

# SOME DENSITY QUESTIONS AND AN APPLICATION

R. THANGADURAI

ABSTRACT. Let  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell\}$  be a finite set of non-zero integers. Recently, R. Balasubramanian *et al.*, ([2], 2010) computed the density of those primes  $p$  such that  $a_i$  is a quadratic residue (respectively, non-residue) modulo  $p$  for every  $i$ . As an application of this result, they proved an exact formula for the degree of the multi-quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_\ell})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In this lecture notes, we give an expository of the above result together with all the preliminaries that needed.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\ell\}$  be a finite set of non-zero integers.

In 1968, M. Fried [3] answered that there are infinitely many primes  $p$  for which  $a$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  for every  $a \in S$ . Also, he provided a necessary and sufficient condition for  $a$  to be a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$  for every  $a \in S$ . More recently, S. Wright [13] and [14] also studied this qualitative problem.

For a given prime  $p$ , the set of all quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$  is a disjoint union of the set of all generators  $g$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$  (which are called primitive roots modulo  $p$ ) and the complement set contains all the non-residues which are not primitive roots modulo  $p$ .

In 1927, E. Artin [1] conjectured the following;

**Artin's primitive root conjecture.** Let  $g \neq \pm 1$  be a square-free integer. Then there are infinitely many primes  $p$  such that  $g$  is a primitive root modulo  $p$ .

Note that it is not even known that for a given square-free integer,  $g \neq \pm 1$ , there exists a prime  $p$  such that  $g$  is a primitive root modulo  $p$ . The above Artin's conjecture asks for the existence infinitely many such primes. In 1967, Hooley [6] proved this conjecture assuming the (as yet) unresolved generalized Riemann hypothesis for Dedekind zeta functions of certain number fields. In 1983, R. Gupta and M. R. Murty [4] made the first breakthrough by showing

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A15.

*Key words and phrases.* Quadratic residues; Galois field; Chebotarev density theorem.

the following: given three prime numbers  $a, b, c$ , then at least one of the thirteen numbers

$$\{ac^2, a^3b^2, a^2b, b^3c, b^2c, a^2c^3, ab^3, a^3bc^2, bc^3, a^2b^3c, a^3c, ab^2c^3, abc\}$$

is a primitive root modulo  $p$  for infinitely many primes  $p$ . Then later Heath-Brown [5] proved that  $\{a, b, c\}$  one is primitive root modulo  $p$  for infinitely many primes  $p$ . Similarly, using the method of Hooley, in 1976, K. R. Matthews [10] found a necessary and sufficient condition for  $a$  to be primitive root modulo  $p$  for every  $a \in S$ , under unproved hypothesis.

Analogue question for a non-residue which is not a primitive root modulo a prime is relatively easier to handle. For example, in [11] it is proved that for a given  $g$  which is not a perfect square of an integer, there are infinitely many primes  $p$  for which  $g$  is a quadratic non-residue but not a primitive root modulo  $p$ , using the arithmetic of certain number fields. Of course computing the density of such primes is not done yet.

From basic field theory, it is well-known that the degree of the multi-quadratic field

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_\ell})$$

over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $2^t$  for some integer  $0 \leq t \leq \ell$ , depending on the algebraic cancellations among the  $\sqrt{a_i}$ 's. The arithmetic of multi-quadratic number fields plays a crucial role in the theory of elliptic curves. See for instance Hollinger [7] and Laska-Lorenz [9].

When  $a_i = p_i$ , distinct prime numbers, then it is well-known that the degree of  $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^\ell = 2^{|S|}$ ; in our notation,  $t = \ell = |S|$ . On the other hand, when  $S = \{2, 3, 6\}$ , the degree of  $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^2 < 2^{|S|}$ ; thence  $t = 2 = |S| - 1$ .

In this paper, we provide a complete answer by computing the number  $t$  in terms of the given inputs  $a_i$ 's. Before we state the theorems, we must first present some notations.

Throughout the paper, we write  $p, q$  for prime numbers,  $x$  for a positive real number, and  $\pi(x)$  for the number of primes  $p \leq x$ . A set  $P$  of prime numbers is said to have the *relative density*  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$ , if

$$\varepsilon = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|P \cap [1, x]|}{\pi(x)}$$

exists. Also, the following numbers count some special subsets of  $S$ .

- (i) Let  $\alpha_S$  denote the number of subsets  $T$  of  $S$ , including the empty one, such that  $|T|$  is even and  $\prod_{s \in T} s = m^2$  for some integer  $m$ ; hence,  $\alpha_S \geq 1$  for every  $S$ .

- (ii) Let  $\beta_S$  denote the number of subsets  $T$  of  $S$  such that  $|T|$  is odd and  $\prod_{s \in T} s = m^2$  for some integer  $m$ .

Then the following theorems were proved by R. Balasubramanian, F. Luca and the author [2].

**Theorem 1.** ([2], 2010) *The relative density of the set of prime numbers  $p$  for which  $a$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  for every  $a \in S$  is*

$$\frac{\alpha_S + \beta_S}{2^\ell}.$$

**Theorem 2.** ([2], 2010) *We have,  $\beta_S = 0$  if and only if the density of the set of primes  $p$  for which  $a$  is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$  for every  $a \in S$  is*

$$\frac{\alpha_S}{2^\ell}.$$

As an application of Theorem 1, we prove:

**Theorem 3.** ([2], 2010) *For a given finite set  $S$  of non-zero integers with  $|S| = \ell$ , we have,*

$$[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^{\ell-k},$$

where  $k$  is the non-negative integer given by  $2^k = \alpha_S + \beta_S$ . In other words,  $t = \ell - k$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Lemma 1.** *We have  $\alpha_S + \beta_S = 2^k$  for some integer  $k \leq \ell$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^\ell$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space having  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_\ell$  as a basis. Let  $W$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ , where the addition modulo 2 is defined as multiplication modulo squares. Let  $\tau : V \rightarrow W$  be given by  $\tau(\mathbf{a}_i) = a_i \pmod{(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2}$  and extended by linearity. It is then clear that  $\{i_1, \dots, i_j\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, \ell\}$  is such that  $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_j}$  is a perfect square of an integer if and only if  $\mathbf{a}_{i_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_{i_j} \in \text{Ker}(\tau)$ . It now follows immediately that  $\alpha_S + \beta_S = 2^k$ , where  $k$  is the dimension of  $\text{Ker}(\tau)$ , and  $\ell - k$  is the dimension of the image of  $\tau$  in  $W$ .  $\square$

For an integer  $a$  and odd prime  $p$  we write  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$  for the Legendre symbol of  $a$  with respect to  $p$ . Let  $n > 1$  be an integer and  $m$  be an integer such that  $1 \leq m \leq n$  and  $(m, n) = 1$ . Let  $\pi(x, n, m)$  be denote the number of primes  $p \leq x$  and  $p \equiv m \pmod{n}$  and  $\phi(n)$  denote the Euler Phi-function which counts the number of integers  $m$  with  $1 \leq m \leq n$  and  $(m, n) = 1$ . Then Siegel-Walfisz theorem states as follows.

**Siegel-Walfisz Theorem.** (see e.g., [12], Satz 4.8.3) *For any  $A > 1$ , we have*

$$\pi(x, n, m) = \frac{\pi(x)}{\phi(n)} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^A}\right)$$

*holds for all large enough  $x$ .*

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $n$  be any integer which is not a perfect square. Then the estimate*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = o(\pi(x)),$$

*holds as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Define a map

$$\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^* \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

by

$$\chi(m) = \left(\frac{n}{m}\right) \text{ for every } 1 \leq m \leq n, (m, n) = 1,$$

where  $\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)$  is the Kronecker symbol. Note that when  $m = 1$ , we define  $\chi(1) = 1$ . By the multiplicativity of the Kronecker symbol, it is clear that  $\chi$  is a character modulo  $n$ . Hence, by the orthogonality relation, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq n \\ (m, n) = 1}} \chi(m) = 0.$$

For simplicity, we define,

$$\sum_{m \pmod{n}^*} := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq n \\ (m, n) = 1}}.$$

Now, consider

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = \sum_{\ell \pmod{n}^*} \sum_{\substack{p \equiv \ell \pmod{n} \\ p \leq x}} \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right) = \sum_{\ell \pmod{n}^*} \sum_{\substack{p \equiv \ell \pmod{n} \\ p \leq x}} \chi(\ell).$$

By interchanging the summation, we get,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = \sum_{\ell \pmod{n}^*} \chi(\ell) \pi(x, n; \ell),$$

where  $\pi(x, n, \ell)$  denotes the number of primes  $p \equiv \ell \pmod{n}$  and  $p \leq x$ . Walfisz's Theorem implies that for any fixed integer  $A > 1$ , we have

$$\pi(x, n, \ell) = \frac{\pi(x)}{\phi(n)} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^A}\right)$$

for every large enough  $x$ . Therefore, we get,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = \frac{\pi(x)}{\phi(n)} \sum_{\ell \pmod{n}^*} \chi(\ell) + O\left(\frac{\phi(n)x}{(\log x)^A}\right).$$

By the orthogonality relation, we, further, get,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \left( \frac{n}{p} \right) = O \left( \frac{\phi(n)x}{(\log x)^A} \right) = o(\pi(x)).$$

Hence the proposition.  $\square$

Now, we review the algebraic number theory that are needed to prove the main theorem.

Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite extension over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . That is,  $K$  is a field and as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it is finite dimensional and its dimension is denoted by  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be the maximal proper subring of  $K$  such that  $K$  is the quotient field of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . By Dedekind domain theory, it is well-known that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a Dedekind domain and it is called *ring of integers* of  $K$ . For example, when  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}$ .

In  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , every ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is uniquely expressed as a product of prime ideals in it. Let  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  be a rational prime. Then the principal ideal

$$(*) \quad p\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_g^{e_g}$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ 's are distinct prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $e_j \geq 0$  are integers. Also, it is known that the quotient ring  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a finite field extension over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and dimension is denoted by  $f_i$ 's. It is well known result that

$$[K : \mathbb{Q}] = \sum_{i=1}^g e_i f_i.$$

In particular,  $\sum_{i=1}^g e_i \leq [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

A rational prime  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  is said to be

- *ramified* if  $e_i \geq 2$  for some  $i$  in (\*)
- *unramified* if  $e_i = 1$  for all  $i$  in (\*)
- *splits completely* if  $e_i = 1$  and  $f_i = 1$  for all  $i$  in (\*); In this case, we get

$$[K : \mathbb{Q}] = g.$$

Note that when  $K$  is a quadratic extension over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then by the above condition, we have following situations.

- (1)  $p\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}^2$ ; (ramifies)
- (2)  $p\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{q}$ ; (splits completely)
- and (3)  $p\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}$  (inert)

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $d$  be any square-free integer and let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  be a quadratic extension over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then for any odd prime  $p \geq 3$ , we have*

- (i)  $p$  ramifies in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  if and only if  $p|d$ ;
- (ii)  $p$  splits completely in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  if and only if  $\left( \frac{d}{p} \right) = 1$ , or  $d$  is a square modulo  $p$ .

(iii)  $p$  is inert in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  if and only if  $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = -1$ , or  $d$  is not a square modulo  $p$ .

Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite Galois extension with Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be the ring of integers of  $K$ . First let us recall some groups that are associated with the prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , Then note that for any  $\sigma \in G$ , if

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{p}) := \{x \in \mathcal{O}_K : x = \sigma(y) \text{ for some } y \in \mathfrak{p}\},$$

then  $\sigma(\mathfrak{p})$  is a prime ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . We define

$$D_{\mathfrak{p}} := \{\sigma \in G : \sigma\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Then  $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$  forms a group under composition of maps and becomes a subgroup of  $G$ . This subgroup is called the *decomposition group* of  $G$ . Let  $g = [G : D_{\mathfrak{p}}]$  denote the index of  $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^g \sigma_i D_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where  $\sigma_i(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}_i$ , a conjugate of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Hence  $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$  gives the information about prime  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  splits in  $K$ . More precisely, the prime  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  splits into  $g$  prime ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . If  $\sigma \in D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and  $x - y \in \mathfrak{p}$ , then

$$\sigma(x - y) = \sigma(x) - \sigma(y) \in \sigma(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}.$$

That is, if

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv y \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K, \text{ then we have} \\ \sigma(x) &\equiv \sigma(y) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore every  $\sigma \in D_{\mathfrak{p}}$  takes congruence class modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$  to congruence class modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ . This defines an automorphism  $\bar{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p})$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  be a rational prime number such that  $p\mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , we have a map

$$D_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}).$$

This automorphism turns out to be surjective. (The surjectivity is non-trivial, see for instance, G. Janusz [8], Algebraic Number fields, pg. 95). The kernel of this surjection is called *Inertia group*, denoted by  $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathfrak{p}} &= \text{Ker} \{D_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})\} \\ &= \{\sigma \in D_{\mathfrak{p}} : \bar{\sigma} = 1\} \\ &= \{\sigma \in D_{\mathfrak{p}} : \sigma(x) \equiv x \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_K\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong D_{\mathfrak{p}}/I_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

It is well-known that  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$  is a finite extension of the finite field  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore its Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  is cyclic and it is generated by the Frobenius element  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$  which is uniquely determined by the condition

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_K.$$

Corresponding to this map, we have an element in  $D_{\mathfrak{p}}/I_{\mathfrak{p}}$  which is denoted by  $\left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right]$  and so

$$D_{\mathfrak{p}}/I_{\mathfrak{p}} = \left\langle \left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] \right\rangle.$$

Note that if  $p$  is unramified, then for all prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $p\mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , we have  $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{1\}$ . Therefore,

$$D_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}).$$

Hence for all unramified primes  $p$ , we have  $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is cyclic for all prime ideal  $p\mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right]$  is unique and completely determined by the condition

$$\left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] x \equiv x^p \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_K.$$

Also, if  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$  are the two prime ideals such that  $p\mathcal{O}_K \subset \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$ , then

$$\left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{q}} \right] = \sigma^{-1} \left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] \sigma$$

where  $\sigma \in G$  such that  $\sigma(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{q}$ . This is because, for  $\sigma \in G$  and  $\sigma\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] x &\equiv x^p \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_K \\ \sigma \left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] x &\equiv \sigma(x^p) \pmod{\sigma\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_K \\ \sigma \left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] x &\equiv (\sigma(x))^p \pmod{\mathfrak{q}} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_K \\ \sigma \left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] \sigma^{-1}(x) &\equiv x^p \pmod{\mathfrak{q}} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{O}_K \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we replace  $x$  by  $\sigma^{-1}(x)$ . Therefore,  $\left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{q}} \right] = \sigma \left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right] \sigma^{-1}$ .

Therefore when  $\mathfrak{p}$  ranges over the prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  lying above the rational prime  $p$ , the  $\left[ \frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{p}} \right]$  ranges over its conjugacy class in  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  that depends only on  $p$ . Thus, for each rational prime  $p$ , we define the Frobenius element,  $\sigma_p \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ , which generates  $D_{\mathfrak{p}}/I_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for some prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  lying above  $p$ .

The following theorem computes the density of primes  $p$  such that the corresponding Frobenius element  $\sigma_p$  lies in a given conjugacy class of  $G$ . This is a far reaching generalization of Dirichlet's Prime Number Theorem in arithmetic progressions.

**Chebotarev's Density Theorem.** *Let  $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}$  be a Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ . Let  $C$  be a given conjugacy class of  $G$ . Then the relative density of the set of primes  $P = \{p : \sigma_p \in C\}$  is  $\frac{|C|}{[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]}$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREMS

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  be the set of all distinct prime factors of  $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_\ell$ . Clearly,  $|\mathcal{P}(S)|$  is finite. Let  $x > 1$  be a real number. Consider the following counting function

$$S_x = \frac{1}{2^\ell} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \notin \mathcal{P}(S)}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{a_1}{p}\right)\right) \cdots \left(1 + \left(\frac{a_\ell}{p}\right)\right).$$

Since the Legendre symbol is completely multiplicative,  $\left(\frac{a_i}{p}\right) \left(\frac{a_j}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a_i a_j}{p}\right)$ , we see that

$$S_x = \frac{1}{2^\ell} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \notin \mathcal{P}(S)}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq b_i \leq 1 \\ n = a_1^{b_1} \cdots a_\ell^{b_\ell}}} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq b_i \leq 1 \\ n = a_1^{b_1} \cdots a_\ell^{b_\ell}}} \frac{1}{2^\ell} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \notin \mathcal{P}(S)}} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right).$$

Note that if  $n$  is a perfect square, then  $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = 1$  for each  $p \notin \mathcal{P}(S)$ . Thus, for these  $\alpha_S + \beta_S$  values of  $n$ , the inner sum is

$$\frac{1}{2^\ell} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \notin \mathcal{P}(S)}} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = \frac{1}{2^\ell} (\pi(x) - |\mathcal{P}(S)|).$$

For the remaining values of  $n$  (i.e., when  $n$  is not a perfect square), we apply Proposition 1 to get

$$\frac{1}{2^\ell} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \notin \mathcal{P}(S)}} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = o(\pi(x)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$S_x = \frac{1}{2^\ell} (\alpha_S + \beta_S) (\pi(x) - |\mathcal{P}(S)|) + o(\pi(x))$$

and hence

$$\frac{S_x}{\pi(x)} = \frac{\alpha_S + \beta_S}{2^\ell} \left(1 - \frac{|\mathcal{P}(S)|}{\pi(x)}\right) + o(1).$$



Since  $|\mathcal{P}(S)|$  is a finite number and it is elementary to see that as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\pi(x) \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_x}{\pi(x)} = \frac{\alpha_S + \beta_S}{2^\ell}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

This can be applied to the quadratic non-residue case as well. Take

$$S_x = \frac{1}{2^\ell} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \notin \mathcal{P}(S)}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{a_1}{p}\right)\right) \cdots \left(1 - \left(\frac{a_\ell}{p}\right)\right)$$

and proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1. This yields Theorem 2.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** It is clear that  $\mathbb{K}$  is a 2-elementary abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^t$  for some  $1 \leq t \leq \ell$ . In fact, if

$$f(x) = (x^2 - a_1)(x^2 - a_2) \cdots (x^2 - a_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

then  $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q}$  is the splitting field of  $f(x)$ . Let

$$P := \left\{ p > 2 : \left(\frac{a_1}{p}\right) = \cdots = \left(\frac{a_\ell}{p}\right) = 1 \right\}.$$

By Theorem 1, we know that the density of  $P$  is

$$\frac{\alpha_S + \beta_S}{2^\ell} = \frac{1}{2^{\ell-k}}.$$

Now, we shall calculate the relative density of  $P$  using Chebotarev's Density Theorem.

Let  $p \in P$ . We need to calculate the Frobenius element  $\sigma_p \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q})$ . It is enough to find the action of  $\sigma_p$  on  $\sqrt{a_i}$  for each  $i$ . Since  $p \in P$ ,  $a_i$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ , by Proposition 2, we see that  $p$  splits completely in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_i})$ . Therefore, the corresponding  $e_i = f_i = 1$ . Hence  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_i})}/\mathfrak{p}_i = \{0\}$ . Therefore  $\sigma_p$  restricted to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_i})$  is the identity. In fact this is true for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ . Therefore, the Frobenius element  $\sigma_p \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q})$  satisfies

$$\sigma_p(\sqrt{a_i}) = \sqrt{a_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell.$$

Since any element  $\alpha \in K$  can be written as  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \sqrt{a_i}$ , with  $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,

$$\sigma_p(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \sigma_p(\sqrt{a_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_i \sqrt{a_i} = \alpha.$$

Thus,  $\sigma_p$  is identity for all  $p \in P$ . Hence,  $\sigma_p$  is uniquely defined in  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ . By the Chebotarev Density theorem, the relative density of  $P$  is

$$\frac{1}{[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]} = \frac{1}{2^t}.$$

Thus, we get that  $t = \ell - k$ , which is what we wanted.  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $p_1, p_2, p_3, q_1, q_2, q_3$  be distinct primes. Let

$$S = \{p_1, p_3, p_1p_2, p_2p_3, q_1, q_3, q_1q_2, q_2q_3\}.$$

Observe that  $|S| = 8$  and that  $\beta_S = 0$ . We also see that

$$a_1a_2a_3a_4 = (p_1p_2p_3)^2, \quad a_5a_6a_7a_8 = (q_1q_2q_3)^2, \quad a_1a_2 \cdots a_8 = (p_1p_2p_3q_1q_2q_3)^2$$

are the only nonempty products of even length which are squares. Hence,

$$\alpha_S = 3 + 1 = 4 = 2^2.$$

Thus, the degree of  $\mathbb{K}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\frac{\alpha_S}{2^8} = \frac{2^2}{2^8} = 2^{-6}$ .

Let us verify this using field theory. Let  $\mathbb{K}_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1}, \sqrt{p_3}, \sqrt{p_1p_2}, \sqrt{p_2p_3})$  and  $\mathbb{K}_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q_1}, \sqrt{q_3}, \sqrt{q_1q_2}, \sqrt{q_2q_3})$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{K}_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1}, \sqrt{p_2}, \sqrt{p_3})$  and  $\mathbb{K}_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q_1}, \sqrt{q_2}, \sqrt{q_3})$ . Since there are no algebraic relations among the  $p_i$ 's and the  $q_j$ 's, we see that

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_1\mathbb{K}_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1}, \sqrt{p_2}, \sqrt{p_3}, \sqrt{q_1}, \sqrt{q_2}, \sqrt{q_3}),$$

and  $\mathbb{K}_1 \cap \mathbb{K}_2 = \mathbb{Q}$ . Hence,  $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^6$ .

**Concluding Remarks.** One could ask how hard or how easy it is to compute  $\alpha_S$  and  $\beta_S$ ?

(1) If we use Lemma 1, then it is clear that the image of  $\tau$  lies in the subspace of  $W$  spanned by the prime numbers in  $\mathcal{P}(S)$ . Thus, we can think of the matrix associated to  $\tau$  as a matrix  $A$  of type  $\ell \times r$  with entries from  $\{0, 1\}$ , where  $r = |\mathcal{P}(S)|$ . Hence, computing  $\alpha_S$  and  $\beta_S$  reduces to computing the kernel of  $A$  modulo 2, which is an easy linear algebra problem. Thus, all is needed are the factorizations of  $a_1, \dots, a_\ell$ , so computing the values of  $\alpha_S$  and  $\beta_S$  fall in the class of integer factorization problems.

(2) For a given real number  $x$ , we can easily compute the value of  $S_x$  (which comes in the proof of Theorem 1) by computing the Legendre symbols. Hence, we are able to compute the value  $\frac{S_x}{\pi(x)}$  also. For large value of  $x$ , this quotient is an approximation to the density  $\frac{\alpha_S + \beta_S}{2^\ell} = \frac{1}{[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]}$ . Therefore, the quotient  $\pi(x)/S_x$  gives the approximation to the degree  $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ . However, the correct value of  $x$  which gives the best approximation comes from Proposition 1, as we use the estimate

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \binom{n}{p} = o(\pi(x)).$$

Let  $N_n > 1$  be an integer (depending on  $n$ ) such that for every  $x \geq N_n$ , the above estimate is true. Let

$$\max\{N_n : n = a_1^{b_1} a_2^{b_2} \cdots a_\ell^{b_\ell} \neq \square, b_i \in \{0, 1\}, a_i \in S\} := N.$$

If we know the explicit value of  $N$ , then we can choose an  $x > N$  and for this  $x$ , we have  $\pi(x)/S_x$  is the best approximation to the degree  $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$ . However, to find the explicit value of  $N$ , we need to know, from the proof of Proposition 1, the information on the least prime size in certain arithmetic progressions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Artin, Collected Papers, Addison-Wesley, 1965.
- [2] R. Balasubramanian, F. Luca and R. Thangadurai, On the exact degree of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}, \dots, \sqrt{a_\ell})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , To appear in: *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (2010).
- [3] M. Fried, Arithmetical properties of value sets of polynomials, *Acta Arith.*, **15** (1968/69), 91-115.
- [4] R. Gupta and M. Ram Murty, A remark on Artin's conjecture, *Invent. Math.* **78** (1984) (1), 127-130.
- [5] D. R. Heath-Brown, Artin's conjecture for primitive roots, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, (2) **37** (1986), 27-38.
- [6] C. Hooley, On Artin's conjecture, *J. Reine. Angew. Math.*, **225** (1967), 209-220.
- [7] C. S. Abel-Hollinger and H. G. Zimmer, Torsion groups of elliptic curves with integral  $j$ -invariant over multiquadratic fields, Number-theoretic and algebraic methods in computer science (Moscow, 1993), 69-87, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1995
- [8] J. G. Janusz, Algebraic number fields, Second edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **7**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [9] M. Laska and M. Lorenz, Rational points on elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in elementary abelian 2-extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **355** (1985), 163-172.
- [10] K. R. Matthews, A generalisation of Artin's conjecture for primitive roots, *Acta Arith.*, **XXIX** (1976), 113-146.
- [11] P. Moree and R. Thangadurai, *Preprint*.
- [12] K. Prachar, Primzahlverteilung, Springer, New York, 1957.
- [13] S. Wright, Patterns of quadratic residues and nonresidues for infinitely many primes, *J. Number Theory*, **123** (2007) 120-132.
- [14] S. Wright, A combinatorial problem related to quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ , *To appear Ars Combinatorica*.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARISH-CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, CHHATNAG ROAD, JHUNSI, ALLAHABAD 211019 INDIA

*E-mail address*, R. Thangadurai: [thanga@hri.res.in](mailto:thanga@hri.res.in)