad " \quad " \quad " \quad " \quad \alpha'/R.$$

Same for $SO(32)$ heterotic.

Both theories on S^1 have additional moduli fields.

$A_y^a \neq 0$ ~~for a Cartan algebra.~~

~~A_y^a~~

We can choose A_y^a s.t.

$$E_8 \times E_8 \rightarrow SO(16) \times SO(16)$$

$$SO(32) \rightarrow SO(16) \times SO(16)$$

In each case choose $\langle A_y^a \rangle$ such that it is half-way towards the full period.

$$\langle A_y^a \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \int A_y^a T^a dy$$

~~= identity~~ no effect.

↓
a group element which squares to identity.

In this case: $E_8 \times E_8$ on circle of radius $R = SO(32)$ heterotic on circle of radius $\alpha'/2R$.

Another stringy surprise: Consider
 either $SO(32)$ or $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic on
 S^1 with $A_y^a = 0$.

At $R = \sqrt{\alpha_1}$ the gauge group $E_8 \times E_8 \times U(1)$ is enhanced to $E_8 \times E_8 \times SU(2)$ from GUT.

Same for $SO(32)$ heterotic:

Some stringy winding modes become massless to provide $W^\pm$ bosons of $SU(2)$.

Combine the quality frs:

M theory on $S^1 \times S^1 = \mathbb{I}A$ on S^1
 $= \mathbb{I}B$ on S^1 .

$SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of $\mathbb{H}^2 \cong$ geometric brs.

$$\begin{pmatrix} y^8 \\ y^9 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y^8 \\ y^9 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} ad - bc = 1 \\ a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_n \end{matrix}$$

Compactification on T^6 :

Type IIB/IIA: 32 supercharges

$$= \mathcal{N}=8 \text{ SUSY}$$

Heterotic; Type I: 16 supercharges

$$= \mathcal{N}=4 \text{ SUSY}$$

Action: Can be worked out by dimensional reduction.

— Also fixed by SUSY.

Good 4-d theories but not phenomenologically interesting.

→ No chiral fermions.

A 10-d spinor (16-component Majorana-Weyl)

→ 4 4-d Majorana spinors.

→ left-right symmetric interaction with gauge fields.

(Form real representation).