

LIIOUVILLE NUMBERS, LIIOUVILLE SETS AND LIIOUVILLE FIELDS

K. SENTHIL KUMAR, R. THANGADURAI, AND M. WALDSCHMIDT

(Communicated by Matthew A. Papanikolas)

ABSTRACT. Following earlier work by É. Maillet 100 years ago, we introduce the definition of a *Liouville set*, which extends the definition of a Liouville number. We also define a *Liouville field*, which is a field generated by a Liouville set. Any Liouville number belongs to a Liouville set S having the power of continuum and such that $\mathbf{Q} \cup S$ is a Liouville field.

1. INTRODUCTION

For any integer q and any real number $x \in \mathbf{R}$, we denote by

$$\|qx\| = \min_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} |qx - m|$$

the distance of qx to the nearest integer. Following É. Maillet [3, 4], an irrational real number ξ is said to be a *Liouville number* if, for each integer $n \geq 1$, there exists an integer $q_n \geq 2$ such that the sequence $(u_n(\xi))_{n \geq 1}$ of real numbers defined by

$$u_n(\xi) = -\frac{\log \|q_n \xi\|}{\log q_n}$$

satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(\xi) = \infty$. If p_n is the integer such that $\|q_n \xi\| = |\xi q_n - p_n|$, then the definition of $u_n(\xi)$ can be written:

$$|q_n \xi - p_n| = \frac{1}{q_n^{u_n(\xi)}}.$$

An equivalent definition is to say that a Liouville number is a real number ξ such that, for each integer $n \geq 1$, there exists a rational number p_n/q_n with $q_n \geq 2$ such that

$$0 < \left| \xi - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^n}.$$

We denote by \mathbf{L} the set of Liouville numbers. Following [2], any Liouville number is a transcendental number.

We introduce the notions of a *Liouville set* and a *Liouville field*. They extend what was done by É. Maillet in Chap. III of [3].

Received by the editors May 27, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11J82.

Key words and phrases. Liouville number, Liouville set, Liouville field, continuum, G_δ -subsets.

Definition. A *Liouville set* is a subset S of \mathbb{L} for which there exists an increasing sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of positive integers having the following property: for any $\xi \in S$, there exists a sequence $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of positive rational integers and there exist two positive constants κ_1 and κ_2 such that, for any sufficiently large n ,

$$(1) \quad 1 \leq b_n \leq q_n^{\kappa_1} \text{ and } \|b_n \xi\| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 n}}.$$

It would not make a difference if we were requesting these inequalities to hold for any $n \geq 1$: it suffices to change the constants κ_1 and κ_2 .

Definition. A *Liouville field* is a field of the form $\mathbf{Q}(S)$ where S is a Liouville set.

From the definitions, it follows that, for a real number ξ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) ξ is a Liouville number.
- (ii) ξ belongs to some Liouville set.
- (iii) The set $\{\xi\}$ is a Liouville set.
- (iv) The field $\mathbf{Q}(\xi)$ is a Liouville field.

If we agree that the empty set is a Liouville set and that \mathbf{Q} is a Liouville field, then any subset of a Liouville set is a Liouville set, and also (see Theorem 1) any subfield of a Liouville field is a Liouville field.

Definition. Let $\underline{q} = (q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers and let $\underline{u} = (u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $u_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Denote by $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ the set of $\xi \in \mathbb{L}$ such that there exist two positive constants κ_1 and κ_2 and there exists a sequence $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of positive rational integers with

$$1 \leq b_n \leq q_n^{\kappa_1} \text{ and } \|b_n \xi\| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n}}.$$

Denote by \underline{n} the sequence $\underline{u} = (u_n)_{n \geq 1} := (1, 2, 3, \dots)$ with $u_n = n$ ($n \geq 1$). For any increasing sequence $\underline{q} = (q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of positive integers, we denote by $S_{\underline{q}}$ the set $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{n}}$.

Hence, by definition, a Liouville set is a subset of some $S_{\underline{q}}$. In section 2 we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *For any increasing sequence \underline{q} of positive integers and any sequence \underline{u} of positive real numbers which tends to infinity, the set $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ is a Liouville set.*

Notice that if $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers, then for the subsequence $\underline{q}' = (q_{m_n})_{n \geq 1}$ of the sequence \underline{q} , we have $S_{\underline{q}', \underline{u}} \supset S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$.

Example. Let $\underline{u} = (u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers which tends to infinity. Define $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ by $f(1) = 1$ and

$$f(n) = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{n-1} \quad (n \geq 2),$$

so that $f(n+1)/f(n) = u_n$ for $n \geq 1$. Define the sequence $\underline{q} = (q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ by $q_n = \lfloor 2^{f(n)} \rfloor$. Then, for any real number $t > 1$, the number

$$\xi_t = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lfloor t^{f(n)} \rfloor}$$

belongs to $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$. The set $\{\xi_t \mid t > 1\}$ has the power of continuum, since $\xi_{t_1} < \xi_{t_2}$ for $t_1 > t_2 > 1$.

The sets $S_{q,\underline{u}}$ have the following property (compare with Theorem I₃ in [3]):

Theorem 1. *For any increasing sequence q of positive integers and any sequence \underline{u} of positive real numbers which tends to infinity, the set $\mathbf{Q} \cup S_{q,\underline{u}}$ is a field.*

We denote this field by $K_{q,\underline{u}}$, and by K_q for the sequence $\underline{u} = \underline{n}$. From Theorem 1, it follows that a field is a Liouville field if and only if it is a subfield of some K_q . Another consequence is that, if S is a Liouville set, then $\mathbf{Q}(S) \setminus \mathbf{Q}$ is a Liouville set.

It is easily checked that if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_n}{u'_n} > 0,$$

then $K_{q,\underline{u}}$ is a subfield of $K_{q,\underline{u}'}$. In particular if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_n}{n} > 0,$$

then $K_{q,\underline{u}}$ is a subfield of K_q , while if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_n}{n} < +\infty,$$

then K_q is a subfield of $K_{q,\underline{u}}$.

If $R \in \mathbf{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_\ell)$ is a rational fraction and if ξ_1, \dots, ξ_ℓ are elements of a Liouville set S such that $\eta = R(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\ell)$ is defined, then Theorem 1 implies that η is either a rational number or a Liouville number, and in the second case $S \cup \{\eta\}$ is a Liouville set. For instance, if, in addition, R is not constant and ξ_1, \dots, ξ_ℓ are algebraically independent over \mathbf{Q} , then η is a Liouville number and $S \cup \{\eta\}$ is a Liouville set. For $\ell = 1$, this yields:

Corollary 1. *Let $R \in \mathbf{Q}(X)$ be a rational fraction and let ξ be a Liouville number. Then $R(\xi)$ is a Liouville number and $\{\xi, R(\xi)\}$ is a Liouville set.*

We now show that $S_{q,\underline{u}}$ is either empty or else uncountable and we characterize such sets.

Theorem 2. *Let q be an increasing sequence of positive integers and $\underline{u} = (u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers such that $u_{n+1} \geq u_n + 1$. Then the Liouville set $S_{q,\underline{u}}$ is nonempty if and only if*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{u_n \log q_n} > 0.$$

Moreover, if the set $S_{q,\underline{u}}$ is nonempty, then it has the power of continuum.

Let t be an irrational real number which is not a Liouville number. By a result due to P. Erdős [1], we can write $t = \xi + \eta$ with two Liouville numbers ξ and η . Let q be an increasing sequence of positive integers and \underline{u} be an increasing sequence of real numbers such that $\xi \in S_{q,\underline{u}}$. Since any irrational number in the field $K_{q,\underline{u}}$ is in $S_{q,\underline{u}}$, it follows that the Liouville number $\eta = t - \xi$ does not belong to $S_{q,\underline{u}}$.

One defines a reflexive and symmetric relation R between two Liouville numbers by $\xi R \eta$ if $\{\xi, \eta\}$ is a Liouville set. The equivalence relation which is induced by R is trivial, as shown by the next result, which is a consequence of Theorem 2.

Corollary 2. *Let ξ and η be Liouville numbers. Then there exists a subset ϑ of \mathbb{L} having the power of continuum such that, for each such $\varrho \in \vartheta$, both sets $\{\xi, \varrho\}$ and $\{\eta, \varrho\}$ are Liouville sets.*

In [3], É. Maillet introduces the definition of Liouville numbers *corresponding* to a given Liouville number. However this definition depends on the choice of a given sequence \underline{q} giving the rational approximations. This is why we start with a sequence \underline{q} instead of starting with a given Liouville number.

The intersection of two nonempty Liouville sets may be empty. More generally, we show that there are uncountably many Liouville sets $S_{\underline{q}}$ with pairwise empty intersections.

Proposition 1. *For $0 < \tau < 1$, define $\underline{q}^{(\tau)}$ as the sequence $(q_n^{(\tau)})_{n \geq 1}$ with*

$$q_n^{(\tau)} = 2^{n! \lfloor n^\tau \rfloor} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Then the sets $S_{\underline{q}^{(\tau)}}$, $0 < \tau < 1$, are nonempty (hence uncountable) and pairwise disjoint.

To prove that a real number is not a Liouville number is most often difficult. But to prove that a given real number does not belong to some Liouville set S is easier. If \underline{q}' is a subsequence of a sequence \underline{q} , one may expect that $S_{\underline{q}'}$ may often contain strictly $S_{\underline{q}}$. Here is an example:

Proposition 2. *Define the sequences \underline{q} , \underline{q}' and \underline{q}'' by*

$$q_n = 2^{n!}, \quad q'_n = q_{2n} = 2^{(2n)!} \quad \text{and} \quad q''_n = q_{2n+1} = 2^{(2n+1)!} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

so that \underline{q} is the increasing sequence deduced from the union of \underline{q}' and \underline{q}'' . Let λ_n be a sequence of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} = 0.$$

Then the number

$$\xi := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^{(2n-1)! \lambda_n}}$$

belongs to $S_{\underline{q}'}$ but not to $S_{\underline{q}}$. Moreover

$$S_{\underline{q}} = S_{\underline{q}'} \cap S_{\underline{q}''}.$$

When \underline{q} is the increasing sequence deduced from the union of \underline{q}' and \underline{q}'' , we always have $S_{\underline{q}} \subset S_{\underline{q}'} \cap S_{\underline{q}''}$. Proposition 1 gives an example where $S_{\underline{q}'} \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{\underline{q}''} \neq \emptyset$, while $S_{\underline{q}}$ is the empty set. In the example from Proposition 2, the set $S_{\underline{q}}$ coincides with $S_{\underline{q}'} \cap S_{\underline{q}''}$. This is not always the case.

Proposition 3. *There exist two increasing sequences \underline{q}' and \underline{q}'' of positive integers with union \underline{q} such that $S_{\underline{q}}$ is a strict nonempty subset of $S_{\underline{q}'} \cap S_{\underline{q}''}$.*

Also, we prove that given any increasing sequence \underline{q} , there exists a subsequence \underline{q}' of \underline{q} such that $S_{\underline{q}}$ is a strict subset of $S_{\underline{q}'}$. More generally, we prove

Proposition 4. *Let $\underline{u} = (u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that for every $n \geq 1$, we have $\sqrt{u_{n+1}} \leq u_n + 1 \leq u_{n+1}$. Then any increasing sequence \underline{q} of positive integers has a subsequence \underline{q}' for which $S_{\underline{q}', \underline{u}}$ strictly contains $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$. In particular, any increasing sequence \underline{q} of positive integers has a subsequence \underline{q}' for which $S_{\underline{q}'}$ strictly contains $S_{\underline{q}}$.*

Proposition 5. *The sets $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ are not G_δ -subsets of \mathbf{R} . If they are nonempty, then they are dense in \mathbf{R} .*

The proof of Lemma 1 is given in section 2, the proof of Theorem 1 in section 3, the proof of Theorem 2 in section 4, the proof of Corollary 2 in section 5. The proofs of Propositions 1, 2, 3 and 4 are given in section 6 and the proof of Proposition 5 is given in section 7.

2. PROOF OF LEMMA 1

Proof of Lemma 1. Given \underline{q} and \underline{u} , define inductively a sequence of positive integers $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$ as follows. Let m_1 be the least integer $m \geq 1$ such that $u_m > 1$. Once m_1, \dots, m_{n-1} are known, define m_n as the least integer $m > m_{n-1}$ for which $u_m > n$. Consider the subsequence \underline{q}' of \underline{q} defined by $q'_n = q_{m_n}$. Then $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}} \subset S_{\underline{q}'}$, hence $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ is a Liouville set. \square

Remark 1. In the definition of a Liouville set, if assumption (1) is satisfied for some κ_1 , then it is also satisfied with κ_1 replaced by any $\kappa'_1 > \kappa_1$. Hence there is no loss of generality to assume $\kappa_1 > 1$. Then, in this definition, one could add to (1) the condition $q_n \leq b_n$. Indeed, if, for some n , we have $b_n < q_n$, then we set

$$b'_n = \left\lceil \frac{q_n}{b_n} \right\rceil b_n,$$

so that

$$q_n \leq b'_n \leq q_n + b_n \leq 2q_n.$$

Denote by a_n the nearest integer to $b_n \xi$ and set

$$a'_n = \left\lceil \frac{q_n}{b_n} \right\rceil a_n.$$

Then, for $\kappa'_2 < \kappa_2$ and, for sufficiently large n , we have

$$|b'_n \xi - a'_n| = \left\lceil \frac{q_n}{b_n} \right\rceil |b_n \xi - a_n| \leq \frac{q_n}{q_n^{\kappa_2 n}} \leq \frac{1}{(q_n)^{\kappa'_2 n}}.$$

Hence condition (1) can be replaced by

$$q_n \leq b_n \leq q_n^{\kappa_1} \text{ and } \|b_n \xi\| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 n}}.$$

Also, one deduces from Theorem 2, that the sequence $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing for sufficiently large n . Note also that in the same way we can assume that

$$q_n \leq b_n \leq q_n^{\kappa_1} \text{ and } \|b_n \xi\| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n}}.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We first prove the following:

Lemma 2. *Let \underline{q} be an increasing sequence of positive integers and $\underline{u} = (u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an increasing sequence of real numbers. Let $\xi \in S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$. Then $1/\xi \in S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$.*

As a consequence, if S is a Liouville set, then, for any $\xi \in S$, the set $S \cup \{1/\xi\}$ is a Liouville set.

Proof of Lemma 2. Let $\underline{q} = (q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that, for sufficiently large n ,

$$\|b_n \xi\| \leq q_n^{-u_n},$$

where $b_n \leq q_n^{\kappa_1}$. Write $\|b_n \xi\| = |b_n \xi - a_n|$ with $a_n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $\xi \notin \mathbf{Q}$, the sequence $(|a_n|)_{n \geq 1}$ tends to infinity; in particular, for sufficiently large n , we have $a_n \neq 0$. Writing

$$\frac{1}{\xi} - \frac{b_n}{a_n} = \frac{-b_n}{\xi a_n} \left(\xi - \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right),$$

one easily checks that, for sufficiently large n ,

$$\| |a_n| \xi^{-1} \| \leq |a_n|^{-u_n/2} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq |a_n| < b_n^2 \leq q_n^{2\kappa_1}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us check that for ξ and ξ' in $\mathbf{Q} \cup S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$, we have $\xi - \xi' \in \mathbf{Q} \cup S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ and $\xi \xi' \in \mathbf{Q} \cup S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$. Clearly, it suffices to check

- (1) For ξ in $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ and ξ' in \mathbf{Q} , we have $\xi - \xi' \in S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ and $\xi \xi' \in S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$.
- (2) For ξ in $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ and ξ' in $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ with $\xi - \xi' \notin \mathbf{Q}$, we have $\xi - \xi' \in S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$.
- (3) For ξ in $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ and ξ' in $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ with $\xi \xi' \notin \mathbf{Q}$, we have $\xi \xi' \in S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$.

The idea of the proof is as follows. When $\xi \in S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ is approximated by a_n/b_n and when $\xi' = r/s \in \mathbf{Q}$, then $\xi - \xi'$ is approximated by $(sa_n - rb_n)/b_n$ and $\xi \xi'$ by ra_n/sb_n . When $\xi \in S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ is approximated by a_n/b_n and $\xi' \in S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ by a'_n/b'_n , then $\xi - \xi'$ is approximated by $(a_n b'_n - a'_n b_n)/b_n b'_n$ and $\xi \xi'$ by $a_n a'_n / b_n b'_n$. The proofs which follow amount to writing down carefully these simple observations.

Let $\xi'' = \xi - \xi'$ and $\xi^* = \xi \xi'$. Then the sequence (a''_n) and (b''_n) are corresponding to ξ'' . Similarly (a^*_n) and (b^*_n) correspond to ξ^* .

Here is the proof of (1). Let $\xi \in S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ and $\xi' = r/s \in \mathbf{Q}$, with r and s in \mathbf{Z} , $s > 0$. There are two constants κ_1 and κ_2 and there are sequences of rational integers $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that

$$1 \leq b_n \leq q_n^{\kappa_1} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < |b_n \xi - a_n| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n}}.$$

Let $\tilde{\kappa}_1 > \kappa_1$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_2 < \kappa_2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} b''_n &= b_n^* = sb_n, \\ a''_n &= sa_n - rb_n, \\ a^*_n &= ra_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then one easily checks that, for sufficiently large n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < |b''_n \xi'' - a''_n| &= s |b_n \xi - a_n| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n}}, \\ 0 < |b^*_n \xi^* - a^*_n| &= |r| |b_n \xi - a_n| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here is the proof of (2) and (3). Let ξ and ξ' be in $S_{q,\underline{u}}$. There are constants $\kappa'_1, \kappa'_2, \kappa''_1$ and κ''_2 and there are sequences of rational integers $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}, (b_n)_{n \geq 1}, (a'_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b'_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that

$$1 \leq b_n \leq q_n^{\kappa'_1} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < |b_n \xi - a_n| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa'_2 u_n}},$$

$$1 \leq b'_n \leq q_n^{\kappa''_1} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < |b'_n \xi' - a'_n| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa''_2 u_n}}.$$

Define $\tilde{\kappa}_1 = \kappa'_1 + \kappa''_1$ and let $\tilde{\kappa}_2 > 0$ satisfy $\tilde{\kappa}_2 < \min\{\kappa'_2, \kappa''_2\}$. Set

$$b''_n = b_n^* = b_n b'_n,$$

$$a''_n = a_n b'_n - b_n a'_n,$$

$$a_n^* = a_n a'_n.$$

Then for sufficiently large n , we have

$$b''_n \xi'' - a''_n = b'_n (b_n \xi - a_n) - b_n (b'_n \xi' - a'_n)$$

and

$$b_n^* \xi^* - a_n^* = b_n \xi (b'_n \xi' - a'_n) + a'_n (b_n \xi - a_n),$$

hence

$$|b''_n \xi'' - a''_n| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\tilde{\kappa}_2 u_n}}$$

and

$$|b_n^* \xi^* - a_n^*| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\tilde{\kappa}_2 u_n}}.$$

Also we have

$$1 \leq b''_n \leq q_n^{\tilde{\kappa}_1} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq b_n^* \leq q_n^{\tilde{\kappa}_1}.$$

The assumption $\xi - \xi' \notin \mathbf{Q}$ (respectively $\xi \xi' \notin \mathbf{Q}$) implies $b''_n \xi'' \neq a''_n$ (respectively, $b_n^* \xi^* \neq a_n^*$). Hence $\xi - \xi'$ and $\xi \xi'$ are in $S_{q,\underline{u}}$. This completes the proof of (2) and (3).

It follows from (1), (2) and (3) that $\mathbf{Q} \cup S_{q,\underline{u}}$ is a ring.

Finally, if $\xi \in \mathbf{Q} \cup S_{q,\underline{u}}$ is not 0, then $1/\xi \in \mathbf{Q} \cup S_{q,\underline{u}}$, by Lemma 2. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Remark 2. Since the field $K_{q,\underline{u}}$ does not contain irrational algebraic numbers, 2 is not a square in $K_{q,\underline{u}}$. For $\xi \in S_{q,\underline{u}}$, it follows that $\eta = 2\xi^2$ is an element in $S_{q,\underline{u}}$ which is not the square of an element in $S_{q,\underline{u}}$. According to [1], we can write $\sqrt{2} = \xi_1 \xi_2$ with two Liouville numbers ξ_1, ξ_2 ; then the set $\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$ is not a Liouville set.

Let N be a positive integer such that N cannot be written as a sum of two squares of an integer. Let us show that, for $\varrho \in S_{q,\underline{u}}$, the Liouville number $N\varrho^2 \in S_{q,\underline{u}}$ is not the sum of two squares of elements in $S_{q,\underline{u}}$. Dividing by ϱ^2 , we are reduced to show that the equation $N = \xi^2 + (\xi')^2$ has no solution (ξ, ξ') in $S_{q,\underline{u}} \times S_{q,\underline{u}}$. Otherwise, we would have, for suitable positive constants κ_1 and κ_2 ,

$$\left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n + 1}}, \quad 1 \leq b_n \leq q_n^{\kappa_1},$$

$$\left| \xi' - \frac{a'_n}{b'_n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n + 1}}, \quad 1 \leq b'_n \leq q_n^{\kappa_1},$$

hence

$$\left| \xi^2 - \frac{a_n^2}{b_n^2} \right| \leq \frac{2|\xi| + 1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n + 1}}, \quad \left| (\xi')^2 - \frac{(a'_n)^2}{(b'_n)^2} \right| \leq \frac{2|\xi'| + 1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n + 1}}$$

and

$$\left| \xi^2 + (\xi')^2 - \frac{(a_n b'_n)^2 + (a'_n b_n)^2}{(b_n b'_n)^2} \right| \leq \frac{2(|\xi| + |\xi'| + 1)}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n + 1}}.$$

Using $\xi^2 + (\xi')^2 = N$, we deduce

$$\left| N(b_n b'_n)^2 - (a_n b'_n)^2 - (a'_n b_n)^2 \right| < 1.$$

The left hand side is an integer, hence it is 0:

$$N(b_n b'_n)^2 = (a_n b'_n)^2 + (a'_n b_n)^2.$$

This is impossible, since the equation $x^2 + y^2 = Nz^2$ has no solution in positive rational integers.

Therefore, if we write $N = \xi^2 + (\xi')^2$ with two Liouville numbers ξ, ξ' , which is possible by the above mentioned result from P. Erdős [1], then the set $\{\xi, \xi'\}$ is not a Liouville set.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We first prove the following lemma which will be required for the proof of part (ii) of Theorem 2.

Lemma 3. *Let ξ be a real number, n, q and q' be positive integers with $n \geq 2$. Assume that there exist rational integers p and p' such that $p/q \neq p'/q'$ and*

$$|q\xi - p| \leq \frac{1}{q^{u_n}}, \quad |q'\xi - p'| \leq \frac{1}{(q')^{u_n + 1}}.$$

Then we have

$$\text{either } q' + 1 > q^{u_n} \quad \text{or } q \geq (q')^{u_n}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. From the assumptions we deduce

$$\frac{1}{qq'} \leq \frac{|pq' - p'q|}{qq'} \leq \left| \xi - \frac{p}{q} \right| + \left| \xi - \frac{p'}{q'} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q^{u_n + 1}} + \frac{1}{(q')^{u_n + 2}},$$

hence

$$q^{u_n} (q')^{u_n + 1} \leq (q')^{u_n + 2} + q^{u_n + 1}.$$

If $q < q'$, we deduce

$$q^{u_n} \leq q' + \left(\frac{q}{q'}\right)^{u_n + 1} < q' + 1.$$

Assume now $q \geq q'$. Since the conclusion of Lemma 3 is trivial if $q' = 1$, we assume $q' \geq 2$. Since $n \geq 2$, we have $u_n \geq 2$. From

$$q^{u_n} (q')^{u_n + 1} \leq (q')^{u_n + 2} + q^{u_n + 1} \leq (q')^2 q^{u_n} + q^{u_n + 1}$$

we deduce

$$(q')^{u_n + 1} - (q')^2 \leq q.$$

From

$$(q')^3 - (q')^2 \leq q$$

we deduce

$$(q')^2 \leq \frac{q}{q' - 1} \leq q(q' - 1).$$

which implies

$$\frac{q}{q'} + q' \leq q$$

Finally,

$$(q')^{u_n} \leq \frac{q}{q'} + q' \leq q.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{u_n \log q_n} = 0$. Then, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{u_n \log q_n} = 0.$$

Suppose $S_{q,u} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\xi \in S_{q,u}$. From Remark 1, it follows that there exists a sequence $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of positive integers and there exist two positive constants κ_1 and κ_2 such that, for any sufficiently large n ,

$$q_n \leq b_n \leq q_n^{\kappa_1} \text{ and } \|b_n \xi\| \leq q_n^{-\kappa_2 u_n}.$$

Let n_0 be an integer $\geq \kappa_1$ such that these inequalities are valid for $n \geq n_0$ and such that, for $n \geq n_0$, $q_{n+1}^{\kappa_1} < q_n^{u_n}$ (by the assumption). Since the sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing, we have $q_n^{\kappa_1} < q_{n+1}^{u_n}$ for $n \geq n_0$. From the choice of n_0 we deduce

$$b_{n+1} \leq q_{n+1}^{\kappa_1} < q_n^{u_n} \leq b_n^{u_n}$$

and

$$b_n \leq q_n^{\kappa_1} < q_{n+1}^{u_n} \leq b_{n+1}^{u_n}$$

for any $n \geq n_0$. Denote by a_n (respectively a_{n+1}) the nearest integer to ξb_n (respectively to ξb_{n+1}). Lemma 3 with q replaced by b_n and q' by b_{n+1} implies that for each $n \geq n_0$,

$$\frac{a_n}{b_n} = \frac{a_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}}.$$

This contradicts the assumption that ξ is irrational. This proves that $S_{q,u} = \emptyset$. Conversely, assume

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{u_n \log q_n} > 0.$$

Then there exists $\vartheta > 0$ and there exists a sequence $(N_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ of positive integers such that

$$q_{N_\ell} > q_{N_\ell - 1}^{\vartheta u_{N_\ell - 1}}$$

for all $\ell \geq 1$. Define a sequence $(c_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ of positive integers by

$$2^{c_\ell} \leq q_{N_\ell} < 2^{c_\ell + 1}.$$

Let $\underline{e} = (e_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ be a sequence of elements in $\{-1, 1\}$. Define

$$\xi_{\underline{e}} = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{e_\ell}{2^{c_\ell}}.$$

It remains to check that $\xi_{\underline{e}} \in S_{q,u}$ and that distinct \underline{e} produce distinct $\xi_{\underline{e}}$.

Let $\kappa_1 = 1$ and let κ_2 be in the interval $0 < \kappa_2 < \vartheta$. For sufficiently large n , let ℓ be the integer such that $N_{\ell-1} \leq n < N_\ell$. Set

$$b_n = 2^{c_{\ell-1}}, \quad a_n = \sum_{h=1}^{\ell-1} e_h 2^{c_{\ell-1} - c_h}, \quad r_n = \frac{a_n}{b_n}.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{2^{c_\ell}} < |\xi_{\underline{e}} - r_n| = \left| \xi_{\underline{e}} - \sum_{h \geq \ell} \frac{e_h}{2^{c_h}} \right| \leq \frac{2}{2^{c_\ell}}.$$

Since $\kappa_2 < \vartheta$, n is sufficiently large and $n \leq N_\ell - 1$, we have

$$4q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n} \leq 4q_{N_\ell - 1}^{\kappa_2 u_{N_\ell - 1}} \leq q_{N_\ell},$$

hence

$$\frac{2}{2^{c_\ell}} < \frac{4}{q_{N_\ell}} < \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n}}$$

for sufficiently large n . This proves $\xi_{\underline{e}} \in S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ and hence $S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$ is not empty.

Finally, if \underline{e} and \underline{e}' are two elements of $\{-1, +1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ for which $e_h = e'_h$ for $1 \leq h < \ell$ and, say, $e_\ell = -1$, $e'_\ell = 1$, then

$$\xi_{\underline{e}} < \sum_{h=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{e_h}{2^{c_h}} < \xi_{\underline{e}'},$$

hence $\xi_{\underline{e}} \neq \xi_{\underline{e}'}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

5. PROOF OF COROLLARY 2

The proof of Corollary 2 as a consequence of Theorem 2 relies on the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be two increasing sequences of positive integers. Then there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying the following properties:*

- (i) *The sequence $(q_{2n})_{n \geq 1}$ is a subsequence of the sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$.*
- (ii) *The sequence $(q_{2n+1})_{n \geq 0}$ is a subsequence of the sequence $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$.*
- (iii) *For $n \geq 1$, $q_{n+1} \geq q_n^n$.*

Proof of Lemma 4. We construct the sequence $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ inductively, starting with $q_1 = b_1$ and with q_2 the least integer a_i satisfying $a_i \geq b_1$. Once q_n is known for some $n \geq 2$, we take for q_{n+1} the least integer satisfying the following properties:

- $q_{n+1} \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ if n is odd, $q_{n+1} \in \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ if n is even.
- $q_{n+1} \geq q_n^n$. □

Proof of Corollary 2. Let ξ and η be Liouville numbers. There exist two sequences of positive integers $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$, which we may assume to be increasing, such that

$$\|a_n \xi\| \leq a_n^{-n} \quad \text{and} \quad \|b_n \eta\| \leq b_n^{-n}$$

for sufficiently large n . Let $\underline{q} = (q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 4. According to Theorem 2, the Liouville set $S_{\underline{q}}$ is not empty. Let $\varrho \in S_{\underline{q}}$. Denote by \underline{q}' the subsequence $(q_2, q_4, \dots, q_{2n}, \dots)$ of \underline{q} and by \underline{q}'' the subsequence $(q_1, q_3, \dots, q_{2n+1}, \dots)$. We have $\varrho \in S_{\underline{q}} = S_{\underline{q}'} \cap S_{\underline{q}''}$. Since the sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing, we have $q_{2n} \geq a_n$, hence $\xi \in S_{\underline{q}'}$. Also, since the sequence $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing, we have $q_{2n+1} \geq b_n$, hence $\eta \in S_{\underline{q}''}$. Finally, ξ and ϱ belong to the Liouville set $S_{\underline{q}'}$, while η and ϱ belong to the Liouville set $S_{\underline{q}''}$. □

6. PROOFS OF PROPOSITIONS 1, 2, 3 AND 4

Proof of Proposition 1. The fact that for $0 < \tau < 1$ the set $S_{q^{(\tau)}}$ is not empty follows from Theorem 2, since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}^{(\tau)}}{n \log q_n^{(\tau)}} = 1.$$

In fact, if $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a bounded sequence of integers with infinitely many nonzero terms, then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{e_n}{q_n^{(\tau)}} \in S_{q^{(\tau)}}.$$

Let $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < 1$. For $n \geq 1$, define

$$q_{2n} = q_n^{(\tau_1)} = 2^{n! \lfloor n^{\tau_1} \rfloor} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{2n+1} = q_n^{(\tau_2)} = 2^{n! \lfloor n^{\tau_2} \rfloor}.$$

One easily checks that $(q_m)_{m \geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence with

$$\frac{\log q_{2n+1}}{n \log q_{2n}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\log q_{2n+2}}{n \log q_{2n+1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

From Theorem 2 one deduces $S_{q^{(\tau_1)}} \cap S_{q^{(\tau_2)}} = \emptyset$. □

Proof of Proposition 2. For sufficiently large n , define

$$a_n = \sum_{m=1}^n 2^{(2n)! - (2m-1)! \lambda_m}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{q_{2n}^{(2n+1)\lambda_{n+1}}} < \xi - \frac{a_n}{q_{2n}} = \sum_{m \geq n+1} \frac{1}{2^{(2m-1)! \lambda_m}} \leq \frac{2}{q_{2n}^{(2n+1)\lambda_{n+1}}}.$$

The right inequality with the lower bound $\lambda_{n+1} \geq 1$ proves that $\xi \in S_{q'}$.

Let κ_1 and κ_2 be positive numbers, n a sufficiently large integer, s an integer in the interval $q_{2n+1} \leq s \leq q_{2n+1}^{\kappa_1}$ and r an integer. Since $\lambda_{n+1} < \kappa_2 n$ for sufficiently large n , we have

$$q_{2n}^{(2n+1)\lambda_{n+1}} < q_{2n}^{\kappa_2 n (2n+1)} = q_{2n+1}^{\kappa_2 n} \leq s^{\kappa_2 n}.$$

Therefore, if $r/s = a_n/q_{2n}$, then

$$\left| \xi - \frac{r}{s} \right| = \left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{q_{2n}} \right| > \frac{1}{q_{2n}^{(2n+1)\lambda_{n+1}}} > \frac{1}{s^{\kappa_2 n}}.$$

On the other hand, for $r/s \neq a_n/q_{2n}$, we have

$$\left| \xi - \frac{r}{s} \right| \geq \left| \frac{a_n}{q_{2n}} - \frac{r}{s} \right| - \left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{q_{2n}} \right| \geq \frac{1}{q_{2n} s} - \frac{2}{q_{2n}^{(2n+1)\lambda_{n+1}}}.$$

Since $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, for sufficiently large n we have

$$4q_{2n} s \leq 4q_{2n} q_{2n+1}^{\kappa_1} = 4q_{2n}^{1+\kappa_1(2n+1)} \leq q_{2n}^{(2n+1)\lambda_{n+1}}$$

hence

$$\frac{2}{q_{2n}^{(2n+1)\lambda_{n+1}}} \leq \frac{1}{2q_{2n} s}.$$

Further

$$2q_{2n} < q_{2n+1} < q_{2n+1}^{\kappa_2 n - 1} \leq s^{\kappa_2 n - 1}.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \xi - \frac{r}{s} \right| \geq \frac{1}{2q_{2n}s} > \frac{1}{s^{\kappa_2 n}},$$

which shows that $\xi \notin S_{q''}$. □

Proof of Proposition 3. Let $(\lambda_s)_{s \geq 0}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive rational integers with $\lambda_0 = 1$. Define two sequences $(n'_k)_{k \geq 1}$ and $(n''_h)_{h \geq 1}$ of positive integers as follows. The sequence $(n'_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is the increasing sequence of the positive integers n for which there exists $s \geq 0$ with $\lambda_{2s} \leq n < \lambda_{2s+1}$, while $(n''_h)_{h \geq 1}$ is the increasing sequence of the positive integers n for which there exists $s \geq 0$ with $\lambda_{2s+1} \leq n < \lambda_{2s+2}$.

For $s \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{2s} \leq n < \lambda_{2s+1}$, set

$$k = n - \lambda_{2s} + \lambda_{2s-1} - \lambda_{2s-2} + \cdots + \lambda_1.$$

Then $n = n'_k$.

For $s \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{2s+1} \leq n < \lambda_{2s+2}$, set

$$h = n - \lambda_{2s+1} + \lambda_{2s} - \lambda_{2s-1} + \cdots - \lambda_1 + 1.$$

Then $n = n''_h$.

For instance, when $\lambda_s = s + 1$, the sequence $(n'_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is the sequence $(1, 3, 5 \dots)$ of odd positive integers, while $(n''_h)_{h \geq 1}$ is the sequence $(2, 4, 6 \dots)$ of even positive integers. Another example is $\lambda_s = s!$, which occurs in the paper [1] by P. Erdős.

In general, for $n = \lambda_{2s}$, we write $n = n'_{k(s)}$ where

$$k(s) = \lambda_{2s-1} - \lambda_{2s-2} + \cdots + \lambda_1 < \lambda_{2s-1}.$$

Notice that $\lambda_{2s} - 1 = n''_h$ with $h = \lambda_{2s} - k(s)$.

Next, define two increasing sequences $(d_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $\underline{q} = (q_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of positive integers by induction, with $d_1 = 2$,

$$d_{n+1} = \begin{cases} kd_n & \text{if } n = n'_k, \\ hd_n & \text{if } n = n''_h \end{cases}$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $q_n = 2^{d_n}$. Finally, let $\underline{q}' = (q'_k)_{k \geq 1}$ and $\underline{q}'' = (q''_h)_{h \geq 1}$ be the two subsequences of \underline{q} defined by

$$q'_k = q_{n'_k}, \quad k \geq 1, \quad q''_h = q_{n''_h}, \quad h \geq 1.$$

Hence \underline{q} is the union of these two subsequences. Now we check that the number

$$\xi = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{q_n}$$

belongs to $S_{\underline{q}'} \cap S_{\underline{q}''}$. Note that by Theorem 2 that $S_{\underline{q}} \neq \emptyset$ as $S_{\underline{q}'} \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{\underline{q}''} \neq \emptyset$. Define

$$a_n = \sum_{m=1}^n 2^{d_n - d_m}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{q_{n+1}} < \xi - \frac{a_n}{q_n} = \sum_{m \geq n+1} \frac{1}{q_m} < \frac{2}{q_{n+1}}.$$

If $n = n'_k$, then

$$\left| \xi - \frac{a_{n'_k}}{q'_k} \right| < \frac{2}{(q'_k)^k},$$

while if $n = n''_h$, then

$$\left| \xi - \frac{a_{n''_h}}{q''_h} \right| < \frac{2}{(q''_h)^h}.$$

This proves that $\xi \in S_{q'} \cap S_{q''}$.

Now, we choose $\lambda_s = 2^{2^s}$ for $s \geq 2$ and we prove that ξ does not belong to $S_{\underline{q}}$. Notice that $\lambda_{2s-1} = \sqrt{\lambda_{2s}}$. Let $n = \lambda_{2s} = n'_{k(s)}$. We have $k(s) < \sqrt{\lambda_{2s}}$ and

$$\left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{q_n} \right| > \frac{1}{q_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{q_n^{k(s)}} > \frac{1}{q_n^{\sqrt{n}}}.$$

Let κ_1 and κ_2 be two positive real numbers and assume s is sufficiently large. Further, let $u/v \in \mathbf{Q}$ with $v \leq q_n^{\kappa_1}$. If $u/v = a_n/q_n$, then

$$\left| \xi - \frac{u}{v} \right| = \left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{q_n} \right| > \frac{1}{q_n^{\sqrt{n}}} > \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 n}}.$$

On the other hand, if $u/v \neq a_n/q_n$, then

$$\left| \xi - \frac{u}{v} \right| \geq \left| \frac{u}{v} - \frac{a_n}{q_n} \right| - \left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{q_n} \right|$$

with

$$\left| \frac{u}{v} - \frac{a_n}{q_n} \right| \geq \frac{1}{vq_n} \geq \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_1+1}} > \frac{2}{q_n^{\sqrt{n}}}$$

and

$$\left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{q_n^{\sqrt{n}}}.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \xi - \frac{u}{v} \right| > \frac{1}{q_n^{\sqrt{n}}} > \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 n}}.$$

This proves Proposition 3. □

Proof of Proposition 4. Let $\underline{u} = (u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sqrt{u_{n+1}} \leq u_n + 1 \leq u_{n+1}$. We prove more precisely that for any sequence \underline{q} such that $q_{n+1} > q_n^{u_n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, the sequence $\underline{q}' = (q_{2m+1})_{m \geq 1}$ has $S_{\underline{q}', \underline{u}} \neq S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$. This implies the proposition, since any increasing sequence has a subsequence satisfying $q_{n+1} > q_n^{u_n}$.

Assuming $q_{n+1} > q_n^{u_n}$ for all $n \geq 1$, we define

$$d_n = \begin{cases} q_n & \text{for even } n, \\ q_{n-1}^{\lfloor \sqrt{u_n} \rfloor} & \text{for odd } n. \end{cases}$$

We check that the number

$$\xi = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{d_n}$$

satisfies $\xi \in S_{\underline{q}', \underline{u}}$ and $\xi \notin S_{\underline{q}, \underline{u}}$.

Set $b_n = d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n$ and

$$a_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{b_n}{d_m} = \sum_{m=1}^n \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq m} d_i,$$

so that

$$\xi - \frac{a_n}{b_n} = \sum_{m \geq n+1} \frac{1}{d_m}.$$

It is easy to check from the definition of d_n and q_n that we have, for sufficiently large n ,

$$b_n \leq q_1 \cdots q_n \leq q_{n-1}^{u_n-1} q_n \leq q_n^2$$

and

$$\frac{1}{d_{n+1}} \leq \xi - \frac{a_n}{b_n} \leq \frac{2}{d_{n+1}}.$$

For odd n , since $d_{n+1} = q_{n+1} \geq q_n^{u_n}$, we deduce

$$\left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right| \leq \frac{2}{q_n^{u_n}},$$

hence $\xi \in S_{q',u}$.

For even n , we plainly have

$$\left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right| > \frac{1}{d_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{q_n^{\lfloor \sqrt{u_{n+1}} \rfloor}}.$$

Let κ_1 and κ_2 be two positive real numbers, and let n be sufficiently large. Let s be a positive integer with $s \leq q_n^{\kappa_1}$ and let r be an integer. If $r/s = a_n/b_n$, then

$$\left| \xi - \frac{r}{s} \right| = \left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right| > \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n}}.$$

Assume now $r/s \neq a_n/b_n$. From

$$\left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right| \leq \frac{2}{q_n^{\lfloor \sqrt{u_{n+1}} \rfloor}} \leq \frac{1}{2q_n^{\kappa_1+2}},$$

we deduce

$$\frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_1+2}} \leq \frac{1}{sb_n} \leq \left| \frac{r}{s} - \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right| \leq \left| \xi - \frac{r}{s} \right| + \left| \xi - \frac{a_n}{b_n} \right| \leq \left| \xi - \frac{r}{s} \right| + \frac{1}{2q_n^{\kappa_1+2}},$$

hence

$$\left| \xi - \frac{r}{s} \right| \geq \frac{1}{2q_n^{\kappa_1+2}} > \frac{1}{q_n^{\kappa_2 u_n}}.$$

This completes the proof that $\xi \notin S_{q,u}$. □

7. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5

Proof of Proposition 5. If $S_{q,u}$ is nonempty, let $\gamma \in S_{q,u}$. By Theorem 1, $\gamma + \mathbf{Q}$ is contained in $S_{q,u}$, hence $S_{q,u}$ is dense in \mathbf{R} .

Let t be an irrational real number which is not Liouville. Hence $t \notin K_{q,u}$, and therefore, by Theorem 1, $S_{q,u} \cap (t + S_{q,u}) = \emptyset$. This implies that $S_{q,u}$ is not a G_δ dense subset of \mathbf{R} . □

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Erdős, *Representations of real numbers as sums and products of Liouville numbers*, Michigan Math. J. **9** (1962), 59–60. MR0133300 (24 #A3134)
- [2] J. Liouville, *Sur des classes très étendues de quantités dont la valeur n'est ni algébrique, ni même réductible des irrationnelles algébriques*, J. Math. Pures et Appl. **18** (1844) 883–885, and 910–911.
- [3] É. Maillet, *Introduction la théorie des nombres transcendants et des propriétés arithmétiques des fonctions*, Paris, 1906.
- [4] E. Maillet, *Sur quelques propriétés des nombres transcendants de Liouville* (French), Bull. Soc. Math. France **50** (1922), 74–99. MR1504810

HARISH-CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, CHHATNAG ROAD, JHUNSI, ALLAHABAD, 211019, INDIA

Current address: The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 4th Cross Road, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai, 600113, India

E-mail address: senthilk@imsc.res.in

HARISH-CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, CHHATNAG ROAD, JHUNSI, ALLAHABAD, 211019, INDIA

E-mail address: thanga@hri.res.in

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, THÉORIE DES NOMBRES CASE COURRIER 247, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE (PARIS 6), PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE

E-mail address: miw@math.jussieu.fr