

Muon (μ^-) life time Measurement

$$\langle t \rangle = t_{stop} - t_{start}$$

is the measured quantity

It is a random quantity

Infinitely many measurements of t is possible.

That infinitely many set is the population

We know from the theory of muon decay that the decay lifetime (τ) is given by:

$$\tau = \frac{192 \pi^3 \hbar^7}{G_F^2 m_\mu^5 c^4}$$


The measured lifetime of one muon is exponentially distributed. i.e.

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-t/\tau}$$

The true mean or population mean

$$\int_0^\infty t f(t) dt = \tau \quad \text{is a constant parameter}$$

This average over infinitely many measurements will give us the true value of muon lifetime and should match exactly with the theory.



This parameter τ has a physical meaning and we are interested in measuring it.

It is impossible to average over infinitely many experiments.

⇒ we can't find the true value of τ .

⇒ Best we can do is estimate τ from a finite number of measurements

e.g. from 10 measurements $t_1, t_2 \dots t_{10}$ we can estimate τ by taking a finite average

$$\hat{\tau} = \frac{t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{10}}{10}$$

Note

$t_1, t_2 \dots t_{10}$ are independent and identically distributed iid

10 is our sample size

$\{t_1, t_2 \dots t_{10}\}$ is the sample

$\hat{\tau}$, the sample mean is an estimator of τ , the population mean

All possible values of t is the sample space

$\hat{\tau}$ is a function of the sample, the data we have taken ⇒ $\hat{\tau}$ is itself a random quantity.

- What is the underlying distribution of $\hat{\tau}$?
 - Is the sample mean a "good" estimator of τ ?
 - How to construct an estimator? ✓
 - How to evaluate them? ✓
- } Theory of point estimation

Definitions, notations ...

$$\underline{\vec{x}} = \{ x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \}$$

$t_1 \quad t_2 \quad \dots \quad t_n$

[x can be momentum, energy, decay length ... anything measured]

\vec{x} is a random vector with its own sample space

$$\underline{\vec{\theta}} = \{ \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k \} \text{ is parameter vector.}$$

pop

e.g. if $f(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}}$

$\theta \equiv \underline{\vec{\theta}}$

$$\underline{\vec{\theta}} = \{ \mu, \sigma^2 \}$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow$
 $\theta_1 \quad \theta_2$

$\underline{\vec{\theta}}$ is constant vector but unknown

$$\underline{\hat{\theta}} = \{ \hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k \} \text{ are the estimators of } \underline{\vec{\theta}}$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(\vec{x}) \text{ are random vectors.}$$

Definition: A point estimator is any function $T(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of a sample; that is, any statistic is a point estimator

[Casella & Berger]

Distributions of \vec{x} and $\hat{\theta}$

$$f_{\text{sample}}(\vec{x}) = f(x_1) \cdot f(x_2) \cdots f(x_n) \quad \left[\text{if } \vec{x} \text{ is iid} \right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$$

For the muon lifetime exp.

$$f_{\text{sample}}(\vec{t}) = f(t_1) \cdots f(t_n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\tau^n} \cdot e^{-t_1/\tau} \cdot e^{-t_2/\tau} \cdots e^{-t_n/\tau}$$


$$= \frac{1}{\tau^n} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{\tau} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \right)}$$

$\hat{\theta}$ is random \Rightarrow has its own distribution called a sampling distribution

In the muon lifetime experiment, using C.L.T.

$$\hat{\tau} \sim N\left(\tau, \frac{\tau^2}{n}\right) \quad \text{when } n \gg 1$$

ie normal distributed with $\mu = \tau$ (mean)
 $\sigma^2 = \frac{\tau^2}{n}$ (variance)



Note that $E[\hat{\tau}] = \tau$ (the true value)

independent of n .

Only the variance becomes narrower.

Such an estimator is called an unbiased estimator

Unbiased and consistent estimators

Suppose the sampling distribution of $\hat{\theta}$ is $g(\hat{\theta}; \theta)$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{E[\hat{\theta}]} = \int \underline{\hat{\theta}} \underline{g(\hat{\theta}; \theta)} d\hat{\theta}$$

$$= \int \dots \int \underline{\hat{\theta}(\vec{x})} f(x_1; \theta) \dots f(x_n; \theta) \underline{dx_1 \dots dx_n}$$

(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)

$= \theta$
unbiased

1) bias $b = \underline{E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta}$ $E[\hat{\tau}] - \tau = 0$

does not depend on the measured values of the sample, but sample size.

Unbiased estimator $\Rightarrow \underline{b = 0}$ independent of n


bias may tend to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, such an estimator is asymptotically unbiased.

2) As sample size n increases, if the probability of the estimator value $\hat{\theta}$ being different from θ the true value, tends to zero, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \epsilon) = 0 \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0$$

We will call the estimator consistent


consistent \neq unbiased.




3) Mean squared error (MSE)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{MSE} &= E[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2] = E[(\hat{\theta} - E[\hat{\theta}] + (E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta))^2] \\
 &= E[(\hat{\theta} - E[\hat{\theta}])^2] + \\
 &= E[(\hat{\theta} - E[\hat{\theta}])^2 + (E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta)^2 + 2(\hat{\theta} - E[\hat{\theta}])(E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta)] \\
 &= E[(\hat{\theta} - E[\hat{\theta}])^2] + E[(E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta)^2] \\
 &= V(\hat{\theta}) + b^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Can be used as justification for adding statistical and systematic uncertainty in quadrature.



Convergence in probability



Asymptotically unbiased

Estimators of mean, variance, covariance.

Already seen with μ -decay example that,

$$\hat{\mu} \equiv \bar{x}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \quad \text{is an unbiased and consistent estimator:}$$

- by central limit theorem

[you can also directly calculate $E[\hat{\mu}]$ and show that $E[\hat{\mu}] = \mu$] **Homework**

Weak law of large numbers (WLLN)

Let $x_1, x_2 \dots$ be iid random variables with $E[x_i] = \mu$ and $\text{var}(x_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\bar{x}_n - \mu| < \epsilon) = 1$$

that is \bar{x}_n converges in probability

This implies consistency of \bar{x}_n by definition

(Note Will not hold for Cauchy or Breit Wigner)

Estimator of variance:

A natural choice would be

$$s'^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2 \quad \leftarrow \text{biased!}$$

Homework

Prove that $E[s'^2] = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2$.

$$\Rightarrow s^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} s'^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2$$

is the unbiased, consistent estimator of σ^2

Note that: s'^2 is consistent too

Proof of $s'^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2$

$$s'^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (x_i - \mu + \mu - \bar{x}_n)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(s'^2) &= \frac{1}{n} E \left[\sum_i \left\{ (x_i - \mu)^2 + (\bar{x}_n - \mu)^2 - 2(x_i - \mu)(\bar{x}_n - \mu) \right\} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_i E[(x_i - \mu)^2] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_i E[(\bar{x}_n - \mu)^2] \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n} \sum_i E \left[(x_i - \mu) \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n x_j}{n} - \mu \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

note that $E[(x_i - \mu)^2] = \sigma^2$

$$E[(\bar{x}_n - \mu)^2] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \quad (\text{variance of sample mean})$$

$$\Rightarrow E[s'^2] = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2} \cdot (\text{term 3})$$

↑
this n comes from $\sum_{i=1}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{term 3} &= \sum_i E \left[(x_i - \mu) \left(\sum_j x_j - n\mu \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_i E \left[(x_i - \mu) \left\{ (x_i - \mu) + \sum_{j \neq i} (x_j - \mu) \right\} \right] \\ &= \sum_i E[(x_i - \mu)^2] + \sum_{j \neq i} E[(x_i - \mu)(x_j - \mu)] \\ &= n\sigma^2 \quad \uparrow \sigma^2 \quad \uparrow \text{covariance of iid} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore E[s'^2] &= \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2} \cdot n\sigma^2 = \sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2. \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

If the true population mean is known then

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 = \underbrace{\bar{x}^2 - \mu^2}_{\text{check!}} \quad \text{is unbiased estimator of } \sigma^2$$

We already know from CLT that the variance of \bar{x}_n is $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$. It is easy to check directly also,

$$V[\bar{x}_n] = E[\bar{x}^2] - (E[\bar{x}])^2$$

Substitute for $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ and expand. (see Cowan)

It is not surprising that the estimator of k^{th} central moment

$$m_k = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^k$$

Variance of m_2 that is s^2 is given by

$$V[s^2] = \frac{1}{n} \left(\mu_4 - \frac{n-3}{n-1} \mu_2^2 \right)$$

For 2 dimensional normal pdf one can show that

$$E[r] = \rho - \frac{\rho(1-\rho^2)}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)$$

$$V[r] = \frac{1}{n} (1-\rho^2)^2 + o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)$$

where $\rho = \hat{V}_{xy} / s_x s_y$

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$$

$$\hat{V}_{xy} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)(y_i - \bar{y}_n) = \frac{n}{n-1} (\bar{x}_n \bar{y}_n - \bar{x}_n \bar{y}_n)$$

↑ is the estimator of covariance of x and y